

AGENCY:	PROJECT TYPE:	Reviewer Initials:	TOTAL AMOUNT REQUESTED FOR PROJECT:
PROJECT NAME:	PSH/RRH/TH/DV-RRH/DV-TH/DV-SSO-CE/SSO/SSO-CE/SSO-SO/YDHP-SSO/YDHP-TH-RRH or HMIS		\$
APPLICANT MUST HAVE SUBMITTED ALL REQUIRED ATTACHMENTS. REVIEWER SHOULD VERIFY THERE IS A RESPONSE TO EACH APPLICABLE PROJECT NARRATIVE IN THE LOCAL PROJECT APPLICATION BELOW.			
Activity/Project Type	Criteria	Y/N	Reviewers Comments, if applicable
Application is submitted by an eligible applicant	HUD NOFO list of eligible Applicants		
Applicant Organization is registered, current and not debarred or suspended as reported in SAM.gov	Screenshot showing application status or active registration with expiration date and/or SAM.gov summary document		
Application and all required attachments submitted by stated deadline	Submission email date and timestamp		
Required Attachments	Criteria	Y/N	Reviewers Comments, if applicable
Organization's Conflict of Interest/Code of Conduct Policy	Local Project Application		
Coordinated Entry System Participation MOU, if applicable	Local Project Application		
Proof of active SAM.gov registration (Screenshot showing application status or active registration with expiration date and/or SAM.gov summary document)	Local Project Application		
Missouri Certificate of Good Standing dated within the last 30 days	Local Project Application		
Monitoring Documents or organization letter submitted	Local Project Application		
Audit Documentation (single audit, completed financial audit, or 990)	Local Project Application		
Agency Project Budget	Local Project Application		
Match letters/documentation that show ability to meet HUD requirement of at least 25% of total budget (excluding leasing funds) unless HUD granted waiver per the NOFO	Local Project Application		
IRS 501(C)3 designation letter, if applicable	Local Project Application		
Agency's Safety Plan (Dedicated DV Project Only)	Local Project Application		

Local Project Application Narratives	Criteria	Y/N	Reviewers Comments, if applicable
Transitional Housing	Demonstrate that the project will provide and/or partner with other organizations to provide eligible supportive services that are necessary to assist program participants to obtain and maintain housing (i.e., case management, behavioral healthcare, employment training, etc.)		
	The applicant has prior experience operating transitional housing or other projects that have successfully helped homeless individuals and families exit homelessness within 24 months or has a plan in place to ensure homeless individuals and families will exit homelessness within 24 months.		
	The applicant has previously operated or currently operates transitional housing or another homelessness project, or has a plan in place to ensure that at least 50 percent of participants exit to a positive destination within 24 months and at least 50 percent of participants exit with employment income as reflected in HMIS or another data system used by the applicant.		
	The project will be supplemented with resources from other public or private sources, that may include mainstream health, social, and employment programs such as Medicare, Medicaid, SSI, and SNAP.		
	<i>Describe how the proposed project will:</i> •assess the service needs of program participants, •and provide individualized services for program participants during their time in Transitional Housing that will result in at least 20 hours per week of engagement in services, activities or employment for all program participants, except for a program participant over age 62 or who is an individual with handicaps as defined in 24 CFR 8.3 or a with a developmental disability as defined under 24 CFR 578.3 (examples of services or activities include case management, counseling, treatment, volunteering, work therapy, education, job training, community-building activities, etc.) Employment may contribute to the 20 hours per week of engagement. The project description provided here does not constitute a reporting or documentation requirement. <i>Indicate that the proposed project will create service plans for each program participant that include:</i> •the services to be provided, when and how often services will be provided, by whom all services will be provided; •program participant goals, strategies for achieving those goals, and target dates for achievement to focus on improved health and wellness, housing stability, and increased employment income leading to financial stability and self-sufficiency.		
	The proposed project attached a supportive service agreement (contract, occupancy agreement, lease, or equivalent) that will require program participants to take part in supportive services (e.g. case management, employment training, substance use treatment, etc.) in line with 24 CFR 578.75(h).		
	Demonstrate the average cost per household served for the project is reasonable. 2 CFR 200.404.		
Supportive Services Only (SSO) Standalone (24 C.F.R. 578.37(a)(3) and 578.53)	The Supportive Services project is necessary to assist people in exiting homelessness, addressing barriers to stable housing (e.g., substance use disorder, unemployment, childcare, etc.) and increasing self-sufficiency and the Recipient will conduct an annual assessment of the service needs of the program participants.		
	The proposed project has a strategy for providing supportive services to eligible program participants including those with histories of unsheltered homelessness and those who do not traditionally engage with supportive services.		
	The project will be supplemented with resources from other public or private sources, that may include mainstream health, social, and employment programs such as Medicare, Medicaid, SSI, and SNAP.		
	Demonstrate the average cost per household served for the project is reasonable. 2 CFR 200.404.		

Supportive Services Only (SSO) Street Outreach	The project will be supplemented with resources from other public or private sources, that may include mainstream health, social, and employment programs such as Medicare, Medicaid, SSI, and SNAP.		
	The proposed project has a strategy for providing supportive services to eligible program participants including those with histories of unsheltered homelessness and those who do not traditionally engage with supportive services.		
	Demonstrate that the applicant has a history of, or a plan for, partnering with first responders and law enforcement to engage people living in places not meant for human habitation to access emergency shelter, treatment programs, reunification with family, transitional housing or independent living. The applicant must cooperate and not interfere or impede with the enforcement of local laws such as public camping and public drug use laws and assist/be willing to assist first responders in their efforts to engage homeless individuals.		
	The applicant has experience providing outreach services, or a plan for providing outreach services, consistent with the activity description at 24 CFR 578.53(e)(13) and has a plan for or has demonstrated effectiveness at helping people successfully exit from places not meant for human habitation to emergency shelter, treatment programs, transitional housing or permanent housing programs.		
	Demonstrate the average cost per household served for the project is reasonable. 2 CFR 200.404.		
SSO-Coordinated Entry (SSO-CE)	The Coordinated Entry system is easily available and reachable for all persons within the CoC's geographic area who are seeking homelessness assistance.		
	The Coordinated Entry system must be accessible for persons with disabilities within the CoC's geographic area.		
	There is a strategy for advertising that is designed specifically to reach households experiencing homelessness with the highest needs.		
	There is a standardized assessment process.		
	Demonstrate the average cost per household served for the project is reasonable. 2 CFR 200.404.		
	The project will ensure program participants are directed to appropriate housing and services that fit their needs.		
Permanent Housing: Permanent Supportive Housing (PH-PSH)	The type of housing proposed, including the number and configuration of units, will fit the needs of the program participants.		
	The type of supportive services and assistance that will be offered to program participants will ensure that the participant is able to successfully obtain and retain permanent housing and in a manner that fits their needs (e.g. transportation, safety planning, enhanced case management). If the applicant is proposing to expand an existing PH project, it must demonstrate how they are expanding supportive services to program participants, including where appropriate, on-site supportive services.		
	The project will serve homeless individuals or families with a disability in accordance with 24 CFR 578.37(a)(1)(i).		
	Demonstrate the average cost per household served for the project is reasonable. 2 CFR 200.404.		
	The project will be supplemented with resources from other public or private sources, that may include mainstream health, social, and employment programs such as Medicare, Medicaid, SSI, and SNAP.		

Permanent Housing: Rapid Rehousing (PH-RRH)	The provision of tenant-based rental assistance will help individuals and families achieve self-sufficiency within 24 months.		
	The type of supportive services and assistance that will be offered to program participants (e.g., case management, substance use treatment, mental health treatment, and employment assistance) will ensure that the participant is able to successfully obtain self-sufficiency and exit homelessness.		
	The applicant has previously operated or currently operates a homelessness project where, or has a plan in place to have, at least 50 percent of participants exit to permanent housing within 24 months and at least 50 percent of participants exit with employment income as reflected in HMIS or another data system used by the applicant, or has a plan in place to ensure this.		
	Demonstrate the average cost per household served for the project is reasonable. 2 CFR 200.404.		
	The project will be supplemented with resources from other public or private sources, that may include mainstream health, social, and employment programs such as Medicare, Medicaid, SSI, and SNAP.		
Homeless Management Information System (HMIS)	How the HMIS funds will be expended in a way that furthers the CoC's HMIS implementation.		
	The HMIS collects all Universal Data Elements as set forth in the HMIS Data Standards.		
	The ability of the HMIS to un-duplicate client records.		
	Describe how the CoC has or will share PIT, HIC, HMIS, and System Performance data with state and local government as permitted by law in order to inform the homelessness response system.		
	Describe how the CoC utilizes other data sources alongside HMIS to improve care (e.g., health care utilization and claims data, electronic health care record data; admission, discharge, and transfer data; and law enforcement and corrections system data).		
	The HMIS produces all HUD-required reports and provides data as needed for HUD reporting (e.g., APR, quarterly reports, data for CAPER/ESG reporting) and other reports required by other federal partners.		
Youth Homelessness Demonstration Supplemental (If Applicant is Applying for YHDP)	Section E-Questions 1-6; Local Application YHDP Supplemental		
Projects Dedicated to Victims of Domestic Violence Supplemental and Safety Plan submitted	DV Questions A-E; Local Application DV Supplemental		
LOCAL APPLICATION SUBMISSION AND PROJECT NARRATIVE MET:		YES or NO	

Component:PSH	Source	Points Available	Points Awarded	Reviewer Comments
General CoC Questions	Source	Points Available	Points Awarded	Reviewer Comments
First-year member is defined as an applicant that has filled out their first application for CoC membership with MO-606 since September 1, 2025 as documented on MO BOS Membership List.				
Participation in Coordinated Entry (Current CoC Participating Agency)	Report from HMI5 and Regional CE Lead	Applicant is an access point and only accepts referrals from the Coordinated Entry System, and attends at least 60% of case conferencing meetings. (10 Points) Applicant is an access point, and only accepts referrals from the Coordinated Entry System, and attends less than 60% of case conferencing meetings. (5 points) Applicant accepts referrals from outside the Coordinated Entry System and/or did not attend case conferencing. (0 points)		
Participation in Coordinated Entry (First Year Member / Non CoC Member at date of application)	Local Project Application	Applicant fully described participation as access point and will only accept referrals from the Coordinated Entry System, and will attend at least 60% of case conferencing meetings. (10 Points) Applicant described participation as access point, and will only accepts referrals from the Coordinated Entry System, and did not explain plan to attend at least 60% of case conferencing meetings. (5 points) Applicant did not describe agency participation in Coordination Entry System or plan to attend no less than 60% of case conferencing meetings. (0 points)		
Point-in-Time Count Participation (Current CoC Participating Agency)	Certification of participation in the most recent unsheltered (2025) and 2026 Mo BoS CoC PIT Count from the PIT Committee	Elected Regional PIT Coordinator. (6 points) PIT County lead as recorded by the Regional PIT Coordinator. (4 Points) Organization staff participated in any other way for the PIT count including volunteers. (2 Points) Organization's staff did not participate in the PIT Count. (0 Points)		
Point-in-Time Count Participation (First Year Member / Non CoC Member at date of application)	Local Project Application	Applicant fully described how agency will participate as a Regional PIT Coordinator in the 2027 PIT Count. (6 points) Applicant described how agency will participate as a PIT County lead in the 2027 PIT Count. (4 Points) Applicant described how agency will participate in any other way for the 2027 PIT count including volunteering. (2 Points) Applicant did not describe how agency would participate in the 2027 PIT count as regional coordinator, lead or other supporting roles. (0 Points)		
CoC Planning and Operation Activities (Current CoC Participating Agency)	Agency narratives from Local Project Application/General CoC Activities, Section C; BoS meeting minutes.	Agency demonstrates extensive participation in Missouri BoS CoC-wide activities. This requires leadership roles, active participation on multiple committees, subcommittees, or workgroups, engagement in regional or statewide initiatives beyond its local service area, and meaningful contributions that strengthen the CoC. (10 points) Agency demonstrates consistent participation in Missouri BoS CoC activities, including regular attendance at membership meetings, participation on at least one committee or workgroup, and involvement in regional or statewide initiatives. (5 points) Agency does not demonstrate participation in Missouri BoS CoC-wide activities. The narrative response lacks sufficient detail to demonstrate a commitment in the CoC beyond minimal participation to receive funding and is not evidenced by CoC records/minutes. (0 Points)		
CoC Planning and Operation Activities (First Year Member / Non CoC Member at date of application)	Local Project Application	Applicant fully described how agency will extensively participate in Missouri BoS CoC-wide activities including leadership roles, active participation on multiple committees, subcommittees, or workgroups, engagement in regional or statewide initiatives beyond its local service area, and meaningful contributions that strengthen the CoC. (10 points) Applicant described how agency will consistently participate in Missouri BoS CoC activities, including regular attendance at membership meetings, participation on at least one committee or workgroup, and involvement in regional or statewide initiatives. (5 points) Applicant did not describe commitment to participation in CoC activities. (0 Points)		
CoC Membership Meetings	Local Project Application	Organization staff attended 100% of meetings of the last four meetings of the full Mo BoS CoC membership or provided a plan to attend CoC membership meeting as part of CoC participation. (10 points) Organization staff attended 75% of meetings of the last four meetings of the full Mo BoS CoC membership. (5 Points) Organization staff did not attend 75% of meetings of the last four meetings of the full Mo BoS CoC membership or did not provide plan to attend CoC membership meeting as part of CoC participation. (0 Points)		

Grants Management, Financial Capacity, and Spending	Source	Points Available	Points Awarded	Reviewer Comments
Did the organization have any HUD monitoring or OIG audit findings for any HUD grants (including ESG) in the last two years.	Local Project Application and/or e-snaps	Recipient has been monitored by either HUD, State, or OIG within the last two years and there have been no findings identified or no monitoring has been completed. (10 Points) Recipient had one HUD or OIG finding from monitoring conducted within the last two years and/or has had findings in the last monitoring conducted. (5 points) Recipient has two or more HUD/OIG findings open or sanctions have been imposed (0 points)		
Did the applicant agency timely submit an audit as required under 2 CFR § 200.501 for recipients of federal funds, if applicable (e.g. single audit).	Local Project Application	Recipient has been timely in its submission of the audits required under 2 CFR § 200.501, if applicable, and/or has not received a finding and/or received a recommendation in a management letter based on its current accounting practices. (10 points) Recipient has not been timely in its submission of the audits required under 2 CFR § 200.501, if applicable, and/or has received a finding or has received a recommendation in a management letter based on its current accounting practices. (5 points) Recipient has not been timely in its submission of the audits required under 2 CFR § 200.501, if applicable and has received more than one finding, material weaknesses and/or deficiency identified and/or has received a recommendation in a management letter based on its current accounting practices or does not conduct any level of financial audit. (0 points)		
Project documented match requirement/amount of at least 25% of total budget (excluding leasing funds).	Local Project Application and/or e-snaps	The project documents eligible match equal to or greater than 25% of the total project budget (excluding leasing funds). Documentation is complete, accurate, and demonstrates that all match sources meet HUD requirements. (25 points) The project identifies sufficient match to meet the 25% requirement (excluding leasing funds), but the documentation is incomplete, contains minor deficiencies, or requires clarification before it can be verified. (12 points) The project does not document the required 25% match (excluding leasing funds), or the submitted documentation is insufficient to verify compliance with HUD match requirements. (0 points)		
Applicant provides the total funding amount requested for the project, including a clear and complete budget breakdown by all eligible cost categories (Leasing, Rental Assistance, Operating, Supportive Services, HMIS, and Administrative costs).	Local Application/Budget Page	Applicant budget is clear, reasonable, and detailed to understand costs on the project. All lines are completed and the total budget matches the total request of the application. All costs on the budget are allowable for the project type. (25 points) Applicant budget is not clear, reasonable, and detailed to understand costs on the project. One line item is incomplete or missing and/or the total budget does not match the total request of the application. Or one budget line item is not allowable for the project type. (12 points) Applicant budget is hard to understand. More than one line item is incomplete or missing and the total budget does not match the total request of the application. Line items do not match with overall project and more than one budget line item is not allowable for the project type. (0 points)		
Applicant provides the average cost per household served and demonstrates that the cost is reasonable, clearly calculated, and appropriate for the proposed project type and level of services.	Local Project Application	Applicant thoroughly describes the average cost per household. The cost is clearly calculated, reasonable, and comparable with other CoC PSH projects. If the cost is unique or high supportive services are needed, the applicant has thoroughly justified the reason in a manner that is clear, concise, and reasonable. (25 points) Applicant moderately describes the average cost per household. The cost is not clearly calculated, reasonable, and/or comparable with other CoC PSH projects. If the cost is unique or high supportive services are needed, the applicant has moderately justified the reason in a manner that is somewhat clear, concise, and reasonable. Reviewer can understand calculations but does not understand the need related to the cost. (12 points) Applicant minimally describes the average cost per household. The cost is not clearly calculated, reasonable, and/or comparable with other CoC PSH projects. If the cost is unique or high supportive services are needed, the applicant has minimally justified the reason, and the reviewer cannot understand the unique or higher cost need. (0 points)		

Project Description and Scope	Source	Total Points:	Points Awarded	Reviewer Comments
Applicant details that the type of housing proposed, including the number and configuration of units, will fit the needs of program participants.	Local Project Application	Applicant thoroughly describes the number of units, configuration of units, and the type of housing proposed with detail and accuracy, including how this project will meet participant needs. (25 points) Applicant moderately describes the number of units, configuration of units, and the type of housing proposed without full details, and does not include clear description of how this project will meet participant needs. (12 points) Applicant provides limited description of the number of units, configuration of units, and the type of housing proposed and does not provide description how this project will meet participant needs. (0 points)		
Applicant clearly describes the supportive services and assistance that will be offered to program participants to ensure that participant is able to successfully obtain and retain permanent housing in a manner that fits their needs. If this is a PH-PSH expansion grant, applicant details how the expansion project will increase access to housing and/or supportive services.	Local Project Application	Applicant thoroughly describes the types of support services and assistance that will be offered, along with how the program will ensure that program participants are able to successfully obtain and retain permanent housing in a manner that fits their needs. Reviewer clearly understands each type of support service and how that service or assistance corresponds to successfully obtaining and retaining permanent housing. Applicant thoroughly describes how participants are involved in getting their needs met. (25 points) Applicant moderately describes the types of support services and assistance that will be offered, along with how the program will ensure that program participants are able to successfully obtain and retain permanent housing in a manner that fits their needs. Reviewer understands, but not clearly, each type of support service and how that service or assistance corresponds to successfully obtaining and retaining permanent housing. Applicant moderately describes how participants are involved in getting their needs met and reviewer is not clear about program participant involvement. (12 points) Applicant provides limited description about the types of support services and assistance that will be offered, along with how the program will ensure that program participants are able to successfully obtain and retain permanent housing in a manner that fits their needs. Reviewer does not understand each type of support service and how that service or assistance corresponds to successfully obtaining and retaining permanent housing. Applicant minimally or omits describing how program participants are involved in getting their needs met and reviewer is not clear about program participant involvement. (0 points)		
Applicant clearly describes how the project participants will be connected with other resources from other public or private resources. Applicant describes how the project will leverage mainstream health, social service, and employment resources, including but not limited to Medicare, Medicaid, SSI, SNAP, workforce development programs, behavioral health services, and other community-based supports to enhance participant outcomes and housing stability.	Local Project Application	Applicant thoroughly describes the types of public and private resources the program participants will be connected with, along with how mainstream benefits will be leveraged. Reviewer clearly understands what resources and benefits will be utilized to leverage assistance provided to participants. <u>To receive full points, applicant must detail the follow-up process that occurs in the agency to ensure resources and mainstream benefits are accessed. This does not include simply applying for the assistance.</u> (25 points) Applicant moderately describes the types of public and private resources the program participants will be connected with, along with how mainstream benefits will be leveraged. Reviewer understands some resources and benefits will be utilized and/or is not clear how mainstream benefits will be leveraged. Applicant does not state a clear and understandable follow-up process to ensure program participants have assistance in the process beyond simply applying. (12 points) Applicant provides limited description of the types of public and private resources the program participants will be connected with and/or minimal description of how mainstream benefits will be leveraged. Reviewer cannot understand the types of public or private resources and/or how participants will be connected to them. Applicant is not clear how mainstream benefits will be leveraged. Applicant does not state the follow-up process to ensure program participants have assistance in the process beyond simply applying. (0 points)		
Applicant clearly describes the agency's prior experience operating PSH projects or other housing programs for homeless individuals or families with a disability in accordance with 24 CFR 578.37(a)(1)(i).	Local Project Application	Applicant thoroughly describes their prior experience with PSH projects or other housing projects, prior experience assisting PSH program participants, and knowledge that the applicant understands PSH programs serve individuals or families with a disability. (25 points) Applicant moderately describes their prior experience with PSH projects or other housing projects, prior experience assisting PSH program participants, and/or has little knowledge or does not state that the applicant understands PSH programs serve individuals or families with a disability. (12 points) Applicant provides limited description of their prior experience with PSH projects or other housing projects, prior experience assisting PSH program participants, and/or has no knowledge that the applicant understands PSH programs serve individuals or families with a disability. (0 points)		
Project Initiatives	Source	Total Points:	Points Awarded	Reviewer Comments
Proposed project is within a HUD defined Opportunity Zone.	Local application and/or e-snaps Application and form HUD 2996	3 Points		
Project has or will incorporate supportive service participation requirements in their program design, based on individual need in accordance with 24 CFR 578.75(h).	Local project application.	3 Points		
Dedicated Sober Living Project.	Local project application.	3 Points		
Proposed project serves a sub-population(s) as identified in the NOFO.	Local project application.	5 Points		
Project meets the needs, priorities and service gaps of the CoC. (Applicant clearly identifies the counties and regions served and demonstrates alignment with CoC geographic priorities and identified gaps in service.)	Local Project Application and/or e-snaps/MO BoS needs and gaps/CoC priorities	Informational Only / Tie Breaker	0	
Total Points			0	

Component: RRH	Source	Points Available	Points Awarded	Reviewer Comments
General CoC Questions	Source	Points Available	Points Awarded	Reviewer Comments
First-year member is defined as an applicant that has filled out their first application for CoC membership with MO-606 since September 1, 2025 as documented on MO BOS Membership List.				
Participation in Coordinated Entry (Current CoC Participating Agency)	Report from HMIS and Regional CE Lead	Applicant is an access point and only accepts referrals from the Coordinated Entry System, and attends at least 60% of case conferencing meetings. (10 Points) Applicant is an access point, and only accepts referrals from the Coordinated Entry System, and attends less than 60% of case conferencing meetings. (5 points) Applicant accepts referrals from outside the Coordinated Entry System and/or did not attend case conferencing. (0 points)		
Participation in Coordinated Entry (First Year Member)	Local Project Application	Applicant fully described participation as access point and will only accept referrals from the Coordinated Entry System, and will attend at least 60% of case conferencing meetings. (10 Points) Applicant described participation as access point, and will only accept referrals from the Coordinated Entry System, and did not explain plan to attend at least 60% of case conferencing meetings. (5 points) Applicant did not describe agency participation in Coordination Entry System or plan to attend no less than 60% of case conferencing meetings. (0 points)		
Point-in-Time Count Participation (Current CoC Participating Agency)	Certification of participation in the most recent unsheltered (2025) and 2026 Mo BoS CoC PIT Count from the PIT Committee	Elected Regional PIT Coordinator. (6 points) PIT County lead as recorded by the Regional PIT Coordinator. (4 points) Organization staff participated in any other way for the PIT count including volunteers. (2 Points) Organization's staff did not participate in the PIT Count. (0 Points)		
Point-in-Time Count Participation (First Year Member)	Local Project Application	Applicant fully described how agency will participate as a Regional PIT Coordinator in the 2027 PIT Count. (6 points) Applicant described how agency will participate as a PIT County lead in the 2027 PIT Count. (4 points) Applicant described how agency will participate in any other way for the 2027 PIT count including volunteering. (2 Points) Applicant did not describe how agency would participate in the 2027 PIT count as regional coordinator, lead or other supporting roles. (0 Points)		
CoC Planning and Operation Activities (Current CoC Participating Agency)	Agency narratives from Local Project Application/General CoC Activities, Section C; BoS meeting minutes.	Agency demonstrates extensive participation in Missouri BoS CoC-wide activities. This requires leadership roles, active participation on multiple committees, subcommittees, or workgroups, engagement in regional or statewide initiatives beyond its local service area, and meaningful contributions that strengthen the CoC. (10 points) Agency demonstrates consistent participation in Missouri BoS CoC activities, including regular attendance at membership meetings, participation on at least one committee or workgroup, and involvement in regional or statewide initiatives. (5 points) Agency does not demonstrate participation in Missouri BoS CoC-wide activities. The narrative response lacks sufficient detail to demonstrate a commitment in the CoC beyond minimal participation to receive funding and is not evidenced by CoC records/minutes. (0 Points)		
CoC Planning and Operation Activities (First Year Member)	Local Project Application	Applicant fully described how agency will extensively participate in Missouri BoS CoC-wide activities including leadership roles, active participation on multiple committees, subcommittees, or workgroups, engagement in regional or statewide initiatives beyond its local service area, and meaningful contributions that strengthen the CoC. (10 points) Applicant described how agency will consistently participate in Missouri BoS CoC activities, including regular attendance at membership meetings, participation on at least one committee or workgroup, and involvement in regional or statewide initiatives. (5 points) Applicant did not describe commitment to participation in CoC activities. (0 Points)		
CoC Membership Meetings	Local Project Application	Organization staff attended 100% of meetings of the last four meetings of the full Mo BoS CoC membership or provided a plan to attend CoC membership meeting as part of CoC participation. (10 points) Organization staff attended 75% of meetings of the last four meetings of the full Mo BoS CoC membership. (5 Points) Organization staff did not attend 75% of meetings of the last four meetings of the full Mo BoS CoC membership or did not provide plan to attend CoC membership meeting as part of CoC participation. (0 Points)		

Grants Management, Financial Capacity, and Spending	Source	Points Available	Points Awarded	Reviewer Comments
Did the organization have any HUD monitoring or OIG audit findings for any HUD grants (including ESG) in the last two years.	Local Project Application and/or e-snaps	Recipient has been monitored by either HUD, State, or OIG within the last two years and there have been no findings identified or no monitoring has been completed. (10 Points) Recipient had one HUD or OIG finding from monitoring conducted within the last two years and/or has had findings in the last monitoring conducted. (5 points) Recipient has two or more HUD/OIG findings open or sanctions have been imposed (0 points)		
Did the applicant agency timely submit an audit as required under 2 CFR § 200.501 for recipients of federal funds, if applicable (e.g. single audit).	Local Project Application	Recipient has been timely in its submission of the audits required under 2 CFR § 200.501, if applicable, and/or has not received a finding and/or received a recommendation in a management letter based on its current accounting practices. (10 points) Recipient has not been timely in its submission of the audits required under 2 CFR § 200.501, if applicable, and/or has received a finding or has received a recommendation in a management letter based on its current accounting practices. (5 points) Recipient has not been timely in its submission of the audits required under 2 CFR § 200.501, if applicable and has received more than one finding, material weaknesses and/or deficiency identified and/or has received a recommendation in a management letter based on its current accounting practices or does not conduct any level of financial audit. (0 points)		
Project documented match requirement/amount of at least 25% of total budget (excluding leasing funds)	Local Project Application and/or e-snaps	The project documents eligible match equal to or greater than 25% of the total project budget (excluding leasing funds). Documentation is complete, accurate, and demonstrates that all match sources meet HUD requirements. (25 points) The project identifies sufficient match to meet the 25% requirement (excluding leasing funds), but the documentation is incomplete, contains minor deficiencies, or requires clarification before it can be verified. (12 points) The project does not document the required 25% match (excluding leasing funds), or the submitted documentation is insufficient to verify compliance with HUD match requirements. (0 points)		
Applicant provides the total funding amount requested for the project, including a clear and complete budget breakdown by all eligible cost categories (Leasing, Rental Assistance, Operating, Supportive Services, HHS, and Administrative costs).	Local Application/Budget Page	Applicant budget is clear, reasonable, and detailed to understand costs on the project. All lines are completed and the total budget matches the total request of the application. All costs on the budget are allowable for the project type. (25 points) Applicant budget is not clear, reasonable, and detailed to understand costs on the project. One line item is incomplete or missing and/or the total budget does not match the total request of the application. Or one budget line item is not allowable for the project type. (12 points) Applicant budget is hard to understand. More than one line item is incomplete or missing and the total budget does not match the total request of the application. Line items do not match with overall project and more than one budget line item is not allowable for the project type. (0 points)		
Applicant provides the average cost per household served and demonstrates that the cost is reasonable, clearly calculated, and appropriate for the proposed project type and level of services.	Local Project Application	Applicant thoroughly describes the average cost per household. The cost is clearly calculated, reasonable, and comparable with other CoC PSH projects. If the cost is unique or high supportive services are needed, the applicant has thoroughly justified the reason in a manner that is clear, concise, and reasonable. (25 points) Applicant moderately describes the average cost per household. The cost is not clearly calculated, reasonable, and/or comparable with other CoC PSH projects. If the cost is unique or high supportive services are needed, the applicant has moderately justified the reason in a manner that is somewhat clear, concise, and reasonable. Reviewer can understand calculations but does not understand the need related to the cost. (12 points) Applicant minimally describes the average cost per household. The cost is not clearly calculated, reasonable, and/or comparable with other CoC PSH projects. If the cost is unique or high supportive services are needed, the applicant has minimally justified the reason, and the reviewer cannot understand the unique or higher cost need. (0 points)		

Project Description and Scope	Source	Points Available	Points Awarded	Reviewer Comments, if applicable
Applicant details the type of supportive services and assistance that will be offered to program participants (e.g., case management, substance use treatment, mental health treatment, and employment assistance) to ensure that the participant is able to successfully obtain self-sufficiency and exit homelessness.	Local Project Application	Applicant thoroughly describes the types of support services and assistance that will be offered, along with how the program will ensure that program participants are able to successfully obtain self-sufficiency and exit homelessness. Reviewer clearly understands each type of support service and how that service or assistance corresponds to successfully helping program participants obtain self-sufficiency and exit homelessness. (25 points) Applicant moderately describes the types of support services and assistance that will be offered, along with how the program will ensure that program participants are able to successfully obtain self-sufficiency and exit homelessness. Reviewer understands, but not clearly, each type of support service and/or does not clearly understand how that service or assistance corresponds to successfully helping program participants obtain self-sufficiency and exit homelessness. (12 points) Applicant provides limited description about the types of support services and assistance that will be offered, along with how the program will ensure that program participants are able to successfully obtain self-sufficiency and exit homelessness. Reviewer does not understand each type of support service and/or does not understand how that service or assistance corresponds to successfully helping program participants obtain self-sufficiency and exit homelessness. (0 points)		
Applicant clearly describe how the provision of tenant-based rental assistance will help individuals and families achieve self-sufficiency within 24 months.	Local Project Application	Applicant thoroughly describes how the provision of tenant-based rental assistance will help individuals and families achieve self-sufficiency within 24 months. Reviewer clearly understands how providing tenant-based rental assistance will correlate with supportive services to maximize the opportunity for program participants to achieve self-sufficiency within 24 months. (25 points) Applicant moderately describes how the provision of tenant-based rental assistance will help individuals and families achieve self-sufficiency within 24 months. Reviewer understands, but not clearly, how providing tenant-based rental assistance will correlate with supportive services to maximize the opportunity for program participants to achieve self-sufficiency within 24 months. (12 points) Applicant provides limited description of how the provision of tenant-based rental assistance will help individuals and families achieve self-sufficiency within 24 months. Reviewer does not understand how providing tenant-based rental assistance will correlate with supportive services to maximize the opportunity for program participants to achieve self-sufficiency within 24 months. (0 points)		
Applicant clearly describes how the project participants will be connected with other resources from other public or private resources. Applicant describes how the project will leverage mainstream health, social service, and employment resources, including but not limited to Medicare, Medicaid, SSI, SNAP, workforce development programs, behavioral health services, and other community-based supports to enhance participant outcomes and housing stability.	Local Project Application	Applicant thoroughly describes the types of public and private resources the program participants will be connected with, along with how mainstream benefits will be leveraged. Reviewer clearly understands what resources and benefits will be utilized to leverage assistance provided to participants. <u>To receive full points, applicant must detail the follow-up process that occurs in the agency to ensure resources and mainstream benefits are accessed. This does not include simply applying for the assistance.</u> (25 points) Applicant moderately describes the types of public and private resources the program participants will be connected with, along with how mainstream benefits will be leveraged. Reviewer understands some resources and benefits will be utilized and/or is not clear how mainstream benefits will be leveraged. Applicant does not state a clear and understandable follow-up process to ensure program participants have assistance in the process beyond simply applying. (12 points) Applicant provides limited description of the types of public and private resources the program participants will be connected with and/or minimal description of how mainstream benefits will be leveraged. Reviewer cannot understand the types of public or private resources and/or how participants will be connected to them. Applicant is not clear how mainstream benefits will be leveraged. Applicant does not state the follow-up process to ensure program participants have assistance in the process beyond simply applying. (0 points)		
Applicant clearly describes agency's prior experience operating a rental assistance project or has a plan in place to have, at least 50 percent of participants exit to permanent housing within 24 months and at least 50 percent of participants exit with employment income as reflected in HIMS or another data system used by the applicant, or has a plan in place to ensure this.	Local Project Application	Applicant thoroughly describes their prior experience operating rental assistance projects or other housing projects or has a plan in place to have at least 50 percent of program participants exit to permanent housing within 24 months and at least 50 percent of program participants exit with employment income. Reviewer can clearly understand a program's prior experience or clearly understands the plan for providing services. <u>To obtain full points in this section, applicant must address both a) and b) or c) in their response.</u> a) In addition to their prior experience, applicant must state their percent of program participants exiting to permanent housing within 24 months, and b) their percent of program participants exiting with employment income, or c) a detailed plan that clearly describes how the agency will ensure the 50 percent exits to permanent housing and 50 percent of employment income will be met. (25 points) Applicant moderately describes their prior experience operating rental assistance projects or other housing projects or has a plan in place to have at least 50 percent of program participants exit to permanent housing within 24 months and at least 50 percent of program participants exit with employment income. Reviewer can understand a program's prior experience but percents of prior success with permanent housing or employment income is not provided or not clear. Applicant without prior experience does not clearly detail how the applicant will ensure the 50 percent exits to permanent housing and 50 percent of employment income will be met. (12 points) Applicant provides a limited description of their prior experience operating rental assistance projects or other housing projects or has a plan in place to have at least 50 percent of program participants exit to permanent housing within 24 months and at least 50 percent of program participants exit with employment income. Reviewer can not clearly establish the prior experience or prior project experience of the applicant, no prior experience percentages are provided for permanent exits or employment income at exit, and/or the plan to provide how the agency will ensure the 50 percent exits to permanent housing and 50 percent of employment income will be met is missing, unclear, vague, or not understandable. (0 points)		
Project Initiatives	Source	Points Available	Points Awarded	Reviewer Comments
Proposed project is within a HUD defined Opportunity Zone.	Local application and/ or e-snaps Application and form HUD 2996	3 Points		
Project has or will incorporate supportive service participation requirements in their program design, based on individual need in accordance with 24 CFR 578.75(h).	Local Project Application	3 Points		
Dedicated Sober Living Project.	Local Project Application	3 Points		
Proposed project serves a sub-population(s) as identified in the NOFO.	Local Project Application	5 Points		
Project meets the needs, priorities and service gaps of the CoC. (Applicant clearly identifies the counties and regions served and demonstrates alignment with CoC geographic priorities and identified gaps in service.)	Local Project Application and/or e-snaps	Informational Only / Tie Breaker	0	
Total Points			0	

Component: DV-RRH	Source	Points Available	Points Awarded	Reviewer Comments
General CoC Questions	Source	Points Available	Points Awarded	Reviewer Comments
First-year member is defined as an applicant that has filled out their first application for CoC membership with MO-606 since September 1, 2025 as documented on MO BOS Membership List.				
Participation in Coordinated Entry (Current CoC Participating Agency)	Report from HMIS and Regional CE Lead	Applicant is an access point and only accepts referrals from the Coordinated Entry System, and attends at least 60% of case conferencing meetings. (10 Points) Applicant is an access point, and only accepts referrals from the Coordinated Entry System, and attends less than 60% of case conferencing meetings. (5 points) Applicant accepts referrals from outside the Coordinated Entry System and/or did not attend case conferencing. (0 points)		
Participation in Coordinated Entry (First Year Member)	Local Project Application	Applicant fully described participation as access point and will only accept referrals from the Coordinated Entry System, and will attend at least 60% of case conferencing meetings. (10 Points) Applicant described participation as access point, and will only accepts referrals from the Coordinated Entry System, and did not explain plan to attend at least 60% of case conferencing meetings. (5 points) Applicant did not describe agency participation in Coordination Entry System or plan to attend no less than 60% of case conferencing meetings. (0 points)		
Point-in-Time Count Participation (Current CoC Participating Agency)	Certification of participation in the most recent unsheltered (2025) and 2026 Mo BoS CoC PIT Count from the PIT Committee	Elected Regional PIT Coordinator. (6 points) PIT County lead as recorded by the Regional PIT Coordinator. (4 Points) Organization staff participated in any other way for the PIT count including volunteers. (2 Points) Organization's staff did not participate in the PIT Count. (0 Points)		
Point-in-Time Count Participation (First Year Member)	Local Project Application	Applicant fully described how agency will participate as a Regional PIT Coordinator in the 2027 PIT Count. (6 points) Applicant described how agency will participate as a PIT County lead in the 2027 PIT Count. (4 Points) Applicant described how agency will participate in any other way for the 2027 PIT count including volunteering. (2 Points) Applicant did not describe how agency would participate in the 2027 PIT count as regional coordinator, lead or other supporting roles. (0 Points)		
CoC Planning and Operation Activities (Current CoC Participating Agency)	Agency narratives from Local Project Application/General CoC Activities, Section C; BoS meeting minutes.	Agency demonstrates extensive participation in Missouri BoS CoC-wide activities. This requires leadership roles, active participation on multiple committees, subcommittees, or workgroups, engagement in regional or statewide initiatives beyond its local service area, and meaningful contributions that strengthen the CoC. (10 points) Agency demonstrates consistent participation in Missouri BoS CoC activities, including regular attendance at membership meetings, participation on at least one committee or workgroup, and involvement in regional or statewide initiatives. (5 points) Agency does not demonstrate participation in Missouri BoS CoC-wide activities. The narrative response lacks sufficient detail to demonstrate a commitment in the CoC beyond minimal participation to receive funding and is not evidenced by CoC records/minutes. (0 Points)		
CoC Planning and Operation Activities (First Year Member)	Local Project Application	Applicant fully described how agency will extensively participate in Missouri BoS CoC-wide activities including leadership roles, active participation on multiple committees, subcommittees, or workgroups, engagement in regional or statewide initiatives beyond its local service area, and meaningful contributions that strengthen the CoC. (10 points) Applicant described how agency will consistently participate in Missouri BoS CoC activities, including regular attendance at membership meetings, participation on at least one committee or workgroup, and involvement in regional or statewide initiatives. (5 points) Applicant did not describe commitment to participation in CoC activities. (0 Points)		
CoC Membership Meetings	Local Project Application	Organization staff attended 100% of meetings of the last four meetings of the full Mo BoS CoC membership or provided a plan to attend CoC membership meeting as part of CoC participation. (10 points) Organization staff attended 75% of meetings of the last four meetings of the full Mo BoS CoC membership. (5 Points) Organization staff did not attend 75% of meetings of the last four meetings of the full Mo BoS CoC membership or did not provide plan to attend CoC membership meeting as part of CoC participation. (0 Points)		

Grants Management, Financial Capacity, and Spending	Source	Points Available	Points Awarded	Reviewer Comments, if applicable
Did the organization have any HUD monitoring or OIG audit findings for any HUD grants (including ESG) in the last two years.	Local Project Application and/or e-snaps	Recipient has been monitored by either HUD, State, or OIG within the last two years and there have been no findings identified or no monitoring has been completed. (10 Points) Recipient had one HUD or OIG finding from monitoring conducted within the last two years and/or has had findings in the last monitoring conducted. (5 points) Recipient has two or more HUD/OIG findings open or sanctions have been imposed (0 points)		
Did the applicant agency timely submit an audit as required under 2 CFR § 200.501 for recipients of federal funds, if applicable (e.g. single audit).	Local Project Application	Recipient has been timely in its submission of the audits required under 2 CFR § 200.501, if applicable, and/or has not received a finding and/or received a recommendation in a management letter based on its current accounting practices. (10 points) Recipient has not been timely in its submission of the audits required under 2 CFR § 200.501, if applicable, and/or has received a finding or has received a recommendation in a management letter based on its current accounting practices. (5 points) Recipient has not been timely in its submission of the audits required under 2 CFR § 200.501, if applicable and has received more than one finding, material weaknesses and/or deficiency identified and/or has received a recommendation in a management letter based on its current accounting practices or does not conduct any level of financial audit. (0 points)		
Project documented match requirement/amount of at least 25% of total budget (excluding leasing funds).	Local Project Application and/or e-snaps	The project documents eligible match equal to or greater than 25% of the total project budget (excluding leasing funds). Documentation is complete, accurate, and demonstrates that all match sources meet HUD requirements. (25 points) The project identifies sufficient match to meet the 25% requirement (excluding leasing funds), but the documentation is incomplete, contains minor deficiencies, or requires clarification before it can be verified. (12 points) The project does not document the required 25% match (excluding leasing funds), or the submitted documentation is insufficient to verify compliance with HUD match requirements. (0 points)		
Applicant provides the total funding amount requested for the project, including a clear and complete budget breakdown by all eligible cost categories (Leasing, Rental Assistance, Operating, Supportive Services, HHS, and Administrative costs).	Local Application/Budget Page	Applicant budget is clear, reasonable, and detailed to understand costs on the project. All lines are completed and the total budget matches the total request of the application. All costs on the budget are allowable for the project type. (25 points) Applicant budget is not clear, reasonable, and detailed to understand costs on the project. One line item is incomplete or missing and/or the total budget does not match the total request of the application. Or one budget line item is not allowable for the project type. (12 points) Applicant budget is hard to understand. More than one line item is incomplete or missing and the total budget does not match the total request of the application. Line items do not match with overall project and more than one budget line item is not allowable for the project type. (0 points)		
Applicant provides the average cost per household served and demonstrates that the cost is reasonable, clearly calculated, and appropriate for the proposed project type and level of services.	Local Project Application	Applicant thoroughly describes the average cost per household. The cost is clearly calculated, reasonable, and comparable with other CoC PSH projects. If the cost is unique or high supportive services are needed, the applicant has thoroughly justified the reason in a manner that is clear, concise, and reasonable. (25 points) Applicant moderately describes the average cost per household. The cost is not clearly calculated, reasonable, and/or comparable with other CoC PSH projects. If the cost is unique or high supportive services are needed, the applicant has moderately justified the reason in a manner that is somewhat clear, concise, and reasonable. Reviewer can understand calculations but does not understand the need related to the cost. (12 points) Applicant minimally describes the average cost per household. The cost is not clearly calculated, reasonable, and/or comparable with other CoC PSH projects. If the cost is unique or high supportive services are needed, the applicant has minimally justified the reason, and the reviewer cannot understand the unique or higher cost need. (0 points)		
Project Description and Scope	Source	Points Available	Points Awarded	Reviewer Comments
Applicant details the type of supportive services and assistance that will be offered to program participants (e.g., case management, substance use treatment, mental health treatment, and employment assistance) to ensure that the participant is able to successfully obtain self-sufficiency and exit homelessness.	Local Project Application	Applicant thoroughly describes the types of support services and assistance that will be offered, along with how the program will ensure that program participants are able to successfully obtain self-sufficiency and exit homelessness. Reviewer clearly understands each type of support service and how that service or assistance corresponds to successfully helping program participants obtain self-sufficiency and exit homelessness. (20 points) Applicant moderately describes the types of support services and assistance that will be offered, along with how the program will ensure that program participants are able to successfully obtain self-sufficiency and exit homelessness. Reviewer understands, but not clearly, each type of support service and/or does not clearly understand how that service or assistance corresponds to successfully helping program participants obtain self-sufficiency and exit homelessness. (10 points) Applicant provides limited description about the types of support services and assistance that will be offered, along with how the program will ensure that program participants are able to successfully obtain self-sufficiency and exit homelessness. Reviewer does not understand each type of support service and/or does not understand how that service or assistance corresponds to successfully helping program participants obtain self-sufficiency and exit homelessness. (0 points)		
Applicant clearly describe how the provision of tenant-based rental assistance will help individuals and families achieve self-sufficiency within 24 months.	Local Project Application	Applicant thoroughly describes how the provision of tenant-based rental assistance will help individuals and families achieve self-sufficiency within 24 months. Reviewer clearly understands how providing tenant-based rental assistance will correlate with supportive services to maximize the opportunity for program participants to achieve self-sufficiency within 24 months. (10 points) Applicant moderately describes how the provision of tenant-based rental assistance will help individuals and families achieve self-sufficiency within 24 months. Reviewer understands, but not clearly, how providing tenant-based rental assistance will correlate with supportive services to maximize the opportunity for program participants to achieve self-sufficiency within 24 months. (5 points) Applicant provides limited description of how the provision of tenant-based rental assistance will help individuals and families achieve self-sufficiency within 24 months. Reviewer does not understand how providing tenant-based rental assistance will correlate with supportive services to maximize the opportunity for program participants to achieve self-sufficiency within 24 months. (0 points)		
Applicant clearly describes how the project participants will be connected with other resources from other public or private resources. Applicant describes how the project will leverage mainstream health, social service, and employment resources, including but not limited to Medicare, Medicaid, SSI, SNAP, workforce development programs, behavioral health services, and other community-based supports to enhance participant outcomes and housing stability.	Local Project Application	Applicant thoroughly describes the types of public and private resources the program participants will be connected with, along with how mainstream benefits will be leveraged. Reviewer clearly understands what resources and benefits will be utilized to leverage assistance provided to participants. <u>To obtain full points in this section, applicant must detail the follow-up process that occurs in the agency to ensure resources and mainstream benefits are accessed. This does not include simply applying for the assistance.</u> (10 points) Applicant moderately describes the types of public and private resources the program participants will be connected with, along with how mainstream benefits will be leveraged. Reviewer understands some resources and benefits will be utilized and/or is not clear how mainstream benefits will be leveraged. Applicant does not state a clear and understandable follow-up process to ensure program participants have assistance in the process beyond simply applying. (5 points) Applicant provides limited description of the types of public and private resources the program participants will be connected with and/or minimal description of how mainstream benefits will be leveraged. Reviewer cannot understand the types of public or private resources and/or how participants will be connected to them. Applicant is not clear how mainstream benefits will be leveraged. Applicant does not state the follow-up process to ensure program participants have assistance in the process beyond simply applying. (0 points)		
Applicant clearly describes agency's prior experience operating a rental assistance project or has a plan in place to have, at least 50 percent of participants exit to permanent housing within 24 months and at least 50 percent of participants exit with employment income as reflected in HIMS or another data system used by the applicant, or has a plan in place to ensure this.	Local Project Application	Applicant thoroughly describes their prior experience operating rental assistance projects or other housing projects or has a plan in place to have at least 50 percent of program participants exit to permanent housing within 24 months and at least 50 percent of program participants exit with employment income. Reviewer can clearly understand a program's prior experience or clearly understands the plan for providing services. <u>To obtain full points in this section, applicant must address both a) and b) or c) in their response:</u> a) in addition to their prior experience, applicant must state their percent of program participants exiting to permanent housing within 24 months, and b) their percent of program participants exiting with employment income, or c) a detailed plan that clearly describes how the agency will ensure the 50 percent exits to permanent housing and 50 percent of employment income will be met. (20 points) Applicant moderately describes their prior experience operating rental assistance projects or other housing projects or has a plan in place to have at least 50 percent of program participants exit to permanent housing within 24 months and at least 50 percent of program participants exit with employment income. Reviewer can understand a program's prior experience but percents of prior success with permanent housing or employment income is not provided or not clear. Applicant without prior experience does not clearly detail how the applicant will ensure the 50 percent exits to permanent housing and 50 percent of employment income will be met. (10 points) Applicant provides a limited description of their prior experience operating rental assistance projects or other housing projects or has a plan in place to have at least 50 percent of program participants exit to permanent housing within 24 months and at least 50 percent of program participants exit with employment income. Reviewer cannot clearly establish the prior experience or prior project experience of the applicant, no prior experience percentages are provided for permanent exits or employment income at exit, and/or the plan to provide how the agency will ensure the 50 percent exits to permanent housing and 50 percent of employment income will be met is missing, unclear, vague, or not understandable. (0 points)		

DV Supplemental Questions	Source	Points Available	Points Awarded	Reviewer Comments
CONFIDENTIALITY OF SERVICES: Project described agency's confidentiality policies that protect survivors' personally identifying information (PII) in compliance with VAWA, PVP/SA, VOCA, and HUD requirements, including how informed consent is obtained, how staff are trained on this issue, how data is secured and shared, and how these policies are implemented with other agency partnerships.	Local Project Application	Applicant thoroughly details confidentiality policies, how informed consent is obtained, staff training on confidentiality, and data sharing with other agencies, while demonstrating accurate knowledge of federal laws requiring confidentiality of survivors. <u>To obtain full points, applicant must clearly state all five requests for information: policy, informed consent, staff training, data sharing, knowledge of federal laws.</u> (10 points) Applicant moderately details confidentiality policies, how informed consent is obtained, staff training on confidentiality, and data sharing with other agencies and/or applicant does not demonstrate accurate knowledge of federal laws requiring confidentiality of survivors. Reviewer is not clear how confidentiality policies are implemented for survivor safety. (5 points) Applicant provides limited description of confidentiality policies, how informed consent is obtained, staff training on confidentiality, and data sharing with other agencies, while demonstrating accurate knowledge of federal laws requiring confidentiality of survivors. <u>If applicant fails to address any one of these, the score will be ZERO: confidentiality policies, informed consent, staff training, data sharing, and knowledge of federal laws.</u> (0 points)		
DATA SAFETY: Did non-primary victim service provider describe agency's policies and procedures to inform survivors about the benefits and risks of entering information into a HMIS database. Include the following: Who may access their PII, how survivors may withdraw consent at any time, and options to keep their information confidential, including a locked HMIS file. For primary victim service providers, Applicant described agency's policies and procedures to inform survivors about the benefits and risks of entering information into a comparable database. Include the following: Who may access their PII, how survivors may withdraw consent at any time, and options to keep their information confidential, including anonymous reporting.	Local Project Application	Applicant thoroughly describes their data policies in regard to database entry, access of their personally identifying information, informed consent and how that is withdrawn, and options to keep survivor information confidential and unshared. Applicant is clear how survivors are informed of applicant policies and procedures. <u>To obtain full points, applicant must address each component type of data: database utilized, agency policies for entering survivor data, protection of personally identifying information, informed consent, withdrawing consent, and options to prevent sharing of survivor information.</u> (10 points) Applicant moderately describes their data policies in regard to database entry, access of their personally identifying information, informed consent and how that is withdrawn, and options to keep survivor information confidential and unshared. Reviewer is not clear about how data is entered, utilized, protected and unshared by the applicant and/or applicant does not clearly identify how survivors are informed of applicant policies and procedures. (5 points) Applicant provides limited description of their data policies in regard to database entry, access of their personally identifying information, informed consent and how that is withdrawn, and options to keep survivor information confidential and unshared. <u>If applicant fails to address any one of these, the score will be ZERO: database utilized, agency policies for entering survivor data, protection of personally identifying information, informed consent, withdrawing consent, and options to prevent sharing of survivor information.</u> (0 points)		
Applicant describes how agency assists survivors to identify potential safety risks. Include the following: attach the Agency/project safety plan for survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking and include how safety planning is evaluated and the outcomes for the prior 12 months. If Applicant is a new victim services provider and does not have 12 months of evaluation data, Applicant describes how agency will collect this data and how it will be used to implement improvements. Plans should include: **Information sharing policies that include de-identification for any client data that may be collected for Coordinated Entry efforts **Comparable database use for all programs where the target population is survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking. **Comparable database should include the ability to generate aggregate CAPER reports for the reporting of System Performance Measures within the data sharing policies for survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking. **Support available to adjust safety plans.	Local Project Application	Applicant thoroughly describes how the applicant safety plans with survivors, how information is de-identified for Coordinated Entry, details comparable database or HMIS protections, and applicant states ability to generate APRs. If applicant is a Victim Service Provider, the standard safety outcome measure for the last 12 months has been provided. If applicant is not a Victim Service Provider, applicant has submitted a plan to collect safety outcome measures that is clear, understandable, and acceptable in victim service agency protocols. (10 points) Applicant moderately describes how the applicant safety plans with survivors, how information is de-identified for Coordinated Entry, details comparable database or HMIS protections, and applicant states ability to generate APRs. Reviewer understands but is not clear about how the agency implements or modifies safety plans and/or how information is de-identified in Coordinated Entry and/or how comparable databases or HMIS protections are provided and/or agency does not state their ability to generate APRs and/or applicant fails to submit safety outcome measures and/or applicant fails to submit plan to collect safety outcome measures. (5 points) Applicant provides limited description of how the applicant safety plans with survivors, how information is de-identified for Coordinated Entry, details comparable database or HMIS protections, and applicant states ability to generate APRs. Reviewer does not understand how the agency implements or modifies safety plans and/or how information is de-identified in Coordinated Entry and/or how comparable databases or HMIS protections are provided and/or agency does not state their ability to generate APRs and/or applicant fails to submit safety outcome measures and/or applicant fails to submit plan to collect safety outcome measures. (0 points)		
Applicant describes how agency helps connect survivors to available community resources. Include the following: How are relevant referrals provided, how are survivors assisted in accessing mainstream benefits, and how accessing community resources are evaluated and the outcomes for the prior 12 months. If Applicant is a new victim services provider and does not have 12 months of evaluation data, please describe how you will collect this data and how it will be used to implement improvements.	Local Project Application	Applicant thoroughly describes how the applicant connects survivors to community resources, including referrals and mainstream benefits. If applicant is a Victim Service Provider, the standard community resources outcome measure for the last 12 months has been provided. If applicant is not a Victim Service Provider, applicant has submitted a plan to collect community resources outcome measures that is clear, understandable, and acceptable in victim service agency protocols. (5 points) Applicant moderately describes how the applicant connects survivors to community resources, including referrals and mainstream benefits. Reviewer understands but is not clear about how the applicant connects survivors to available community resources and/or applicant does not address how survivors are accessing mainstream benefits and/or applicant fails to submit community resources outcome measures and/or applicant fails to submit plan to collect community resources outcome measures. (3 points) Applicant provides limited description of how the applicant connects survivors to community resources, including referrals and mainstream benefits. Reviewer does not understand how the applicant connects survivors to available community resources and/or applicant does not address how survivors are accessing mainstream benefits and/or applicant fails to submit community resources outcome measures and/or applicant fails to submit plan to collect community resources outcome measures. (0 points)		
Applicant describes how agency's current or planned involvement in the Coordinated Entry System, specifically to the non-HMIS list and safety protocols of the CoC. Applicant describes agency upholds survivor confidentiality when working with other system partners and within case conferencing.	Local Project Application	Applicant thoroughly describes involvement with Coordinated Entry, has strong understanding how the non-HMIS list operates, and details clearly how applicant upholds survivor confidentiality within case conferencing and CoC system partners. (5 points) Applicant moderately describes involvement with Coordinated Entry, has some understanding how the non-HMIS list operates, and does not clearly state how the applicant upholds survivor confidentiality within case conferencing and CoC system partners. (3 points) Applicant provides limited description of involvement with Coordinated Entry, has little to no understanding how the non-HMIS list operates, and/or does not clearly state how the applicant upholds survivor confidentiality within case conferencing and CoC system partners. (0 points)		
Project Initiatives	Source	Points Available	Points Awarded	Reviewer Comments
Proposed project is within a HUD defined Opportunity Zone.	Local application and/ or e-snaps Application and form HUD 2996	3 Points		
Project has or will incorporate supportive service participation requirements in their program design, based on individual need in accordance with 24 CFR 578.75(h).	Local Project Application	3 Points		
Dedicated Sober Living Project.	Local Project Application	3 Points		
Proposed project serves a sub-population(s) as identified in the NOFO.	Local Project Application	5 Points		
Project meets the needs, priorities and service gaps of the CoC. (Applicant clearly identifies the counties and regions served and demonstrates alignment with CoC geographic priorities and identified gaps in service.)	Local Project Application and/or e-snaps	Informational Only / Tie Breaker	0	
Total Points			0	

Component: DV-TH	Source	Points Available	Points Awarded	Reviewer Comments
General CoC Questions	Source	Points Available	Points Awarded	Reviewer Comments
First-year member is defined as an applicant that has filled out their first application for CoC membership with MO-606 since September 1, 2025 as documented on MO BoS Membership List.				
Participation in Coordinated Entry (Current CoC Participating Agency)	Report from HMIS and Regional CE Lead	Applicant is an access point and only accepts referrals from the Coordinated Entry System, and attends at least 60% of case conferencing meetings. (10 Points) Applicant is an access point, and only accepts referrals from the Coordinated Entry System, and attends less than 60% of case conferencing meetings. (5 points) Applicant accepts referrals from outside the Coordinated Entry System and/or did not attend case conferencing. (0 points)		
Participation in Coordinated Entry (First Year Member)	Local Project Application	Applicant fully described participation as access point and will only accept referrals from the Coordinated Entry System, and will attend at least 60% of case conferencing meetings. (10 Points) Applicant described participation as access point, and will only accepts referrals from the Coordinated Entry System, and did not explain plan to attend at least 60% of case conferencing meetings. (5 points) Applicant did not describe agency participation in Coordination Entry System or plan to attend no less than 60% of case conferencing meetings. (0 points)		
Point-in-Time Count Participation (Current CoC Participating Agency)	Certification of participation in the most recent unsheltered (2025) and 2026 Mo BoS CoC PIT Count from the PIT Committee	Elected Regional PIT Coordinator. (6 points) PIT County lead as recorded by the Regional PIT Coordinator. (4 Points) Organization staff participated in any other way for the PIT count including volunteers. (2 Points) Organization's staff did not participate in the PIT Count. (0 Points)		
Point-in-Time Count Participation (First Year Member)	Local Project Application	Applicant fully described how agency will participate as a Regional PIT Coordinator in the 2027 PIT Count. (6 points) Applicant described how agency will participate as a PIT County lead in the 2027 PIT Count. (4 Points) Applicant described how agency will participate in any other way for the 2027 PIT count including volunteering. (2 Points) Applicant did not describe how agency would participate in the 2027 PIT count as regional coordinator, lead or other supporting roles. (0 Points)		
CoC Planning and Operation Activities (Current CoC Participating Agency)	Agency narratives from Local Project Application/General CoC Activities, Section C, BoS meeting minutes.	Agency demonstrates extensive participation in Missouri BoS CoC-wide activities. This requires leadership roles, active participation on multiple committees, subcommittees, or workgroups, engagement in regional or statewide initiatives beyond its local service area, and meaningful contributions that strengthen the CoC. (10 points) Agency demonstrates consistent participation in Missouri BoS CoC activities, including regular attendance at membership meetings, participation on at least one committee or workgroup, and involvement in regional or statewide initiatives. (5 points) Agency does not demonstrate participation in Missouri BoS CoC-wide activities. The narrative response lacks sufficient detail to demonstrate a commitment in the CoC beyond minimal participation to receive funding and is not evidenced by CoC records/minutes. (0 Points)		
CoC Planning and Operation Activities (First Year Member)	Local Project Application	Applicant fully described how agency will extensively participate in Missouri BoS CoC-wide activities including leadership roles, active participation on multiple committees, subcommittees, or workgroups, engagement in regional or statewide initiatives beyond its local service area, and meaningful contributions that strengthen the CoC. (10 points) Applicant described how agency will consistently participate in Missouri BoS CoC activities, including regular attendance at membership meetings, participation on at least one committee or workgroup, and involvement in regional or statewide initiatives. (5 points) Applicant did not describe commitment to participation in CoC activities. (0 Points)		
CoC Membership Meetings	Local Project Application	Organization staff attended 100% of meetings of the last four meetings of the full Mo BoS CoC membership or provided a plan to attend CoC membership meeting as part of CoC participation. (10 points) Organization staff attended 75% of meetings of the last four meetings of the full Mo BoS CoC membership. (5 Points) Organization staff did not attend 75% of meetings of the last four meetings of the full Mo BoS CoC membership or did not provide plan to attend CoC membership meeting as part of CoC participation. (0 Points)		
Grants Management, Financial Capacity, and Spending	Source	Points Available	Points Awarded	Reviewer Comments, if applicable
Did the organization have any HUD monitoring or OIG audit findings for any HUD grants (including ESG) in the last two years.	Local Project Application and/or e-snaps	Recipient has been monitored by either HUD, State, or OIG within the last two years and there have been no findings identified or no monitoring has been completed. (10 Points) Recipient had one HUD or OIG finding from monitoring conducted within the last two years and/or has had findings in the last monitoring conducted. (5 points) Recipient has two or more HUD/OIG findings open or sanctions have been imposed (0 points)		
Did the applicant agency timely submit an audit as required under 2 CFR § 200.501 for recipients of federal funds, if applicable (e.g. single audit).	Local Project Application	Recipient has been timely in its submission of the audits required under 2 CFR § 200.501, if applicable, and/or has not received a finding and/or received a recommendation in a management letter based on its current accounting practices. (10 points) Recipient has not been timely in its submission of the audits required under 2 CFR § 200.501, if applicable, and/or has received a finding or has received a recommendation in a management letter based on its current accounting practices. (5 points) Recipient has not been timely in its submission of the audits required under 2 CFR § 200.501, if applicable and has received more than one finding, material weaknesses and/or deficiency identified and/or has received a recommendation in a management letter based on its current accounting practices or does not conduct any level of financial audit. (0 points)		
Project documented match requirement/amount of at least 25% of total budget (excluding leasing funds)	Local Project Application and/or e-snaps	The project documents eligible match equal to or greater than 25% of the total project budget (excluding leasing funds). Documentation is complete, accurate, and demonstrates that all match sources meet HUD requirements. (25 points) The project identifies sufficient match to meet the 25% requirement (excluding leasing funds), but the documentation is incomplete, contains minor deficiencies, or requires clarification before it can be verified. (12 points) The project does not document the required 25% match (excluding leasing funds), or the submitted documentation is insufficient to verify compliance with HUD match requirements. (0 points)		
Applicant provides the total funding amount requested for the project, including a clear and complete budget breakdown by all eligible cost categories (Leasing, Rental Assistance, Operating, Supportive Services, HMIS, and Administrative costs).	Local Application/Budget Page	Applicant budget is clear, reasonable, and detailed to understand costs on the project. All lines are completed and the total budget matches the total request of the application. All costs on the budget are allowable for the project type. (25 points) Applicant budget is not clear, reasonable, and detailed to understand costs on the project. One line item is incomplete or missing and/or the total budget does not match the total request of the application. Or one budget line item is not allowable for the project type. (12 points) Applicant budget is hard to understand. More than one line item is incomplete or missing and the total budget does not match the total request of the application. Line items do not match with overall project and more than one budget line item is not allowable for the project type. (0 points)		
Applicant provides the average cost per household served and demonstrates that the cost is reasonable, clearly calculated, and appropriate for the proposed project type and level of services.	Local Project Application	Applicant thoroughly describes the average cost per household. The cost is clearly calculated, reasonable, and comparable with other CoC PSH projects. If the cost is unique or high supportive services are needed, the applicant has thoroughly justified the reason in a manner that is clear, concise, and reasonable. (25 points) Applicant moderately describes the average cost per household. The cost is not clearly calculated, reasonable, and/or comparable with other CoC PSH projects. If the cost is unique or high supportive services are needed, the applicant has moderately justified the reason in a manner that is somewhat clear, concise, and reasonable. Reviewer can understand calculations but does not understand the need related to the cost. (12 points) Applicant minimally describes the average cost per household. The cost is not clearly calculated, reasonable, and/or comparable with other CoC PSH projects. If the cost is unique or high supportive services are needed, the applicant has minimally justified the reason, and the reviewer cannot understand the unique or higher cost need. (0 points)		

Project Description and Scope	Source	Points Available	Points Awarded	Reviewer Comments
Applicant describes the type of supportive services and assistance that will be offered to program participants (e.g., case management, substance use treatment, mental health treatment, and employment assistance) to ensure that the participant is able to successfully obtain self-sufficiency and exit homelessness.	Local Application	Applicant thoroughly describes the types of support services and assistance that will be offered, along with how the program will ensure that program participants are able to successfully obtain self-sufficiency and exit homelessness. Reviewer clearly understands each type of support service and how that service or assistance corresponds to successfully helping program participants obtain self-sufficiency and exit homelessness. (20 points) Applicant moderately describes the types of support services and assistance that will be offered, along with how the program will ensure that program participants are able to successfully obtain self-sufficiency and exit homelessness. Reviewer understands, but not clearly, each type of support service and/or does not clearly understand how that service or assistance corresponds to successfully helping program participants obtain self-sufficiency and exit homelessness. (10 points) Applicant provides limited description about the types of support services and assistance that will be offered, along with how the program will ensure that program participants are able to successfully obtain self-sufficiency and exit homelessness. Reviewer does not understand each type of support service and/or does not understand how that service or assistance corresponds to successfully helping program participants obtain self-sufficiency and exit homelessness. (0 points)		
Applicant describe how the provision of tenant-based rental assistance will help individuals and families achieve self-sufficiency within 24 months.	Local Application	Applicant thoroughly describes how the provision of tenant-based rental assistance will help individuals and families achieve self-sufficiency within 24 months. Reviewer clearly understands how providing tenant-based rental assistance will correlate with supportive services to maximize the opportunity for program participants to achieve self-sufficiency within 24 months. (10 points) Applicant moderately describes how the provision of tenant-based rental assistance will help individuals and families achieve self-sufficiency within 24 months. Reviewer understands, but not clearly, how providing tenant-based rental assistance will correlate with supportive services to maximize the opportunity for program participants to achieve self-sufficiency within 24 months. (5 points) Applicant provides limited description of how the provision of tenant-based rental assistance will help individuals and families achieve self-sufficiency within 24 months. Reviewer does not understand how providing tenant-based rental assistance will correlate with supportive services to maximize the opportunity for program participants to achieve self-sufficiency within 24 months. (0 points)		
Applicant describes how the project participants will be connected with other resources from other public or private resources. Applicant describes how the project will leverage mainstream health, social service, and employment resources, including but not limited to Medicare, Medicaid, SSI, SNAP, workforce development programs, behavioral health services, and other community-based supports to enhance participant outcomes and housing stability.	Local Application	Applicant thoroughly describes the types of public and private resources the program participants will be connected with, along with how mainstream benefits will be leveraged. Reviewer clearly understands what resources and benefits will be utilized to leverage assistance provided to participants. <u>To receive full points, applicant must detail the follow-up process that occurs in the agency to ensure resources and mainstream benefits are accessed. This does not include simply applying for the assistance.</u> (10 points) Applicant moderately describes the types of public and private resources the program participants will be connected with, along with how mainstream benefits will be leveraged. Reviewer understands some resources and benefits will be utilized and/or is not clear how mainstream benefits will be leveraged. Applicant does not state a clear and understandable follow-up process to ensure program participants have assistance in the process beyond simply applying. (5 points) Applicant provides limited description of the types of public and private resources the program participants will be connected with and/or minimal description of how mainstream benefits will be leveraged. Reviewer cannot understand the types of public or private resources and/or how participants will be connected to them. Applicant is not clear how mainstream benefits will be leveraged. Applicant does not state the follow-up process to ensure program participants have assistance in the process beyond simply applying. (0 points)		
Applicant clearly describes agency's prior experience operating a rental assistance project or has a plan in place to have, at least 50 percent of participants exit to permanent housing within 24 months and at least 50 percent of participants exit with employment income as reflected in HMIS or another data system used by the applicant, or has a plan in place to ensure this.	Local Application	Applicant thoroughly describes their prior experience operating rental assistance projects or other housing projects or has a plan in place to have at least 50 percent of program participants exit to permanent housing within 24 months and at least 50 percent of program participants exit with employment income. Reviewer can clearly understand a program's prior experience or clearly understands the plan for providing services. <u>To obtain full points in this section, applicant must address both a) and b) or c) in their response:</u> a) In addition to their prior experience, applicant must state their percent of program participants exiting to permanent housing within 24 months, and b) their percent of program participants exiting with employment income, or c) a detailed plan that clearly describes how the agency will ensure the 50 percent exits to permanent housing and 50 percent of employment income will be met. (20 points) Applicant moderately describes their prior experience operating rental assistance projects or other housing projects or has a plan in place to have at least 50 percent of program participants exit to permanent housing within 24 months and at least 50 percent of program participants exit with employment income. Reviewer can understand a program's prior experience but percents of prior success with permanent housing or employment income is not provided or not clear. Applicant without prior experience does not clearly detail how the applicant will ensure the 50 percent exits to permanent housing and 50 percent of employment income will be met. (10 points) Applicant provides a limited description of their prior experience operating rental assistance projects or other housing projects or has a plan in place to have at least 50 percent of program participants exit to permanent housing within 24 months and at least 50 percent of program participants exit with employment income. Reviewer cannot clearly establish the prior experience or prior project experience of the applicant, no prior experience percentages are provided for permanent exits or employment income at exit, and/or the plan to provide how the agency will ensure the 50 percent exits to permanent housing and 50 percent of employment income will be met is missing, unclear, vague, or not understandable. (0 points)		

DV Supplemental Questions	Source	Points Available	Points Awarded	Reviewer Comments
CONFIDENTIALITY OF SERVICES: Project described agency's confidentiality policies that protect survivors' personally identifying information (PII) in compliance with VAWA, FVPSA, VOCA, and HUD requirements, including how informed consent is obtained, how staff are trained on this issue, how data is secured and shared, and how these policies are implemented with other agency partnerships.	Local Application	Applicant thoroughly details confidentiality policies, how informed consent is obtained, staff training on confidentiality, and data sharing with other agencies, while demonstrating accurate knowledge of federal laws requiring confidentiality of survivors. <u>To obtain full points, applicant must clearly state all five requests for information: policy, informed consent, staff training, data sharing, and knowledge of federal laws.</u> (10 points) Applicant moderately details confidentiality policies, how informed consent is obtained, staff training on confidentiality, and data sharing with other agencies and/or applicant does not demonstrate accurate knowledge of federal laws requiring confidentiality of survivors. Reviewer is not clear how confidentiality policies are implemented for survivor safety. (5 points) Applicant provides limited description of confidentiality policies, how informed consent is obtained, staff training on confidentiality, and data sharing with other agencies, while demonstrating accurate knowledge of federal laws requiring confidentiality of survivors. <u>If applicant fails to address any one of these, the score will be ZERO: confidentiality policies, informed consent, staff training, data sharing, and knowledge of federal laws.</u> (0 points)		
DATA SAFETY: Did non-primary victim service provider describe agency's policies and procedures to inform survivors about the benefits and risks of entering information into a HMIS database. Include the following: Who may access their PII, how survivors may withdraw consent at any time, and options to keep their information confidential, including a locked HMIS file. For primary victim service providers, Applicant described agency's policies and procedures to inform survivors about the benefits and risks of entering information into a comparable database. Include the following: Who may access their PII, how survivors may withdraw consent at any time, and options to keep their information confidential, including anonymous reporting.	Local Application	Applicant thoroughly describes their data policies in regard to database entry, access of their personally identifying information, informed consent and how that is withdrawn, and options to keep survivor information confidential and unshared. Applicant is clear how survivors are informed of applicant policies and procedures. <u>To obtain full points, applicant must address each component type of data: database utilized, agency policies for entering survivor data, protection of personally identifying information, informed consent, withdrawing consent, and options to prevent sharing of survivor information.</u> (10 points) Applicant moderately describes their data policies in regard to database entry, access of their personally identifying information, informed consent and how that is withdrawn, and options to keep survivor information confidential and unshared. Reviewer is not clear about how data is entered, utilized, protected and unshared by the applicant and/or applicant does not clearly identify how survivors are informed of applicant policies and procedures. (5 points) Applicant provides limited description of their data policies in regard to database entry, access of their personally identifying information, informed consent and how that is withdrawn, and options to keep survivor information confidential and unshared. <u>If applicant fails to address any one of these, the score will be ZERO: database utilized, agency policies for entering survivor data, protection of personally identifying information, informed consent, withdrawing consent, and options to prevent sharing of survivor information.</u> (0 points)		
Applicant describes how agency assists survivors to identify potential safety risks. Include the following: attach the Agency/project safety plan for survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking and include how safety planning is evaluated and the outcomes for the prior 12 months. If Applicant is a new victim services provider and does not have 12 months of evaluation data, Applicant describe how agency will collect this data and how it will be used to implement improvements. Plans should include: **Information sharing policies that include de-identification for any client data that may be collected for Coordinated Entry efforts **Comparable database use for all programs where the target population is survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking **Comparable database should include the ability to generate aggregate CAPER reports for the reporting of System Performance Measures within the data sharing policies for survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking. **Support available to adjust safety plans	Local Application	Applicant thoroughly describes how the applicant safety plans with survivors, how information is de-identified for Coordinated Entry, details comparable database or HMIS protections, and applicant states ability to generate APRs. If applicant is a Victim Service Provider, the standard safety outcome measure for the last 12 months has been provided. If applicant is not a Victim Service Provider, applicant has submitted a plan to collect safety outcome measures that is clear, understandable, and acceptable in victim service agency protocols. (10 points) Applicant moderately describes how the applicant safety plans with survivors, how information is de-identified for Coordinated Entry, details comparable database or HMIS protections, and applicant states ability to generate APRs. Reviewer understands but is not clear about how the agency implements or modifies safety plans and/or how information is de-identified in Coordinated Entry and/or how comparable databases or HMIS protections are provided and/or agency does not state their ability to generate APRs and/or applicant fails to submit safety outcome measures and/or applicant fails to submit plan to collect safety outcome measures. (5 points) Applicant provides limited description of how the applicant safety plans with survivors, how information is de-identified for Coordinated Entry, details comparable database or HMIS protections, and applicant states ability to generate APRs. Reviewer does not understand how the agency implements or modifies safety plans and/or how information is de-identified in Coordinated Entry and/or how comparable databases or HMIS protections are provided and/or agency does not state their ability to generate APRs and/or applicant fails to submit safety outcome measures and/or applicant fails to submit plan to collect safety outcome measures. (0 points)		
Applicant describes how agency helps connect survivors to available community resources. Include the following: How are relevant referrals provided, how are survivors assisted in accessing mainstream benefits, and how accessing community resources are evaluated and the outcomes for the prior 12 months. If Applicant is a new victim services provider and does not have 12 months of evaluation data, please describe how you will collect this data and how it will be used to implement improvements.	Local Application	Applicant thoroughly describes how the applicant connects survivors to community resources, including referrals and mainstream benefits. If applicant is a Victim Service Provider, the standard community resources outcome measure for the last 12 months has been provided. If applicant is not a Victim Service Provider, applicant has submitted a plan to collect community resources outcome measures that is clear, understandable, and acceptable in victim service agency protocols. (5 points) Applicant moderately describes how the applicant connects survivors to community resources, including referrals and mainstream benefits. Reviewer understands but is not clear about how the applicant connects survivors to available community resources and/or applicant does not address how survivors are accessing mainstream benefits and/or applicant fails to submit community resources outcome measures and/or applicant fails to submit plan to collect community resources outcome measures. (3 points) Applicant provides limited description of how the applicant connects survivors to community resources, including referrals and mainstream benefits. Reviewer does not understand how the applicant connects survivors to available community resources and/or applicant does not address how survivors are accessing mainstream benefits and/or applicant fails to submit community resources outcome measures and/or applicant fails to submit plan to collect community resources outcome measures. (0 points)		
Applicant describes how agency's current or planned involvement in the Coordinated Entry System, specifically to the non-HMIS list and safety protocols of the CoC. Applicant describes agency upholds survivor confidentiality when working with other system partners and within case conferencing.	Local Application	Applicant thoroughly describes involvement with Coordinated Entry, has strong understanding how the non-HMIS list operates, and details clearly how applicant upholds survivor confidentiality within case conferencing and CoC system partners. (5 points) Applicant moderately describes involvement with Coordinated Entry, has some understanding how the non-HMIS list operates, and does not clearly state how the applicant upholds survivor confidentiality within case conferencing and CoC system partners. (3 points) Applicant provides limited description of involvement with Coordinated Entry, has little to no understanding how the non-HMIS list operates, and/or does not clearly state how the applicant upholds survivor confidentiality within case conferencing and CoC system partners. (0 points)		

Project Initiatives	Source	Points Available	Points Awarded	Reviewer Comments
Proposed project is within a HUD defined Opportunity Zone.	Local application and/ or e-snaps Application and form HUD 2996	3 Points		
Project has or will incorporate supportive service participation requirements in their program design, based on individual need in accordance with 24 CFR 578.75(h).	Local project application.	3 Points		
Dedicated Sober Living Project.	Local project application.	3 Points		
Proposed project serves a sub-population(s) as identified in the NOFO.	Local project application.	5 Points		
Project meets the needs, priorities and service gaps of the CoC. (Applicant clearly identifies the counties and regions served and demonstrates alignment with CoC geographic priorities and identified gaps in service.)	Local Project Application and/or e-snaps	Informational Only / Tie Breaker	0	
Total Points			0	

Component: DV-SSO-CE	Source	Points Available	Points Awarded	Reviewer Comments
General CoC Questions	Source	Points Available	Points Awarded	Reviewer Comments
First-year member is defined as an applicant that has filled out their first application for CoC membership with MO-606 since September 1, 2025 as documented on MO BOS Membership List.				
Participation in Coordinated Entry (Current CoC Participating Agency)	Report from HMIS and Regional CE Lead	Applicant is an access point and only accepts referrals from the Coordinated Entry System, and attends at least 60% of case conferencing meetings. (10 Points) Applicant is an access point, and only accepts referrals from the Coordinated Entry System, and attends less than 60% of case conferencing meetings. (5 points) Applicant accepts referrals from outside the Coordinated Entry System and/or did not attend case conferencing. (0 points)		
Participation in Coordinated Entry (First Year Member)	Local Project Application	Applicant fully described participation as access point and will only accept referrals from the Coordinated Entry System, and will attend at least 60% of case conferencing meetings. (10 Points) Applicant described participation as access point, and will only accepts referrals from the Coordinated Entry System, and did not explain plan to attend at least 60% of case conferencing meetings. (5 points) Applicant did not describe agency participation in Coordination Entry System or plan to attend no less than 60% of case conferencing meetings. (0 points)		
Point-in-Time Count Participation (Current CoC Participating Agency)	Certification of participation in the most recent unsheltered (2025) and 2026 Mo BoS CoC PIT Count from the PIT Committee	Elected Regional PIT Coordinator. (6 points) PIT County lead as recorded by the Regional PIT Coordinator. (4 points) Organization staff participated in any other way for the PIT count including volunteers. (2 points) Organization's staff did not participate in the PIT Count. (0 Points)		
Point-in-Time Count Participation (First Year Member)	Local Project Application	Applicant fully described how agency will participate as a Regional PIT Coordinator in the 2027 PIT Count. (6 points) Applicant described how agency will participate as a PIT County lead in the 2027 PIT Count. (4 points) Applicant described how agency will participate in any other way for the 2027 PIT count including volunteering. (2 Points) Applicant did not describe how agency would participate in the 2027 PIT count as regional coordinator, lead or other supporting roles. (0 Points)		
CoC Planning and Operation Activities (Current CoC Participating Agency)	Agency narratives from Local Project Application/General CoC Activities, Section C; BoS meeting minutes.	Agency demonstrates extensive participation in Missouri BoS CoC-wide activities. This requires leadership roles, active participation on multiple committees, subcommittees, or workgroups, engagement in regional or statewide initiatives beyond its local service area, and meaningful contributions that strengthen the CoC. (10 points) Agency demonstrates consistent participation in Missouri BoS CoC activities, including regular attendance at membership meetings, participation on at least one committee or workgroup, and involvement in regional or statewide initiatives. (5 points) Agency does not demonstrate participation in Missouri BoS CoC-wide activities. The narrative response lacks sufficient detail to demonstrate a commitment in the CoC beyond minimal participation to receive funding and is not evidenced by CoC records/minutes. (0 Points)		
CoC Planning and Operation Activities (First Year Member)	Local Project Application	Applicant fully described how agency will extensively participate in Missouri BoS CoC-wide activities including leadership roles, active participation on multiple committees, subcommittees, or workgroups, engagement in regional or statewide initiatives beyond its local service area, and meaningful contributions that strengthen the CoC. (10 points) Applicant described how agency will consistently participate in Missouri BoS CoC activities, including regular attendance at membership meetings, participation on at least one committee or workgroup, and involvement in regional or statewide initiatives. (5 points) Applicant did not describe commitment to participation in CoC activities. (0 Points)		
CoC Membership Meetings	Local Project Application	Organization staff attended 100% of meetings of the last four meetings of the full Mo BoS CoC membership or provided a plan to attend CoC membership meeting as part of CoC participation. (10 points) Organization staff attended 75% of meetings of the last four meetings of the full Mo BoS CoC membership. (5 Points) Organization staff did not attend 75% of meetings of the last four meetings of the full Mo BoS CoC membership or did not provide plan to attend CoC membership meeting as part of CoC participation. (0 Points)		

Grants Management, Financial Capacity, and Spending	Source	Points Available	Points Awarded	Reviewer Comments
Did the organization have any HUD monitoring or OIG audit findings for any HUD grants (including ESG) in the last two years.	Local Project Application and/or e-snaps	Recipient has been monitored by either HUD, State, or OIG within the last two years and there have been no findings identified or no monitoring has been completed. (10 Points) Recipient had one HUD or OIG finding from monitoring conducted within the last two years and/or has had findings in the last monitoring conducted. (5 points) Recipient has two or more HUD/OIG findings open or sanctions have been imposed (0 points)		
Did the applicant agency timely submit an audit as required under 2 CFR § 200.501 for recipients of federal funds, if applicable (e.g. single audit).	Local Project Application	Recipient has been timely in its submission of the audits required under 2 CFR § 200.501, if applicable, and/or has not received a finding and/or received a recommendation in a management letter based on its current accounting practices. (10 points) Recipient has not been timely in its submission of the audits required under 2 CFR § 200.501, if applicable, and/or has received a finding or has received a recommendation in a management letter based on its current accounting practices. (5 points) Recipient has not been timely in its submission of the audits required under 2 CFR § 200.501, if applicable and has received more than one finding, material weaknesses and/or deficiency identified and/or has received a recommendation in a management letter based on its current accounting practices or does not conduct any level of financial audit. (0 points)		
Project documented match requirement/amount of at least 25% of total budget (excluding leasing funds)	Local Project Application and/or e-snaps	The project documents eligible match equal to or greater than 25% of the total project budget (excluding leasing funds). Documentation is complete, accurate, and demonstrates that all match sources meet HUD requirements. (25 points) The project identifies sufficient match to meet the 25% requirement (excluding leasing funds), but the documentation is incomplete, contains minor deficiencies, or requires clarification before it can be verified. (12 points) The project does not document the required 25% match (excluding leasing funds), or the submitted documentation is insufficient to verify compliance with HUD match requirements. (0 points)		
Applicant provides the total funding amount requested for the project, including a clear and complete budget breakdown by all eligible cost categories (Leasing, Rental Assistance, Operating, Supportive Services, HMIS, and Administrative costs).	Local Application/Budget Page	Applicant budget is clear, reasonable, and detailed to understand costs on the project. All lines are completed and the total budget matches the total request of the application. All costs on the budget are allowable for the project type. (25 points) Applicant budget is not clear, reasonable, and detailed to understand costs on the project. One line item is incomplete or missing and/or the total budget does not match the total request of the application. Or one budget line item is not allowable for the project type. (12 points) Applicant budget is hard to understand. More than one line item is incomplete or missing and the total budget does not match the total request of the application. Line items do not match with overall project and more than one budget line item is not allowable for the project type. (0 points)		
Applicant demonstrates that the project cost is reasonable, clearly calculated, and appropriate for the proposed project type and level of services.	Local Project Application	Applicant thoroughly describes the average cost per household. The cost is clearly calculated, reasonable, and comparable with other CoC PSH projects. If the cost is unique or high supportive services are needed, the applicant has thoroughly justified the reason in a manner that is clear, concise, and reasonable. (25 points) Applicant moderately describes the average cost per household. The cost is not clearly calculated, reasonable, and/or comparable with other CoC PSH projects. If the cost is unique or high supportive services are needed, the applicant has moderately justified the reason in a manner that is somewhat clear, concise, and reasonable. Reviewer can understand calculations but does not understand the need related to the cost. (12 points) Applicant minimally describes the average cost per household. The cost is not clearly calculated, reasonable, and/or comparable with other CoC PSH projects. If the cost is unique or high supportive services are needed, the applicant has minimally justified the reason, and the reviewer cannot understand the unique or higher cost need. (0 points)		
Project Description and Scope	Source	Points Available	Points Awarded	Reviewer Comments
Applicant describes how the SSO-CE project is easily available and reachable for all persons within the CoC's geographic area who are seeking homelessness assistance.	Local project application.	Applicant thoroughly describes how the project is easily accessible and reachable for all persons. The applicant clearly describes how the CoC's geographic area will be covered. (20 points) Applicant moderately describes how the project is easily accessible and reachable for all persons. Reviewer understands how some of the CoC's geographic area will be covered and/or applicant does not clearly describe how the project is easily accessible and reachable to all persons. (10 points) Applicant provides limited description of how the project is easily accessible and reachable for all persons. Reviewer does not understand how projects will be easily accessible and reachable and/or the CoC's geographic area is not described or clear. (0 points)		
Applicant describes how the SSO-CE project is accessible for persons with disabilities within the CoC's geographic area.	Local project application.	Applicant thoroughly describes with detail and specificity how the project will be accessible for persons with disabilities. (10 points) Applicant moderately describes how the project will be accessible for persons with disabilities. Applicant provides minimal detail or specifics about accessibility. (5 points) Applicant provides limited and minimal description how the project will be accessible for persons with disabilities and gives no details. (0 points)		
Applicant describes how the SSO-CE project's strategy for advertising is designed specifically to reach households experiencing homelessness with the highest needs.	Local project application.	Applicant thoroughly describes with detail and specificity how the project will be advertised and designed to specifically reach households experiencing homelessness with the highest needs. (10 points) Applicant moderately describes how the project will be advertised and designed to specifically reach households experiencing homelessness with the highest needs. Applicant provides minimal detail or specifics about advertising. (5 points) Applicant provides limited and minimal description how the project will be advertised and designed to specifically reach households experiencing homelessness with the highest needs and gives no details. (0 points)		
Applicant describes how the SSO-CE project adheres to the standardized assessment process and Policies and Procedures approved by the MO BoS CoC.	Local project application.	Applicant thoroughly details the standardized assessment process it will utilize and describes how the project will adhere to CoC policies and procedures. <u>To obtain full points, applicant must demonstrate knowledge of the CoC policies in relation to Coordinated Entry, including assessment and case conferencing, particularly with the non-HMIS list.</u> (10 points) Applicant moderately describes the standardized assessment process it will utilize and describes somewhat how the project will adhere to CoC policies and procedures. Applicant does not demonstrate clear understanding of CoC policies for survivors. (5 points) Applicant provides limited description of the standardized assessment process it will utilize and/or describes minimally how the project will adhere to CoC policies and procedures. Applicant does not demonstrate knowledge of the non-HMIS list. (0 points)		
Applicant describes how the SSO-CE project will ensure program participants are directed to appropriate housing and services that fit their needs across the CoC.	Local Project Application.	Applicant thoroughly describes how the program participants will be connected to appropriate housing and services that fit their needs across the CoC. Reviewer clearly understands how CE System will work with project to assist participants. Applicant describes the follow-up process to ensure program participants have assistance in the process beyond the priority list. (15 points) Applicant moderately describes how the program participants will be connected to appropriate housing and services that fit their needs across the CoC. Reviewer is unclear on how CE System will work with project to assist participants. Applicant does not clearly describe the follow-up process to ensure program participants have assistance in the process beyond the priority list. (7 points) Applicant provides limited or no details on how the program participants will be connected to appropriate housing and services that fit their needs across the CoC. Applicant does not describe the follow-up process to ensure program participants have assistance in the process beyond the priority list. (0 points)		

DV Supplemental Questions	Source	Points Available	Points Awarded	Reviewer Comments
CONFIDENTIALITY OF SERVICES: Project described agency's confidentiality policies that protect survivors' personally identifying information (PII) in compliance with VAWA, FVPSA, VOCA, and HUD requirements, including how informed consent is obtained, how staff are trained on this issue, how data is secured and shared, and how these policies are implemented with other agency partnerships.	Local project application.	Applicant thoroughly details confidentiality policies, how informed consent is obtained, staff training on confidentiality, and data sharing with other agencies, while demonstrating accurate knowledge of federal laws requiring confidentiality of survivors. <u>To obtain full points, applicant must clearly state all five requests for information: policy, informed consent, staff training, data sharing, knowledge of federal laws.</u> (10 points) Applicant moderately details confidentiality policies, how informed consent is obtained, staff training on confidentiality, and data sharing with other agencies and/or applicant does not demonstrate accurate knowledge of federal laws requiring confidentiality of survivors. Reviewer is not clear how confidentiality policies are implemented for survivor safety. (5 points) Applicant provides limited description of confidentiality policies, how informed consent is obtained, staff training on confidentiality, and data sharing with other agencies, while demonstrating accurate knowledge of federal laws requiring confidentiality of survivors. <u>If applicant fails to address any one of these, the score will be ZERO: confidentiality policies, informed consent, staff training, data sharing, and knowledge of federal laws.</u> (0 points)		
DATA SAFETY: Did non-primary victim service provider describe agency's policies and procedures to inform survivors about the benefits and risks of entering information into a HMIS database. Include the following: Who may access their PII, how survivors may withdraw consent at any time, and options to keep their information confidential, including a locked HMIS file. For primary victim service providers, Applicant described agency's policies and procedures to inform survivors about the benefits and risks of entering information into a comparable database. Include the following: Who may access their PII, how survivors may withdraw consent at any time, and options to keep their information confidential, including anonymous reporting.	Local project application.	Applicant thoroughly describes their data policies in regard to database entry, access of their personally identifying information, informed consent and how that is withdrawn, and options to keep survivor information confidential and unshared. Applicant is clear how survivors are informed of applicant policies and procedures. <u>To obtain full points, applicant must address each component type of data, state database utilized, agency policies for entering survivor data, protection of personally identifying information, informed consent, withdrawing consent, and options to prevent sharing of survivor information.</u> (10 points) Applicant moderately describes their data policies in regard to database entry, access of their personally identifying information, informed consent and how that is withdrawn, and options to keep survivor information confidential and unshared. Reviewer is not clear about how data is entered, utilized, protected and unshared by the applicant and/or applicant does not clearly identify how survivors are informed of applicant policies and procedures. (5 points) Applicant provides limited description of their data policies in regard to database entry, access of their personally identifying information, informed consent and how that is withdrawn, and options to keep survivor information confidential and unshared. <u>If applicant fails to address any one of these, the score will be ZERO: database utilized, agency policies for entering survivor data, protection of personally identifying information, informed consent, withdrawing consent, and options to prevent sharing of survivor information.</u> (0 points)		
Applicant describes how agency assists survivors to identify potential safety risks. Include the following: attach the Agency/project safety plan for survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking and include how safety planning is evaluated and the outcomes for the prior 12 months. If Applicant is a new victim services provider and does not have 12 months of evaluation data, Applicant describe how agency will collect this data and how it will be used to implement improvements. Plans should include: **Information sharing policies that include de-identification for any client data that may be collected for Coordinated Entry efforts **Comparable database use for all programs where the target population is survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking. **Comparable database should include the ability to generate aggregate CAPER reports for the reporting of System Performance Measures within the data sharing policies for survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking. **Support available to adjust safety plans	Local project application.	Applicant thoroughly describes how the applicant safety plans with survivors, how information is de-identified for Coordinated Entry, details comparable database or HMIS protections, and applicant states ability to generate APRs. If applicant is a Victim Service Provider, the standard safety outcome measure for the last 12 months has been provided. If applicant is not a Victim Service Provider, applicant has submitted a plan to collect safety outcome measures that is clear, understandable, and acceptable in victim service agency protocols. (8 points) Applicant moderately describes how the applicant safety plans with survivors, how information is de-identified for Coordinated Entry, details comparable database or HMIS protections, and applicant states ability to generate APRs. Reviewer understands but is not clear about how the agency implements or modifies safety plans and/or how information is de-identified in Coordinated Entry and/or how comparable databases or HMIS protections are provided and/or agency does not state their ability to generate APRs and/or applicant fails to submit safety outcome measures and/or applicant fails to submit plan to collect safety outcome measures. (4 points) Applicant provides limited description of how the applicant safety plans with survivors, how information is de-identified for Coordinated Entry, details comparable database or HMIS protections, and applicant states ability to generate APRs. Reviewer does not understand how the agency implements or modifies safety plans and/or how information is de-identified in Coordinated Entry and/or how comparable databases or HMIS protections are provided and/or agency does not state their ability to generate APRs and/or applicant fails to submit safety outcome measures and/or applicant fails to submit plan to collect safety outcome measures. (0 points)		
Applicant describes how agency helps connect survivors to available community resources. Include the following: how are relevant referrals provided, how are survivors assisted in accessing mainstream benefits, and how accessing community resources are evaluated and the outcomes for the prior 12 months. If Applicant is a new victim services provider and does not have 12 months of evaluation data, please describe how you will collect this data and how it will be used to implement improvements.	Local project application.	Applicant thoroughly describes how the applicant connects survivors to community resources, including referrals and mainstream benefits. If applicant is a Victim Service Provider, the standard community resources outcome measure for the last 12 months has been provided. If applicant is not a Victim Service Provider, applicant has submitted a plan to collect community resources outcome measures that is clear, understandable, and acceptable in victim service agency protocols. (8 points) Applicant moderately describes how the applicant connects survivors to community resources, including referrals and mainstream benefits. Reviewer understands but is not clear about how the applicant connects survivors to available community resources and/or applicant does not address how survivors are accessing mainstream benefits and/or applicant fails to submit community resources outcome measures and/or applicant fails to submit plan to collect community resources outcome measures. (4 points) Applicant provides limited description of how the applicant connects survivors to community resources, including referrals and mainstream benefits. Reviewer does not understand how the applicant connects survivors to available community resources and/or applicant does not address how survivors are accessing mainstream benefits and/or applicant fails to submit community resources outcome measures and/or applicant fails to submit plan to collect community resources outcome measures. (0 points)		
Applicant describes how agency's current or planned involvement in the Coordinated Entry System, specifically to the non-HMIS list and safety protocols of the CoC. Applicant describes agency upholds survivor confidentiality when working with other system partners and within case conferencing.	Local project application.	Applicant thoroughly describes involvement with Coordinated Entry, has strong understanding how the non-HMIS list operates, and details clearly how applicant upholds survivor confidentiality within case conferencing and CoC system partners. (5 points) Applicant moderately describes involvement with Coordinated Entry, has some understanding how the non-HMIS list operates, and does not clearly state how the applicant upholds survivor confidentiality within case conferencing and CoC system partners. (3 points) Applicant provides limited description of involvement with Coordinated Entry, has little to no understanding how the non-HMIS list operates, and/or does not clearly state how the applicant upholds survivor confidentiality within case conferencing and CoC system partners. (0 points)		
Project Initiatives	Source	Points Available	Points Awarded	Reviewer Comments
Proposed project is within a HUD defined Opportunity Zone.	Local application and/or e-snaps Application and form HUD 2996	3 Points		
Project serves a sub-population(s) as identified in the NOFO.	Local Project Application and/or e-snaps	5 Points		
Project meets the needs, priorities and service gaps of the CoC. (Applicant clearly identifies the counties and regions served and demonstrates alignment with CoC geographic priorities and identified gaps in service.)	Local Project Application and/or e-snaps/MO BoS needs and gaps/COC priorities	Informational Only / Tie Breaker	0	
Total Points			0	

Components: SSO, SSO-SO, SSO-CE	Source	Points Available	Points Awarded	Reviewer Comments
General CoC Questions	Source	Points Available	Points Awarded	Reviewer Comments
First-year member is defined as an applicant that has filled out their first application for CoC membership with MO-606 since September 1, 2025 as documented on MO BOS Membership List.				
Participation in Coordinated Entry (Current CoC Participating Agency)	Report from HMIS and Regional CE Lead	Applicant is an access point and only accepts referrals from the Coordinated Entry System, and attends at least 60% of case conferencing meetings. (10 Points) Applicant is an access point, and only accepts referrals from the Coordinated Entry System, and attends less than 60% of case conferencing meetings. (5 points) Applicant accepts referrals from outside the Coordinated Entry System and/or did not attend case conferencing. (0 points)		
Participation in Coordinated Entry (First Year Member)	Local Project Application	Applicant fully described participation as access point and will only accept referrals from the Coordinated Entry System, and will attend at least 60% of case conferencing meetings. (10 Points) Applicant described participation as access point, and will only accepts referrals from the Coordinated Entry System, and did not explain plan to attend at least 60% of case conferencing meetings. (5 points) Applicant did not describe agency participation in Coordination Entry System or plan to attend no less than 60% of case conferencing meetings. (0 points)		
Point-in-Time Count Participation (Current CoC Participating Agency)	Certification of participation in the most recent unsheltered (2025) and 2026 Mo BoS CoC PIT Count from the PIT Committee	Elected Regional PIT Coordinator. (6 points) PIT County lead as recorded by the Regional PIT Coordinator. (4 Points) Organization staff participated in any other way for the PIT count including volunteers. (2 Points) Organization's staff did not participate in the PIT Count. (0 Points)		
Point-in-Time Count Participation (First Year Member)	Local Project Application	Applicant fully described how agency will participate as a Regional PIT Coordinator in the 2027 PIT Count. (6 points) Applicant described how agency will participate as a PIT County lead in the 2027 PIT Count. (4 Points) Applicant described how agency will participate in any other way for the 2027 PIT count including volunteering. (2 Points) Applicant did not describe how agency would participate in the 2027 PIT count as regional coordinator, lead or other supporting roles. (0 Points)		
CoC Planning and Operation Activities (Current CoC Participating Agency)	Agency narratives from Local Project Application/General CoC Activities, Section C; BoS meeting minutes.	Agency demonstrates extensive participation in Missouri BoS CoC-wide activities. This requires leadership roles, active participation on multiple committees, subcommittees, or workgroups, engagement in regional or statewide initiatives beyond its local service area, and meaningful contributions that strengthen the CoC. (10 points) Agency demonstrates consistent participation in Missouri BoS CoC activities, including regular attendance at membership meetings, participation on at least one committee or workgroup, and involvement in regional or statewide initiatives. (5 points) Agency does not demonstrate participation in Missouri BoS CoC-wide activities. The narrative response lacks sufficient detail to demonstrate a commitment in the CoC beyond minimal participation to receive funding and is not evidenced by CoC records/minutes. (0 Points)		
CoC Planning and Operation Activities (First Year Member)	Local Project Application	Applicant fully described how agency will extensively participate in Missouri BoS CoC-wide activities including leadership roles, active participation on multiple committees, subcommittees, or workgroups, engagement in regional or statewide initiatives beyond its local service area, and meaningful contributions that strengthen the CoC. (10 points) Applicant described how agency will consistently participate in Missouri BoS CoC activities, including regular attendance at membership meetings, participation on at least one committee or workgroup, and involvement in regional or statewide initiatives. (5 points) Applicant did not describe commitment to participation in CoC activities. (0 Points)		
CoC Membership Meetings	Local Project Application	Organization staff attended 100% of meetings of the last four meetings of the full Mo BoS CoC membership or provided a plan to attend CoC membership meeting as part of CoC participation. (10 points) Organization staff attended 75% of meetings of the last four meetings of the full Mo BoS CoC membership. (5 Points) Organization staff did not attend 75% of meetings of the last four meetings of the full Mo BoS CoC membership or did not provide plan to attend CoC membership meeting as part of CoC participation. (0 Points)		

Grants Management, Financial Capacity, and Spending	Source	Points Available	Points Awarded	Reviewer Comments
Did the organization have any HUD monitoring or OIG audit findings for any HUD grants (including ESG) in the last two years.	Local Project Application and/or e-snaps	Recipient has been monitored by either HUD, State, or OIG within the last two years and there have been no findings identified or no monitoring has been completed. (10 Points) Recipient had one HUD or OIG finding from monitoring conducted within the last two years and/or has had findings in the last monitoring conducted. (5 points) Recipient has two or more HUD/OIG findings open or sanctions have been imposed (0 points)		
Did the applicant agency timely submit an audit as required under 2 CFR § 200.501 for recipients of federal funds, if applicable (e.g. single audit).	Local Project Application	Recipient has been timely in its submission of the audits required under 2 CFR § 200.501, if applicable, and/or has not received a finding and/or received a recommendation in a management letter based on its current accounting practices. (10 points) Recipient has not been timely in its submission of the audits required under 2 CFR § 200.501, if applicable, and/or has received a finding or has received a recommendation in a management letter based on its current accounting practices. (5 points) Recipient has not been timely in its submission of the audits required under 2 CFR § 200.501, if applicable and has received more than one finding, material weaknesses and/or deficiency identified and/or has received a recommendation in a management letter based on its current accounting practices or does not conduct any level of financial audit. (0 points)		
Project documented match requirement/amount of at least 25% of total budget (excluding leasing funds)	Local Project Application and/or e-snaps	The project documents eligible match equal to or greater than 25% of the total project budget (excluding leasing funds). Documentation is complete, accurate, and demonstrates that all match sources meet HUD requirements. (25 points) The project identifies sufficient match to meet the 25% requirement (excluding leasing funds), but the documentation is incomplete, contains minor deficiencies, or requires clarification before it can be verified. (12 points) The project does not document the required 25% match (excluding leasing funds), or the submitted documentation is insufficient to verify compliance with HUD match requirements. (0 points)		
Applicant provides the total funding amount requested for the project, including a clear and complete budget breakdown by all eligible cost categories (Leasing, Rental Assistance, Operating, Supportive Services, HMIS, and Administrative costs).	Local Application/Budget Page	Applicant budget is clear, reasonable, and detailed to understand costs on the project. All lines are completed and the total budget matches the total request of the application. All costs on the budget are allowable for the project type. (25 points) Applicant budget is not clear, reasonable, and detailed to understand costs on the project. One line item is incomplete or missing and/or the total budget does not match the total request of the application. Or one budget line item is not allowable for the project type. (12 points) Applicant budget is hard to understand. More than one line item is incomplete or missing and the total budget does not match the total request of the application. Line items do not match with overall project and more than one budget line item is not allowable for the project type. (0 points)		
Applicant provides the average cost per household served and demonstrates that the cost is reasonable, clearly calculated, and appropriate for the proposed project type and level of services.	Local Project Application	Applicant thoroughly describes the average cost per household. The cost is clearly calculated, reasonable, and comparable with other CoC PSH projects. If the cost is unique or high supportive services are needed, the applicant has thoroughly justified the reason in a manner that is clear, concise, and reasonable. (25 points) Applicant moderately describes the average cost per household. The cost is not clearly calculated, reasonable, and/or comparable with other CoC PSH projects. If the cost is unique or high supportive services are needed, the applicant has moderately justified the reason in a manner that is somewhat clear, concise, and reasonable. Reviewer can understand calculations but does not understand the need related to the cost. (12 points) Applicant minimally describes the average cost per household. The cost is not clearly calculated, reasonable, and/or comparable with other CoC PSH projects. If the cost is unique or high supportive services are needed, the applicant has minimally justified the reason, and the reviewer cannot understand the unique or higher cost need. (0 points)		

Project Description and Scope: Supportive Services – CE only	Source	Points Available	Points Awarded	Reviewer Comments
Applicant describes how the SSO-CE project is easily available and reachable for all persons within the CoC's geographic area who are seeking homelessness assistance.	Local Project Application	Applicant thoroughly describes how the project is easily accessible and reachable for all persons. The applicant clearly describes how the CoC's geographic area will be covered. (25 points) Applicant moderately describes how the project is easily accessible and reachable for all persons. Reviewer understands how some of the CoC's geographic area will be covered and/or applicant does not clearly describe how the project is easily accessible and reachable to all persons. (12 points) Applicant provides limited description of how the project is easily accessible and reachable for all persons. Reviewer does not understand how projects will be easily accessible and reachable and/or the CoC's geographic area is not described or clear. (0 points)		
Applicant describes how the SSO-CE project is accessible for persons with disabilities within the CoC's geographic area.	Local Project Application	Applicant thoroughly describes with detail and specificity how the project will be accessible for persons with disabilities. (18 points) Applicant moderately describes how the project will be accessible for persons with disabilities. Applicant provides minimal detail or specifics about accessibility. (9 points) Applicant provides limited and minimal description how the project will be accessible for persons with disabilities and gives no details. (0 points)		
Applicant describes how the SSO-CE project's strategy for advertising is designed specifically to reach households experiencing homelessness with the highest needs.	Local Project Application	Applicant thoroughly describes with detail and specificity how the project will be advertised and designed to specifically reach households experiencing homelessness with the highest needs. (18 points) Applicant moderately describes how the project will be advertised and designed to specifically reach households experiencing homelessness with the highest needs. Applicant provides minimal detail or specifics about advertising. (9 points) Applicant provides limited and minimal description how the project will be advertised and designed to specifically reach households experiencing homelessness with the highest needs and gives no details. (0 points)		
Applicant describes how the SSO-CE project adheres to the standardized assessment process and Policies and Procedures approved by the MO BoS CoC.	Local Project Application	Applicant thoroughly details the standardized assessment process it will utilize and describes how the project will adhere to CoC policies and procedures. <u>To obtain full points, applicant must demonstrate knowledge of the CoC policies in relation to Coordinated Entry including assessment and case conferencing.</u> (20 points) Applicant moderately describes the standardized assessment process it will utilize and describes somewhat how the project will adhere to CoC policies and procedures. (10 points) Applicant provides limited description of the standardized assessment process it will utilize and/or describes minimally how the project will adhere to CoC policies and procedures. (0 points)		
Describe how the SSO-CE project will ensure program participants are directed to appropriate housing and services that fit their needs across the CoC.	Local Project Application.	Applicant thoroughly describes how the program participants will be connected to appropriate housing and services that fit their needs across the CoC. Reviewer clearly understands how CE System will work with project to assist participants. Applicant describes the follow-up process to ensure program participants have assistance in the process beyond the priority list. (25 points) Applicant moderately describes how the program participants will be connected to appropriate housing and services that fit their needs across the CoC. Reviewer is unclear on how CE System will work with project to assist participants. Applicant does not clearly describe the follow-up process to ensure program participants have assistance in the process beyond the priority list. (12 points) Applicant provides limited or no details on how the program participants will be connected to appropriate housing and services that fit their needs across the CoC. Applicant does not describe the follow-up process to ensure program participants have assistance in the process beyond the priority list. (0 points)		
Project Description and Scope: Supportive Services Only	Source	Points Available	Points Awarded	Reviewer Comments
Applicant details how the project is effective in assisting people in exiting homelessness, addressing barriers to stable housing (e.g., substance use disorder, unemployment, childcare, etc.) and increasing how self-sufficiency and the applicant will conduct an annual assessment of the service needs of the program participants.	Local Project Application	Applicant thoroughly describes how the project assists people in exiting homelessness, addressing barriers to stable housing, and increasing self-sufficiency. <u>To obtain full points, applicant must clearly detail how barriers to stable housing will be addressed, how program participants will be involved with determining service needs, and how the applicant will conduct annual assessments with program participants.</u> (27 points) Applicant moderately describes how the project assists people in exiting homelessness, addressing barriers to stable housing, and increasing self-sufficiency. Reviewer does not have clear understanding how barriers to stable housing will be addressed and/or how program participants will be involved with determining service needs and/or how the applicant will conduct annual assessments with program participants. (13 points) Applicant provides limited description of how the project assists people in exiting homelessness, addressing barriers to stable housing, and increasing self-sufficiency. reviewer cannot understand how barriers to stable housing will be addressed and/or how program participants will be involved with determining service needs and/or how the applicant will conduct annual assessments with program participants. (0 points)		
Applicant details the project's experience or plan for providing supportive services to eligible program participants including those with histories of unsheltered homelessness and those who do not traditionally engage with supportive services.	Local Project Application	Applicant thoroughly describes their prior experience or plan for providing supportive services to eligible program participants including those with histories of unsheltered homelessness and those who do not traditionally engage with supportive services. <u>To obtain full points, applicant must detail clear history with unsheltered homelessness and those who do not traditionally engage with services and provide detailed, clear plan for providing supportive services which includes detailing the type of supportive services to be offered.</u> (27 points) Applicant moderately describes their prior experience or plan for providing supportive services to eligible program participants including those with histories of unsheltered homelessness and those who do not traditionally engage with supportive services. Reviewer has some understanding but not clear about the applicant history with unsheltered homelessness and those who do not traditionally engage with services and/or does not have an understandable plan for providing supportive services. (13 points) Applicant provides limited description of their prior experience or plan for providing supportive services to eligible program participants including those with histories of unsheltered homelessness and those who do not traditionally engage with supportive services. Reviewer does not have a clear understanding of the applicant history with unsheltered homelessness and those who do not traditionally engage with services and/or little details are provided in a plan for supportive services. (0 points)		
Applicant clearly describes how the project participants will be connected with other resources from other public or private resources.	Local Project Application	Applicant thoroughly describes the types of public and private resources and how the program participants will be connected with those resources. Reviewer clearly understands what resources and benefits will be utilized to assist participants. <u>To receive full points, applicant must detail the follow-up process that occurs in the agency to ensure resources and mainstream benefits are accessed. This does not include simply applying for the assistance.</u> (27 points) Applicant moderately describes the types of public and private resources and how the program participants will be connected with those resources. Reviewer understands some resources and benefits will be utilized and/or is not clear how mainstream benefits will be utilized to assist participants. Applicant does not state a clear and understandable follow-up process to ensure program participants have assistance in the process beyond simply applying. (13 points) Applicant provides limited description of the types of public and private resources the program participants will be connected with and/or minimal description of resources available for participants. Reviewer cannot understand the types of public or private resources and/or how participants will be connected to them. Applicant does not state the follow-up process to ensure program participants have assistance in the process beyond simply applying. (0 points)		
Applicant describes how the project will leverage mainstream health, social service, and employment resources, including but not limited to Medicare, Medicaid, SSI, SNAP, workforce development programs, behavioral health services, and other community-based supports to enhance participant outcomes and housing stability.	Local Project Application	Applicant thoroughly describes how the resources will be leveraged to enhance participant outcomes and housing stability. Reviewer clearly understands what resources and benefits will be utilized to leverage assistance provided to participants. (25 points) Applicant moderately describes how the resources will be leveraged to enhance participant outcomes and housing stability. Reviewer understands some resources and benefits will be utilized and/or is not clear how mainstream benefits/other resources will be leveraged. (12 points) Applicant provides limited description on how the resources will be leveraged to enhance participant outcomes and housing stability. Applicant is not clear how mainstream benefits/other resources will be leveraged. (0 points)		

Project Description and Scope: Supportive Services Only - Street Outreach Only	Source	Points Available	Points Awarded	Reviewer Comments
Applicant details how project participants will be connected with other resources from other public or private resources. Additionally, applicant describes how the project will leverage mainstream health, social service, and employment resources, including but not limited to Medicare, Medicaid, SSI, SNAP, workforce development programs, behavioral health services, and other community-based supports to enhance participant outcomes and housing stability.	Local Project Application	Applicant thoroughly describes the types of public and private resources the program participants will be connected with, along with how mainstream benefits will be leveraged. Reviewer clearly understands what resources and benefits will be utilized to leverage assistance provided to participants. <u>To receive full points, applicant must detail the follow-up process that occurs in the agency to ensure resources and mainstream benefits are accessed. This does not include simply applying for the assistance.</u> (27 points) Applicant moderately describes the types of public and private resources the program participants will be connected with, along with how mainstream benefits will be leveraged. Reviewer understands some resources and benefits will be utilized and/or is not clear how mainstream benefits will be leveraged. Applicant does not state a clear and understandable follow-up process to ensure program participants have assistance in the process beyond simply applying. (13 points) Applicant provides limited description of the types of public and private resources the program participants will be connected with and/or minimal description of how mainstream benefits will be leveraged. Reviewer cannot understand the types of public or private resources and/or how participants will be connected to them. Applicant is not clear how mainstream benefits will be leveraged. Applicant does not state the follow-up process to ensure program participants have assistance in the process beyond simply applying. (0 points)		
Applicant details the project's experience or plan for providing street outreach services to eligible program participants including those with histories of unsheltered homelessness and those who do not traditionally engage with supportive services.	Local Project Application	Applicant thoroughly describes their prior experience or plan for providing street outreach services to eligible program participants including those with histories of unsheltered homelessness and those who do not traditionally engage with supportive services. <u>To obtain full points, applicant must detail clear history with unsheltered homelessness and those who do not traditionally engage with services and provide detailed, clear plan for providing street outreach services which includes detailing the type of supportive services to be offered.</u> (27 points) Applicant moderately describes their prior experience or plan for providing street outreach services to eligible program participants including those with histories of unsheltered homelessness and those who do not traditionally engage with supportive services. Reviewer has some understanding but not clear about the applicant history with unsheltered homelessness and those who do not traditionally engage with services and/or does not have an understandable plan for providing street outreach services. (13 points) Applicant provides limited description of their prior experience or plan for providing street outreach services to eligible program participants including those with histories of unsheltered homelessness and those who do not traditionally engage with supportive services. Reviewer does not have a clear understanding of the applicant history with unsheltered homelessness and those who do not traditionally engage with services and/or little details are provided in a plan for street outreach services. (0 points)		
Applicant demonstrates history of, or plan for, partnering with first responders and law enforcement to engage people living in places not meant for human habitation to access emergency shelter, treatment programs, reunification with family, transitional housing, or independent living. Applicant demonstrates how they cooperate and not interfere or impede with enforcement of local laws such as public camping and public drug use laws and assist/be willing to assist first responders in their efforts to engage homeless individuals.	Local Project Application	Applicant thoroughly describes history or plan to partner with first responders and law enforcement to engage people not meant for human habitation to engage is services. To obtain full points, applicant must clearly state how they will cooperate and not interfere or impede with enforcement of public camping and public drug use laws. Applicant must clearly detail their efforts to assist first responders engage homeless individuals. (27 points) Applicant moderately describes history or plan to partner with first responders and law enforcement to engage people not meant for human habitation to engage is services. Applicant did not clearly state how they will cooperate and not interfere or impede with enforcement of public camping and public drug use laws and/or applicant did not clearly detail their efforts to assist first responders engage homeless individuals. (13 points) Applicant provides limited description of history or plan to partner with first responders and law enforcement to engage people not meant for human habitation to engage is services. Applicant provided minimal or incomplete information about how they will cooperate and not interfere or impede with enforcement of public camping and public drug use laws and/or applicant did not detail their efforts to assist first responders engage homeless individuals. (0 points)		
Applicant described the project's experience, or plan for providing outreach services, consistent with the activity description at 24 CFR 578.53(e)(13) for or has demonstrated effectiveness at helping people successfully exit from places not meant for human habitation to emergency shelter, treatment programs, transitional housing, or permanent housing programs.	Local Project Application	Applicant thoroughly describes experience or plan for providing outreach services and demonstrates effectiveness at helping people successfully exit places not meant for human habitation. Applicant clearly described how applicant works with emergency shelter, treatment programs, transitional housing, or permanent housing programs to help program participants. (25 points) Applicant moderately describes experience or plan for providing outreach services and demonstrates effectiveness at helping people successfully exit places not meant for human habitation. Applicant describes working with emergency shelter, treatment programs, transitional housing, or permanent housing programs to help program participants but description is not clear. (12 points) Applicant provides limited description of experience or plan for providing outreach services and demonstrates effectiveness at helping people successfully exit places not meant for human habitation. Applicant does not clearly describe how applicant works with emergency shelter, treatment programs, transitional housing, or permanent housing programs to help program participants. (0 points)		
Project Initiatives	Source	Points Available	Points Awarded	Reviewer Comments
Proposed project is within a HUD defined Opportunity Zone.	Local Project Application and/or e-snaps Application and form HUD 2996	3 Points		
Project serves a sub-population(s) as identified in the NOFO.	Local Project Application and/or e-snaps	5 Points		
Project meets the needs, priorities and service gaps of the CoC. (Applicant clearly identifies the counties and regions served and demonstrates alignment with CoC geographic priorities and identified gaps in service.)	Local Project Application and/or e-snaps/MO BoS needs and gaps/CoC priorities	Informational Only / Tie Breaker	0	
Total Points (Max 245 per SSO project type)			0	

Component: TH	Source	Points Available	Points Awarded	Reviewer Comments
General CoC Questions	Source	Points Available	Points Awarded	Reviewer Comments
First-year member is defined as an applicant that has filled out their first application for CoC membership with MO-606 since September 1, 2025 as documented on MO BoS Membership List.				
Participation in Coordinated Entry (Current CoC Participating Agency)	Report from HMIS and Regional CE Lead	Applicant is an access point and only accepts referrals from the Coordinated Entry System, and attends at least 60% of case conferencing meetings. (10 Points) Applicant is an access point, and only accepts referrals from the Coordinated Entry System, and attends less than 60% of case conferencing meetings. (5 points) Applicant accepts referrals from outside the Coordinated Entry System and/or did not attend case conferencing. (0 points)		
Participation in Coordinated Entry (First Year Member)	Local Project Application	Applicant fully described participation as access point and will only accept referrals from the Coordinated Entry System, and will attend at least 60% of case conferencing meetings. (10 Points) Applicant described participation as access point, and will only accepts referrals from the Coordinated Entry System, and did not explain plan to attend at least 60% of case conferencing meetings. (5 points) Applicant did not describe agency participation in Coordination Entry System or plan to attend no less than 60% of case conferencing meetings. (0 points)		
Point-in-Time Count Participation (Current CoC Participating Agency)	Certification of participation in the most recent unsheltered (2025) and 2026 Mo BoS CoC PIT Count from the PIT Committee	Elected Regional PIT Coordinator. (6 points) PIT County lead as recorded by the Regional PIT Coordinator. (4 Points) Organization staff participated in any other way for the PIT count including volunteers. (2 Points) Organization's staff did not participate in the PIT Count. (0 Points)		
Point-in-Time Count Participation (First Year Member)	Local Project Application	Applicant fully described how agency will participate as a Regional PIT Coordinator in the 2027 PIT Count. (6 points) Applicant described how agency will participate as a PIT County lead in the 2027 PIT Count. (4 Points) Applicant described how agency will participate in any other way for the 2027 PIT count including volunteering. (2 Points) Applicant did not describe how agency would participate in the 2027 PIT count as regional coordinator, lead or other supporting roles. (0 Points)		
CoC Planning and Operation Activities (Current CoC Participating Agency)	Agency narratives from Local Project Application/General CoC Activities, Section C; BoS meeting minutes.	Agency demonstrates extensive participation in Missouri BoS CoC-wide activities. This requires leadership roles, active participation on multiple committees, subcommittees, or workgroups, engagement in regional or statewide initiatives beyond its local service area, and meaningful contributions that strengthen the CoC. (10 points) Agency demonstrates consistent participation in Missouri BoS CoC activities, including regular attendance at membership meetings, participation on at least one committee or workgroup, and involvement in regional or statewide initiatives. (5 points) Agency does not demonstrate participation in Missouri BoS CoC-wide activities. The narrative response lacks sufficient detail to demonstrate a commitment in the CoC beyond minimal participation to receive funding and is not evidenced by CoC records/minutes. (0 Points)		
CoC Planning and Operation Activities (First Year Member)	Local Project Application	Applicant fully described how agency will extensively participate in Missouri BoS CoC-wide activities including leadership roles, active participation on multiple committees, subcommittees, or workgroups, engagement in regional or statewide initiatives beyond its local service area, and meaningful contributions that strengthen the CoC. (10 points) Applicant described how agency will consistently participate in Missouri BoS CoC activities, including regular attendance at membership meetings, participation on at least one committee or workgroup, and involvement in regional or statewide initiatives. (5 points) Applicant did not describe commitment to participation in CoC activities. (0 Points)		
CoC Membership Meetings	Local Project Application	Organization staff attended 100% of meetings of the last four meetings of the full Mo BoS CoC membership or provided a plan to attend CoC membership meeting as part of CoC participation. (10 points) Organization staff attended 75% of meetings of the last four meetings of the full Mo BoS CoC membership. (5 Points) Organization staff did not attend 75% of meetings of the last four meetings of the full Mo BoS CoC membership or did not provide plan to attend CoC membership meeting as part of CoC participation. (0 Points)		

Grants Management, Financial Capacity, and Spending	Source	Points Available	Points Awarded	Reviewer Comments
Did the organization have any HUD monitoring or OIG audit findings for any HUD grants (including ESG) in the last two years.	Local Project Application and/or e-snaps	Recipient has been monitored by either HUD, State, or OIG within the last two years and there have been no findings identified or no monitoring has been completed. (10 points) Recipient had one HUD or OIG finding from monitoring conducted within the last two years and/or has had findings in the last monitoring conducted. (5 points) Recipient has two or more HUD/OIG findings open or sanctions have been imposed (0 points)		
Did the applicant agency timely submit an audit as required under 2 CFR § 200.501 for recipients of federal funds, if applicable (e.g. single audit).	Local Project Application	Recipient has been timely in its submission of the audits required under 2 CFR § 200.501, if applicable, and/or has not received a finding and/or received a recommendation in a management letter based on its current accounting practices. (10 points) Recipient has not been timely in its submission of the audits required under 2 CFR § 200.501, if applicable, and/or has received a finding or has received a recommendation in a management letter based on its current accounting practices. (5 points) Recipient has not been timely in its submission of the audits required under 2 CFR § 200.501, if applicable and has received more than one finding, material weaknesses and/or deficiency identified and/or has received a recommendation in a management letter based on its current accounting practices or does not conduct any level of financial audit. (0 points)		
Project documented match requirement/amount of at least 25% of total budget (excluding leasing funds)	Local Project Application and/or e-snaps	The project documents eligible match equal to or greater than 25% of the total project budget (excluding leasing funds). Documentation is complete, accurate, and demonstrates that all match sources meet HUD requirements. (25 points) The project identifies sufficient match to meet the 25% requirement (excluding leasing funds), but the documentation is incomplete, contains minor deficiencies, or requires clarification before it can be verified. (12 points) The project does not document the required 25% match (excluding leasing funds), or the submitted documentation is insufficient to verify compliance with HUD match requirements. (0 points)		
Applicant provides the total funding amount requested for the project, including a clear and complete budget breakdown by all eligible cost categories (Leasing, Rental Assistance, Operating, Supportive Services, HMIS, and Administrative costs).	Local Application/Budget Page	Applicant budget is clear, reasonable, and detailed to understand costs on the project. All lines are completed and the total budget matches the total request of the application. All costs on the budget are allowable for the project type. (25 points) Applicant budget is not clear, reasonable, and detailed to understand costs on the project. One line item is incomplete or missing and/or the total budget does not match the total request of the application. Or one budget line item is not allowable for the project type. (12 points) Applicant budget is hard to understand. More than one line item is incomplete or missing and the total budget does not match the total request of the application. Line items do not match with overall project and more than one budget line item is not allowable for the project type. (0 points)		
Applicant provides the average cost per household served and demonstrates that the cost is reasonable, clearly calculated, and appropriate for the proposed project type and level of services.	Local Project Application	Applicant thoroughly describes the average cost per household. The cost is clearly calculated, reasonable, and comparable with other CoC PSH projects. If the cost is unique or high supportive services are needed, the applicant has thoroughly justified the reason in a manner that is clear, concise, and reasonable. (25 points) Applicant moderately describes the average cost per household. The cost is not clearly calculated, reasonable, and/or comparable with other CoC PSH projects. If the cost is unique or high supportive services are needed, the applicant has moderately justified the reason in a manner that is somewhat clear, concise, and reasonable. Reviewer can understand calculations but does not understand the need related to the cost. (12 points) Applicant minimally describes the average cost per household. The cost is not clearly calculated, reasonable, and/or comparable with other CoC PSH projects. If the cost is unique or high supportive services are needed, the applicant has minimally justified the reason, and the reviewer cannot understand the unique or higher cost need. (0 points)		

Project Description and Scope	Source	Points Available	Points Awarded	Reviewer Comments
Applicant describes how the project will provide and/or partner with other organizations to provide eligible supportive services that are necessary to assist program participants to obtain and maintain housing (i.e., case management, behavioral healthcare, employment training, etc.)	Local project application.	Applicant thoroughly describes how the project will provide or partner with other organizations to provide eligible supportive services that are necessary to assist program participants. <u>To obtain full points, applicant must detail the types of supportive services that will be offered, how it will be provided, and who will provide it.</u> (20 points) Applicant moderately describes how the project will provide or partner with other organizations to provide eligible supportive services that are necessary to assist program participants. Applicant does not detail the types of supportive services that will be offered and/or clarity is not provided for by whom and how the services will be provided. (10 points) Applicant provides limited description how the project will provide or partner with other organizations to provide eligible supportive services that are necessary to assist program participants. Applicant does not detail the types of supportive services that will be offered and/or does not provide information about partner organizations and/or does not provide clarity how the services will be provided. (0 points)		
Applicant describes agency's prior experience operating transitional housing or other housing programs that have successfully assisted individuals and families experiencing homelessness in obtaining permanent housing within 24 months. If not, provide a detailed plan demonstrating how program participants will be assisted in exiting homelessness and obtaining permanent housing without subsidy within 24 months of program entry.	Local project application.	Applicant thoroughly describes their prior experience with transitional housing or other housing programs that have successfully helped program participants obtain permanent housing in 24 months or applicant thoroughly describes the plan to do the same. <u>To obtain full points in this section, applicant must address both a) or b) in their response:</u> <u>a) In addition to their prior experience, applicant must state their percent of program participants exiting to permanent housing within 24 months, or b) a detailed plan that clearly describes how the agency will ensure exits to permanent housing within 24 months.</u> (10 points) Applicant moderately describes their prior experience with transitional housing or other housing programs that have successfully helped program participants obtain permanent housing. In 24 months. Applicant response is generalized to their experience and/or description of exits to permanent housing are not clear, detailed or understandable. Applicant plan to provide transitional housing is not detailed or clear. (5 points) Applicant provides limited description of their prior experience with transitional housing or other housing programs that have successfully helped program participants obtain permanent housing. In 24 months. Applicant response is vague and not clear and/or description of exits to permanent housing are missing. Applicant plan to provide transitional housing is not detailed or clear. (0 points)		
Applicant describes agency's prior experience operating transitional housing or other housing programs or has a plan in place to ensure that at least 50 percent of participants exit to a positive destination within 24 months and at least 50 percent of participants exit with employment income as reflected in HMIS or another data system used by the applicant.	Local project application.	Applicant thoroughly describes their prior experience operating transitional housing or other housing programs or has a plan in place to have at least 50 percent of program participants exit to a positive destination within 24 months and at least 50 percent of program participants exit with employment income. Reviewer can clearly understand a program's prior experience or clearly understands the plan for providing services. <u>To obtain full points in this section, applicant must address both a) and b) or c) in their response:</u> <u>a) In addition to their prior experience, applicant must state their percent of program participants exiting to positive destinations within 24 months, and b) their percent of program participants exiting with employment income, or c) a detailed plan that clearly describes how the agency will ensure the 50 percent exits to positive destinations and 50 percent of employment income will be met.</u> (20 points) Applicant moderately describes their prior experience operating transitional housing or other housing programs or has a plan in place to have at least 50 percent of program participants exit to positive destinations within 24 months and at least 50 percent of program participants exit with employment income. Reviewer can understand a program's prior experience but percents of prior success with positive destinations or employment income is not provided or not clear. Applicant without prior experience does not clearly detail how the applicant will ensure the 50 percent exits to positive destinations and 50 percent of employment income will be met. (10 points) Applicant provides a limited description of their prior experience transitional housing or other housing programs or has a plan in place to have at least 50 percent of program participants exit to permanent destinations within 24 months and at least 50 percent of program participants exit with employment income. Reviewer cannot clearly establish the prior experience or prior project experience of the applicant, no prior experience percentages are provided for positive destinations or employment income at exit, and/or the plan to provide how the agency will ensure the 50 percent exits to positive destinations and 50 percent of employment income will be met is missing, unclear, vague, or not understandable. (0 points)		
Applicant describes how the project participants will be connected with other public or private resources. Additionally, please describe how the project will leverage mainstream health, social service, and employment resources, including but not limited to Medicare, Medicaid, SSI, SNAP, workforce development programs, behavioral health services, and other community-based supports to enhance participant outcomes and housing stability.	Local project application.	Applicant thoroughly describes the types of public and private resources the program participants will be connected with, along with how mainstream benefits will be leveraged. Reviewer clearly understands what resources and benefits will be utilized to leverage assistance provided to participants. <u>To receive full points, applicant must detail the follow-up process that occurs in the agency to ensure resources and mainstream benefits are accessed. This does not include simply applying for the assistance.</u> (10 points) Applicant moderately describes the types of public and private resources the program participants will be connected with, along with how mainstream benefits will be leveraged. Reviewer understands some resources and benefits will be utilized and/or is not clear how mainstream benefits will be leveraged. Applicant does not state a clear and understandable follow-up process to ensure program participants have assistance in the process beyond simply applying. (5 points) Applicant provides limited description of the types of public and private resources the program participants will be connected with and/or minimal description of how mainstream benefits will be leveraged. Reviewer cannot understand the types of public or private resources and/or how participants will be connected to them. Applicant is not clear how mainstream benefits will be leveraged. Applicant does not state the follow-up process to ensure program participants have assistance in the process beyond simply applying. (0 points)		

Applicant demonstrates that the proposed project will require program participants to take part in supportive services (e.g. case management, employment training, substance use treatment, etc.) in line with 24 CFR 578.75(h) by attaching a supportive service agreement (contract, occupancy agreement, lease, or equivalent).	Local project application.	Applicant provides a supportive services agreement that requires supportive services. (10 points) Applicant does not provide a supportive services agreement and/or the agreement is not clear that supportive services are required. (0 points)		
Applicant describes how the proposed project will assess the service needs of program participants and provide individualized services during their stay in Transitional Housing. Specifically, explain how the project will ensure that program participants engage in at least 20 hours per week of services, activities, and/or employment, unless the participant is over age 62, an individual with handicaps as defined in 24 CFR 8.3, or an individual with a developmental disability as defined in 24 CFR 578.3. Examples of eligible services and activities may include, but are not limited to, case management, counseling, treatment services, volunteer activities, work therapy, educational programs, job training, employment, and community-building activities. Employment may count toward the 20-hour weekly engagement requirement.	Local project application.	Applicant thoroughly describes in detail how the project will assess service needs of program participants, how many hours per week program participants must complete and what exemptions the program will be allowing. (20 points) Applicant moderately describes how the project will assess service needs of program participants, how many hours per week program participants must complete and what exemptions the program will be allowing. Reviewer understands the description but lacks detail and clarity in how program participants are ascended or how many hours per week are completed or what exemptions are allowed in the program. (10 points) Applicant provides limited description how the project will assess service needs of program participants or how many hours per week program participants must complete or what exemptions the program will be allowing. (0 points)		
Applicant describes how the project will develop and maintain individualized service plans for each program participant, including: the services to be provided, when and how often services will be provided, who will provide the services, participant goals and objectives, strategies for achieving those goals, target dates for goal achievement; and how the service plan will support improved health and wellness, housing stability, increased employment income, financial stability, and long-term self-sufficiency.	Local project application.	Applicant thoroughly describes how the project will develop and maintain individualized service plans for each program participant. For full points all elements must be addressed. (20 points) Applicant moderately describes how the project will develop and maintain individualized service plans for each program participant, but lack detail and clarity. (10 points) Applicant provides limited description how the project will develop and maintain individualized service plans for each program participant. The details were limited or omitted. (0 points)		
Project Initiatives	Source	Points Available	Points Awarded	Reviewer Comments
Proposed project is within a HUD defined Opportunity Zone.	Local application and/ or e-snaps Application and form HUD 2996	3 Points		
Project has or will incorporate supportive service participation requirements in their program design, based on individual need in accordance with 24 CFR 578.75(h).	Local project application.	3 Points		
Dedicated Sober Living Project.	Local project application.	3 Points		
Proposed project serves a sub-population(s) as identified in the NOFO.	Local project application.	5 Points		
Project meets the needs, priorities and service gaps of the CoC. (Applicant clearly identifies the counties and regions served and demonstrates alignment with CoC geographic priorities and identified gaps in service.)	Local Project Application and/or e-snaps	Informational Only / Tie Breaker	0	
Total Points			0	

Component: YHDP-TH and Crisis TH	Source	Points Available	Points Awarded	Reviewer Comments
General CoC Questions	Source	Points Available	Points Awarded	Reviewer Comments
First-year member is defined as an applicant that has filled out their first application for CoC membership with MO-606 since September 1, 2025 as documented on MO BOS Membership List.				
Participation in Coordinated Entry (Current CoC Participating Agency)	Report from HMIS and Regional CE Lead	Applicant is an access point and only accepts referrals from the Coordinated Entry System, and attends at least 60% of case conferencing meetings. (10 Points) Applicant is an access point, and only accepts referrals from the Coordinated Entry System, and attends less than 60% of case conferencing meetings. (5 points) Applicant accepts referrals from outside the Coordinated Entry System and/or did not attend case conferencing. (0 points)		
Participation in Coordinated Entry (First Year Member)	Local Project Application	Applicant fully described participation as access point and will only accept referrals from the Coordinated Entry System, and will attend at least 60% of case conferencing meetings. (10 Points) Applicant described participation as access point, and will only accepts referrals from the Coordinated Entry System, and did not explain plan to attend at least 60% of case conferencing meetings. (5 points) Applicant did not describe agency participation in Coordination Entry System or plan to attend no less than 60% of case conferencing meetings. (0 points)		
Point-in-Time Count Participation (Current CoC Participating Agency)	Certification of participation in the most recent unsheltered (2025) and 2026 Mo BoS CoC PIT Count from the PIT Committee	Elected Regional PIT Coordinator. (6 points) PIT County lead as recorded by the Regional PIT Coordinator. (4 Points) Organization staff participated in any other way for the PIT count including volunteers. (2 Points) Organization's staff did not participate in the PIT Count. (0 Points)		
Point-in-Time Count Participation (First Year Member)	Local Project Application	Applicant fully described how agency will participate as a Regional PIT Coordinator in the 2027 PIT Count. (6 points) Applicant described how agency will participate as a PIT County lead in the 2027 PIT Count. (4 Points) Applicant described how agency will participate in any other way for the 2027 PIT count including volunteering. (2 Points) Applicant did not describe how agency would participate in the 2027 PIT count as regional coordinator, lead or other supporting roles. (0 Points)		
CoC Planning and Operation Activities (Current CoC Participating Agency)	Agency narratives from Local Project Application/General CoC Activities, Section C; BoS meeting minutes.	Agency demonstrates extensive participation in Missouri BoS CoC-wide activities. This requires leadership roles, active participation on multiple committees, subcommittees, or workgroups, engagement in regional or statewide initiatives beyond its local service area, and meaningful contributions that strengthen the CoC. (10 points) Agency demonstrates consistent participation in Missouri BoS CoC activities, including regular attendance at membership meetings, participation on at least one committee or workgroup, and involvement in regional or statewide initiatives. (5 points) Agency does not demonstrate participation in Missouri BoS CoC-wide activities. The narrative response lacks sufficient detail to demonstrate a commitment in the CoC beyond minimal participation to receive funding and is not evidenced by CoC records/minutes. (0 Points)		
CoC Planning and Operation Activities (First Year Member)	Local Project Application	Applicant fully described how agency will extensively participate in Missouri BoS CoC-wide activities including leadership roles, active participation on multiple committees, subcommittees, or workgroups, engagement in regional or statewide initiatives beyond its local service area, and meaningful contributions that strengthen the CoC. (10 points) Applicant described how agency will consistently participate in Missouri BoS CoC activities, including regular attendance at membership meetings, participation on at least one committee or workgroup, and involvement in regional or statewide initiatives. (5 points) Applicant did not describe commitment to participation in CoC activities. (0 Points)		
CoC Membership Meetings	Local Project Application	Organization staff attended 100% of meetings of the last four meetings of the full Mo BoS CoC membership or provided a plan to attend CoC membership meeting as part of CoC participation. (10 points) Organization staff attended 75% of meetings of the last four meetings of the full Mo BoS CoC membership. (5 Points) Organization staff did not attend 75% of meetings of the last four meetings of the full Mo BoS CoC membership or did not provide plan to attend CoC membership meeting as part of CoC participation. (0 Points)		

Grants Management, Financial Capacity, and Spending	Source	Points Available	Points Awarded	Reviewer Comments
Did the organization have any HUD monitoring or OIG audit findings for any HUD grants (including ESG) in the last two years.	Local Project Application and/or e-snaps	Recipient has been monitored by either HUD, State, or OIG within the last two years and there have been no findings identified or no monitoring has been completed. (10 Points) Recipient had one HUD or OIG finding from monitoring conducted within the last two years and/or has had findings in the last monitoring conducted. (5 points) Recipient has two or more HUD/OIG findings open or sanctions have been imposed (0 points)		
Did the applicant agency timely submit an audit as required under 2 CFR § 200.501 for recipients of federal funds, if applicable (e.g. single audit).	Local Project Application	Recipient has been timely in its submission of the audits required under 2 CFR § 200.501, if applicable, and/or has not received a finding and/or received a recommendation in a management letter based on its current accounting practices. (10 points) Recipient has not been timely in its submission of the audits required under 2 CFR § 200.501, if applicable, and/or has received a finding or has received a recommendation in a management letter based on its current accounting practices. (5 points) Recipient has not been timely in its submission of the audits required under 2 CFR § 200.501, if applicable and has received more than one finding, material weaknesses and/or deficiency identified and/or has received a recommendation in a management letter based on its current accounting practices or does not conduct any level of financial audit. (0 points)		
Project documented match requirement/amount of at least 25% of total budget (excluding leasing funds)	Local Project Application and/or e-snaps	The project documents eligible match equal to or greater than 25% of the total project budget (excluding leasing funds). Documentation is complete, accurate, and demonstrates that all match sources meet HUD requirements. (25 points) The project identifies sufficient match to meet the 25% requirement (excluding leasing funds), but the documentation is incomplete, contains minor deficiencies, or requires clarification before it can be verified. (12 points) The project does not document the required 25% match (excluding leasing funds), or the submitted documentation is insufficient to verify compliance with HUD match requirements. (0 points)		
Applicant provides the total funding amount requested for the project, including a clear and complete budget breakdown by all eligible cost categories (Leasing, Rental Assistance, Operating, Supportive Services, HMS, and Administrative costs).	Local Application/Budget Page	Applicant budget is clear, reasonable, and detailed to understand costs on the project. All lines are completed and the total budget matches the total request of the application. All costs on the budget are allowable for the project type. (25 points) Applicant budget is not clear, reasonable, and detailed to understand costs on the project. One line item is incomplete or missing and/or the total budget does not match the total request of the application. Or one budget line item is not allowable for the project type. (12 points) Applicant budget is hard to understand. More than one line item is incomplete or missing and the total budget does not match the total request of the application. Line items do not match with overall project and more than one budget line item is not allowable for the project type. (0 points)		
Applicant provides the average cost per household served and demonstrates that the cost is reasonable, clearly calculated, and appropriate for the proposed project type and level of services.	Local Project Application	Applicant thoroughly describes the average cost per household. The cost is clearly calculated, reasonable, and comparable with other CoC PSH projects. If the cost is unique or high supportive services are needed, the applicant has thoroughly justified the reason in a manner that is clear, concise, and reasonable. (25 points) Applicant moderately describes the average cost per household. The cost is not clearly calculated, reasonable, and/or comparable with other CoC PSH projects. If the cost is unique or high supportive services are needed, the applicant has moderately justified the reason in a manner that is somewhat clear, concise, and reasonable. Reviewer can understand calculations but does not understand the need related to the cost. (12 points) Applicant minimally describes the average cost per household. The cost is not clearly calculated, reasonable, and/or comparable with other CoC PSH projects. If the cost is unique or high supportive services are needed, the applicant has minimally justified the reason, and the reviewer cannot understand the unique or higher cost need. (0 points)		

Project Description and Scope	Source	Points Available	Points Awarded	Reviewer Comments
Applicant describes how the project will provide and/or partner with other organizations to provide eligible supportive services that are necessary to assist program participants to obtain and maintain housing (i.e., case management, behavioral healthcare, employment training, etc.)	Local project application.	Applicant thoroughly describes how the project will provide or partner with other organizations to provide eligible supportive services that are necessary to assist program participants. <u>To obtain full points, applicant must detail the types of supportive services that will be offered, how it will be provided, and who will provide it.</u> (10 points) Applicant moderately describes how the project will provide or partner with other organizations to provide eligible supportive services that are necessary to assist program participants. Applicant does not detail the types of supportive services that will be offered and/or clarity is not provided for by whom and how the services will be provided. (5 points) Applicant provides limited description how the project will provide or partner with other organizations to provide eligible supportive services that are necessary to assist program participants. Applicant does not detail the types of supportive services that will be offered and/or does not provide information about partner organizations and/or does not provide clarity how the services will be provided. (0 points)		
Applicant describes agency's prior experience operating transitional housing/crisis residential housing or other housing programs that have successfully assisted individuals and families experiencing homelessness in obtaining permanent housing within 24 months. If not, provide a detailed plan demonstrating how program participants will be assisted in exiting homelessness and obtaining permanent housing without subsidy within 24 months of program entry.	Local project application.	Applicant thoroughly describes their prior experience with transitional housing or other housing programs that have successfully helped program participants obtain permanent housing in 24 months or applicant thoroughly describes the plan to do the same. <u>To obtain full points in this section, applicant must address both a) or b) in their response. In addition to their prior experience, applicant must state their percent of program participants exiting to permanent housing within 24 months, or b) a detailed plan that clearly describes how the agency will ensure exits to permanent housing within 24 months.</u> (10 points) Applicant moderately describes their prior experience with transitional housing or other housing programs that have successfully helped program participants obtain permanent housing, in 24 months. Applicant response is generalized to their experience and/or description of exits to permanent housing are not clear, detailed or understandable. Applicant plan to provide transitional housing is not detailed or clear. (5 points) Applicant provides limited description of their prior experience with transitional housing or other housing programs that have successfully helped program participants obtain permanent housing, in 24 months. Applicant response is vague and not clear and/or description of exits to permanent housing are missing. Applicant plan to provide transitional housing is not detailed or clear. (0 points)		
Applicant describes agency's prior experience operating transitional housing/crisis residential housing or other housing programs or has a plan in place to ensure that at least 50 percent of participants exit to a positive destination within 24 months and at least 50 percent of participants exit with employment income as reflected in HIMS or another data system used by the applicant.	Local project application.	Applicant thoroughly describes their prior experience operating transitional housing or other housing programs or has a plan in place to have at least 50 percent of program participants exit to a positive destination within 24 months and at least 50 percent of program participants exit with employment income. Reviewer can clearly understand a program's prior experience or clearly understands the plan for providing services. <u>To obtain full points in this section, applicant must address both a) and b) or c) in their response:</u> a) In addition to their prior experience, applicant must state their percent of program participants exiting to positive destinations within 24 months, and b) their percent of program participants exiting with employment income, or c) a detailed plan that clearly describes how the agency will ensure the 50 percent exits to positive destinations and 50 percent of employment income will be met. (10 points) Applicant moderately describes their prior experience operating transitional housing or other housing programs or has a plan in place to have at least 50 percent of program participants exit to positive destinations within 24 months and at least 50 percent of program participants exit with employment income. Reviewer can understand a program's prior experience but percents of prior success with positive destinations or employment income is not provided or not clear. Applicant without prior experience does not clearly detail how the applicant will ensure the 50 percent exits to positive destinations and 50 percent of employment income will be met. (5 points) Applicant provides a limited description of their prior experience transitional housing or other housing programs or has a plan in place to have at least 50 percent of program participants exit to permanent destinations within 24 months and at least 50 percent of program participants exit with employment income. Reviewer cannot clearly establish the prior experience or prior project experience of the applicant, no prior experience percentages are provided for positive destinations or employment income at exit, and/or the plan to provide how the agency will ensure the 50 percent exits to positive destinations and 50 percent of employment income will be met is missing, unclear, vague, or not understandable. (0 points)		
Applicant describes how the project participants will be connected with other public or private resources. Additionally, please describe how the project will leverage mainstream health, social service, and employment resources, including but not limited to Medicare, Medicaid, SSI, SNAP, workforce development programs, behavioral health services, and other community-based supports to enhance participant outcomes and housing stability.	Local project application.	Applicant thoroughly describes the types of public and private resources the program participants will be connected with, along with how mainstream benefits will be leveraged. Reviewer clearly understands what resources and benefits will be utilized to leverage assistance provided to participants. <u>To receive full points, applicant must detail the follow-up process that occurs in the agency to ensure resources and mainstream benefits are accessed. This does not include simply applying for the assistance.</u> (10 points) Applicant moderately describes the types of public and private resources the program participants will be connected with, along with how mainstream benefits will be leveraged. Reviewer understands some resources and benefits will be utilized and/or is not clear how mainstream benefits will be leveraged. Applicant does not state a clear and understandable follow-up process to ensure program participants have assistance in the process beyond simply applying. (5 points) Applicant provides limited description of the types of public and private resources the program participants will be connected with and/or minimal description of how mainstream benefits will be leveraged. Reviewer cannot understand the types of public or private resources and/or how they will be leveraged to them. Applicant is not clear how mainstream benefits will be leveraged. Applicant does not state the follow-up process to ensure program participants have assistance in the process beyond simply applying. (0 points)		
Applicant demonstrates that the proposed project will require program participants to take part in supportive services (e.g. case management, employment training, substance use treatment, etc.) in line with 24 CFR 578.75(h) by attaching a supportive service agreement (contract, occupancy agreement, lease, or equivalent).	Local project application.	Applicant provides a supportive services agreement that requires supportive services. (10 points) Applicant does not provide a supportive services agreement and/or the agreement is not clear that supportive services are required. (0 points)		
Applicant describes how the proposed project will assess the service needs of program participants and provide individualized services during their stay in Transitional Housing. Specifically, explain how the project will ensure that program participants engage in at least 20 hours per week of services, activities, and/or employment, unless the participant is over age 62, an individual with handicaps as defined in 24 CFR 8.3, or an individual with a developmental disability as defined in 24 CFR 578.3. Examples of eligible services and activities may include, but are not limited to, case management, counseling, treatment services, volunteer activities, work therapy, educational programs, job training, employment, and community-building activities. Employment may count toward the 20-hour weekly engagement requirement.	Local project application.	Applicant thoroughly describes in detail how the project will assess service needs of program participants, how many hours per week program participants must complete and what exemptions the program will be allowing. (10 points) Applicant moderately describes how the project will assess service needs of program participants, how many hours per week program participants must complete and what exemptions the program will be allowing. Reviewer understands the description but lacks detail and clarity in how program participants are assessed or how many hours per week are completed or what exemptions are allowed in the program. (5 points) Applicant provides limited description how the project will assess service needs of program participants or how many hours per week program participants must complete or what exemptions the program will be allowing. (0 points)		
Applicant describes how the project will develop and maintain individualized service plans for each program participant, including: the services to be provided, when and how often services will be provided, who will provide the services, participant goals and objectives, strategies for achieving those goals, target dates for goal achievement; and how the service plan will support improved health and wellness, housing stability, increased employment income, financial stability, and long-term self-sufficiency.	Local project application.	Applicant thoroughly describes how the project will develop and maintain individualized service plans for each program participant. For full points all elements must be addressed. (10 points) Applicant moderately describes how the project will develop and maintain individualized service plans for each program participant, but lack detail and clarity. (5 points) Applicant provides limited description how the project will develop and maintain individualized service plans for each program participant. The details were limited or omitted. (0 points)		

YHDP Supplemental	Source	Points Available	Points Awarded	Reviewer Comments
Applicant details how services center around trauma-informed care and clearly demonstrates Positive Youth Development (PYD). Example of PYD: The PYD philosophy in YHDP programs requires a community-wide shift and is built on several foundational core principles: •The 5 Cs of PYD: Programs are designed to build and nurture Competence (ability), Confidence (self-worth), Connection (positive relationships), Character (integrity), and Caring (compassion). •Active Partnership: Youth are engaged as equal partners and active agents in their own development, participating in the design, delivery, and evaluation of the housing services they receive. •Strengths-Based Approach: Instead of focusing only on survival skills or correcting past deficits, staff help youth identify, utilize, and enhance their unique personal and leadership strengths. •Trauma-informed Support: When combined with Trauma-Informed Care (TIC), PYD creates physically and psychologically safe environments that buffer against the stress of homelessness and past trauma. •Stable, Permanent Connections: A major goal of PYD in YHDP is to help youth build ongoing, durable attachments to supportive adults, families, and community networks to ensure long-term stability.	Local project application.	Applicant thoroughly describes an understanding of the five core principles of positive youth development, including trauma informed care. Applicant clearly demonstrates how the five core principles, combined with trauma informed care, will be implemented in their project. (4 points) Applicant moderately describes an understanding of the five core principles of positive youth development, including trauma informed care. Applicant does not clearly demonstrate how the five core principles, combined with trauma informed care, will be implemented in their project. (2 points) Applicant provides limited description the five core principles of positive youth development, including trauma informed care. Applicant omits one or more core principles and/or how trauma informed care is implemented in their project. (0 points)		
Applicant details how the program incorporates youth choice, voice, and self-determination.	Local project application.	Applicant thoroughly describes how the program incorporates youth choice, voice, and self-determination. (6 points) Applicant moderately describes how the program incorporates youth choice, voice, and self-determination. (4 points) Applicant provides limited description how the program incorporates youth choice, voice, and self-determination. (0 points)		
Applicant details how the program provides developmentally appropriate case management.	Local project application.	Applicant thoroughly describes how the program provides developmentally appropriate case management. Applicant details who provides case management and what the processes are to determine developmentally appropriate. (10 points) Applicant moderately describes how the program provides developmentally appropriate case management. Applicant does not detail who provides the case management or the process to determine developmentally appropriate. (5 points) Applicant provides limited description how the program provides developmentally appropriate case management. Reviewer cannot understand the process or who provides the case management. (0 points)		
Applicant describes how the project effectively connects program participants to educational opportunities.	Local project application.	Applicant thoroughly describes how program participants are effectively connected to educational opportunities. Applicant details the types of educational opportunities and who provides and facilitates this process. (4 points) Applicant moderately describes how program participants are effectively connected to educational opportunities. Applicant does not detail the types of educational opportunities and/or who provides and facilitates this process. (2 points) Applicant provides limited description how program participants are effectively connected to educational opportunities. Reviewer cannot understand the process, or the types of educational opportunities offered, or who assists with this process. (0 points)		
Applicant details how the project is effective in engaging youth and young adults in meaningful services, activities, and supports that promote personal growth and successful outcomes.	Local project application.	Applicant thoroughly describes how youth are engaged in meaningful services, activities, and supports that promote personal growth and successful outcomes. Applicant describes the process of how youth are informed of ways to engage and participate. (6 points) Applicant moderately describes how youth are engaged in meaningful services, activities, and supports that promote personal growth and successful outcomes. Applicant does not describe the process of how youth are informed of ways to engage and participate. (4 points) Applicant provides limited description how youth are engaged in meaningful services, activities, and supports that promote personal growth and successful outcomes. Applicant description is limited and minimal. Reviewer is not sure about how engagement happens. (0 points)		
Project Initiatives	Source	Points Available	Points Awarded	Reviewer Comments
Proposed project is within a HUD defined Opportunity Zone.	Local application and/ or e-snaps Application and form HUD 2996	3 Points		
Project has or will incorporate supportive service participation requirements in their program design, based on individual need in accordance with 24 CFR 578.75(h).	Local project application.	3 Points		
Dedicated Sober Living Project.	Local project application.	3 Points		
Proposed project serves a sub-population(s) as identified in the NOFO.	Local project application.	5 Points		
Project meets the needs, priorities and service gaps of the CoC. (Applicant clearly identifies the counties and regions served and demonstrates alignment with CoC geographic priorities and identified gaps in service.)	Local Project Application and/or e-snaps	Informational Only / Tie Breaker	0	
Total Points			0	

Component: SSO-YHDP	Source	Points Available	Points Awarded	Reviewer Comments
General CoC Questions	Source	Points Available	Points Awarded	Reviewer Comments
First-year member is defined as an applicant that has filled out their first application for CoC membership with MO-606 since September 1, 2025 as documented on MO BOS Membership List.				
Participation in Coordinated Entry (Current CoC Participating Agency)	Report from HMIS and Regional CE Lead	Applicant is an access point and only accepts referrals from the Coordinated Entry System, and attends at least 60% of case conferencing meetings. (10 Points) Applicant is an access point, and only accepts referrals from the Coordinated Entry System, and attends less than 60% of case conferencing meetings. (5 points) Applicant accepts referrals from outside the Coordinated Entry System and/or did not attend case conferencing. (0 points)		
Participation in Coordinated Entry (First Year Member)	Local Project Application	Applicant fully described participation as access point and will only accept referrals from the Coordinated Entry System, and will attend at least 60% of case conferencing meetings. (10 Points) Applicant described participation as access point, and will only accepts referrals from the Coordinated Entry System, and did not explain plan to attend at least 60% of case conferencing meetings. (5 points) Applicant did not describe agency participation in Coordination Entry System or plan to attend no less than 60% of case conferencing meetings. (0 points)		
Point-in-Time Count Participation (Current CoC Participating Agency)	Certification of participation in the most recent unsheltered (2025) and 2026 Mo BoS CoC PIT Count from the PIT Committee	Elected Regional PIT Coordinator. (6 points) PIT County lead as recorded by the Regional PIT Coordinator. (4 Points) Organization staff participated in any other way for the PIT count including volunteers. (2 Points) Organization's staff did not participate in the PIT Count. (0 Points)		
Point-in-Time Count Participation (First Year Member)	Local Project Application	Applicant fully described how agency will participate as a Regional PIT Coordinator in the 2027 PIT Count. (6 points) Applicant described how agency will participate as a PIT County lead in the 2027 PIT Count. (4 Points) Applicant described how agency will participate in any other way for the 2027 PIT count including volunteering. (2 Points) Applicant did not describe how agency would participate in the 2027 PIT count as regional coordinator, lead or other supporting roles. (0 Points)		
CoC Planning and Operation Activities (Current CoC Participating Agency)	Agency narratives from Local Project Application/General CoC Activities, Section C; BoS meeting minutes.	Agency demonstrates extensive participation in Missouri BoS CoC-wide activities. This requires leadership roles, active participation on multiple committees, subcommittees, or workgroups, engagement in regional or statewide initiatives beyond its local service area, and meaningful contributions that strengthen the CoC. (10 points) Agency demonstrates consistent participation in Missouri BoS CoC activities, including regular attendance at membership meetings, participation on at least one committee or workgroup, and involvement in regional or statewide initiatives. (5 points) Agency does not demonstrate participation in Missouri BoS CoC-wide activities. The narrative response lacks sufficient detail to demonstrate a commitment in the CoC beyond minimal participation to receive funding and is not evidenced by CoC records/minutes. (0 Points)		
CoC Planning and Operation Activities (First Year Member)	Local Project Application	Applicant fully described how agency will extensively participate in Missouri BoS CoC-wide activities including leadership roles, active participation on multiple committees, subcommittees, or workgroups, engagement in regional or statewide initiatives beyond its local service area, and meaningful contributions that strengthen the CoC. (10 points) Applicant described how agency will consistently participate in Missouri BoS CoC activities, including regular attendance at membership meetings, participation on at least one committee or workgroup, and involvement in regional or statewide initiatives. (5 points) Applicant did not describe commitment to participation in CoC activities. (0 Points)		
CoC Membership Meetings	Local Project Application	Organization staff attended 100% of meetings of the last four meetings of the full Mo BoS CoC membership or provided a plan to attend CoC membership meeting as part of CoC participation. (10 points) Organization staff attended 75% of meetings of the last four meetings of the full Mo BoS CoC membership. (5 Points) Organization staff did not attend 75% of meetings of the last four meetings of the full Mo BoS CoC membership or did not provide plan to attend CoC membership meeting as part of CoC participation. (0 Points)		

Grants Management, Financial Capacity, and Spending	Source	Points Available	Points Awarded	Reviewer Comments
Did the organization have any HUD monitoring or OIG audit findings for any HUD grants (including ESG) in the last two years.	Local Project Application and/or e-snaps	Recipient has been monitored by either HUD, State, or OIG within the last two years and there have been no findings identified or no monitoring has been completed. (10 points) Recipient had one HUD or OIG finding from monitoring conducted within the last two years and/or has had findings in the last monitoring conducted. (5 points) Recipient has two or more HUD/OIG findings open or sanctions have been imposed (0 points)		
Did the applicant agency timely submit an audit as required under 2 CFR § 200.501 for recipients of federal funds, if applicable (e.g. single audit).	Local Project Application	Recipient has been timely in its submission of the audits required under 2 CFR § 200.501, if applicable, and/or has not received a finding and/or received a recommendation in a management letter based on its current accounting practices. (10 points) Recipient has not been timely in its submission of the audits required under 2 CFR § 200.501, if applicable, and/or has received a finding or has received a recommendation in a management letter based on its current accounting practices. (5 points) Recipient has not been timely in its submission of the audits required under 2 CFR § 200.501, if applicable and has received more than one finding, material weaknesses and/or deficiency identified and/or has received a recommendation in a management letter based on its current accounting practices or does not conduct any level of financial audit. (0 points)		
Project documented match requirement/amount of at least 25% of total budget (excluding leasing funds)	Local Project Application and/or e-snaps	The project documents eligible match equal to or greater than 25% of the total project budget (excluding leasing funds). Documentation is complete, accurate, and demonstrates that all match sources meet HUD requirements. (25 points) The project identifies sufficient match to meet the 25% requirement (excluding leasing funds), but the documentation is incomplete, contains minor deficiencies, or requires clarification before it can be verified. (12 points) The project does not document the required 25% match (excluding leasing funds), or the submitted documentation is insufficient to verify compliance with HUD match requirements. (0 points)		
Applicant provides the total funding amount requested for the project, including a clear and complete budget breakdown by all eligible cost categories (Leasing, Rental Assistance, Operating, Supportive Services, HMIS, and Administrative costs).	Local Application/Budget Page	Applicant budget is clear, reasonable, and detailed to understand costs on the project. All lines are completed and the total budget matches the total request of the application. All costs on the budget are allowable for the project type. (25 points) Applicant budget is not clear, reasonable, and detailed to understand costs on the project. One line item is incomplete or missing and/or the total budget does not match the total request of the application. Or one budget line item is not allowable for the project type. (12 points) Applicant budget is hard to understand. More than one line item is incomplete or missing and the total budget does not match the total request of the application. Line items do not match with overall project and more than one budget line item is not allowable for the project type. (0 points)		
Applicant provides the average cost per household served and demonstrates that the cost is reasonable, clearly calculated, and appropriate for the proposed project type and level of services.	Local Project Application	Applicant thoroughly describes the average cost per household. The cost is clearly calculated, reasonable, and comparable with other CoC PSH projects. If the cost is unique or high supportive services are needed, the applicant has thoroughly justified the reason in a manner that is clear, concise, and reasonable. (25 points) Applicant moderately describes the average cost per household. The cost is not clearly calculated, reasonable, and/or comparable with other CoC PSH projects. If the cost is unique or high supportive services are needed, the applicant has moderately justified the reason in a manner that is somewhat clear, concise, and reasonable. Reviewer can understand calculations but does not understand the need related to the cost. (12 points) Applicant minimally describes the average cost per household. The cost is not clearly calculated, reasonable, and/or comparable with other CoC PSH projects. If the cost is unique or high supportive services are needed, the applicant has minimally justified the reason, and the reviewer cannot understand the unique or higher cost need. (0 points)		

Project Description and Scope: Supportive Services - CE only	Source	Points Available	Points Awarded	Reviewer Comments
Applicant describes how the SSO-CE project is easily available and reachable for all persons within the CoC's geographic area who are seeking homelessness assistance.	Local Project Application	Applicant thoroughly describes how the project is easily accessible and reachable for all persons. The applicant clearly describes how the CoC's geographic area will be covered. (15 points) Applicant moderately describes how the project is easily accessible and reachable for all persons. Reviewer understands how some of the CoC's geographic area will be covered and/or applicant does not clearly describe how the project is easily accessible and reachable to all persons. (7 points) Applicant provides limited description of how the project is easily accessible and reachable for all persons. Reviewer does not understand how projects will be easily accessible and reachable and/or the CoC's geographic area is not described or clear. (0 points)		
Applicant describes how the SSO-CE project is accessible for persons with disabilities within the CoC's geographic area.	Local Project Application	Applicant thoroughly describes with detail and specificity how the project will be accessible for persons with disabilities. (15 points) Applicant moderately describes how the project will be accessible for persons with disabilities. Applicant provides minimal detail or specifics about accessibility. (7 points) Applicant provides limited and minimal description how the project will be accessible for persons with disabilities and gives no details. (0 points)		
Applicant describes how the SSO-CE project's strategy for advertising is designed specifically to reach households experiencing homelessness with the highest needs.	Local Project Application	Applicant thoroughly describes with detail and specificity how the project will be advertised and designed to specifically reach households experiencing homelessness with the highest needs. (10 points) Applicant moderately describes how the project will be advertised and designed to specifically reach households experiencing homelessness with the highest needs. Applicant provides minimal detail or specifics about advertising. (5 points) Applicant provides limited and minimal description how the project will be advertised and designed to specifically reach households experiencing homelessness with the highest needs and gives no details. (0 points)		
Applicant describes how the SSO-CE project adheres to the standardized assessment process and Policies and Procedures approved by the MO BoS CoC.	Local Project Application	Applicant thoroughly details the standardized assessment process it will utilize and describes how the project will adhere to CoC policies and procedures. <u>To obtain full points, applicant must demonstrate knowledge of the CoC policies in relation to Coordinated Entry including assessment and case conferencing.</u> (10 points) Applicant moderately describes the standardized assessment process it will utilize and describes somewhat how the project will adhere to CoC policies and procedures. (5 points) Applicant provides limited description of the standardized assessment process it will utilize and/or describes minimally how the project will adhere to CoC policies and procedures. (0 points)		
Describe how the SSO-CE project will ensure program participants are directed to appropriate housing and services that fit their needs across the CoC.	Local Project Application.	Applicant thoroughly describes how the program participants will be connected to appropriate housing and services that fit their needs across the CoC. Reviewer clearly understands how CE System will work with project to assist participants. Applicant describes the follow-up process to ensure program participants have assistance in the process beyond the priority list. (25 points) Applicant moderately describes how the program participants will be connected to appropriate housing and services that fit their needs across the CoC. Reviewer is unclear on how CE System will work with project to assist participants. Applicant does not clearly describe the follow-up process to ensure program participants have assistance in the process beyond the priority list. (12 points) Applicant provides limited or no details on how the program participants will be connected to appropriate housing and services that fit their needs across the CoC. Applicant does not describe the follow-up process to ensure program participants have assistance in the process beyond the priority list. (0 points)		

Project Description and Scope: Supportive Services Only	Source	Points Available	Points Awarded	Reviewer Comments
Applicant details how the project is effective in assisting people in exiting homelessness, addressing barriers to stable housing (e.g., substance use disorder, unemployment, childcare, etc.) and increasing how self-sufficiency and the applicant will conduct an annual assessment of the service needs of the program participants.	Local Project Application	Applicant thoroughly describes how the project assists people in exiting homelessness, addressing barriers to stable housing, and increasing self-sufficiency. <u>To obtain full points, applicant must clearly detail how barriers to stable housing will be addressed, how program participants will be involved with determining service needs, and how the applicant will conduct annual assessments with program participants.</u> (20 points) Applicant moderately describes how the project assists people in exiting homelessness, addressing barriers to stable housing, and increasing self-sufficiency. Reviewer does not have clear understanding how barriers to stable housing will be addressed and/or how program participants will be involved with determining service needs and/or how the applicant will conduct annual assessments with program participants. (10 points) Applicant provides limited description of how the project assists people in exiting homelessness, addressing barriers to stable housing, and increasing self-sufficiency. Reviewer cannot understand how barriers to stable housing will be addressed and/or how program participants will be involved with determining service needs and/or how the applicant will conduct annual assessments with program participants. (0 points)		
Applicant details the project's experience or plan for providing supportive services to eligible program participants including those with histories of unsheltered homelessness and those who do not traditionally engage with supportive services.	Local Project Application	Applicant thoroughly describes their prior experience or plan for providing supportive services to eligible program participants including those with histories of unsheltered homelessness and those who do not traditionally engage with supportive services. <u>To obtain full points, applicant must detail clear history with unsheltered homelessness and those who do not traditionally engage with services and provide detailed, clear plan for providing supportive services which includes detailing the type of supportive services to be offered.</u> (15 points) Applicant moderately describes their prior experience or plan for providing supportive services to eligible program participants including those with histories of unsheltered homelessness and those who do not traditionally engage with supportive services. Reviewer has some understanding but not clear about the applicant history with unsheltered homelessness and those who do not traditionally engage with services and/or does not have an understandable plan for providing supportive services. (7 points) Applicant provides limited description of their prior experience or plan for providing supportive services to eligible program participants including those with histories of unsheltered homelessness and those who do not traditionally engage with supportive services. Reviewer does not have a clear understanding of the applicant history with unsheltered homelessness and those who do not traditionally engage with services and/or little details are provided in a plan for supportive services. (0 points)		
Applicant clearly describes how the project participants will be connected with other resources from other public or private resources.	Local Project Application	Applicant thoroughly describes the types of public and private resources and how the program participants will be connected with those resources. Reviewer clearly understands what resources and benefits will be utilized to assist participants. <u>To receive full points, applicant must detail the follow-up process that occurs in the agency to ensure resources and mainstream benefits are accessed. This does not include simply applying for the assistance.</u> (20 points) Applicant moderately describes the types of public and private resources and how the program participants will be connected with those resources. Reviewer understands some resources and benefits will be utilized and/or is not clear how mainstream benefits will be utilized to assist participants. Applicant does not state a clear and understandable follow-up process to ensure program participants have assistance in the process beyond simply applying. (10 points) Applicant provides limited description of the types of public and private resources the program participants will be connected with and/or minimal description of resources available for participants. Reviewer cannot understand the types of public or private resources and/or how participants will be connected to them. Applicant does not state the follow-up process to ensure program participants have assistance in the process beyond simply applying. (0 points)		
Applicant describes how the project will leverage mainstream health, social service, and employment resources, including but not limited to Medicare, Medicaid, SSI, SNAP, workforce development programs, behavioral health services, and other community-based supports to enhance participant outcomes and housing stability.	Local Project Application	Applicant thoroughly describes how the resources will be leveraged to enhance participant outcomes and housing stability. Reviewer clearly understands what resources and benefits will be utilized to leverage assistance provided to participants. (25 points) Applicant moderately describes how the resources will be leveraged to enhance participant outcomes and housing stability. Reviewer understands some resources and benefits will be utilized and/or is not clear how mainstream benefits/other resources will be leveraged. (12 points) Applicant provides limited description on how the resources will be leveraged to enhance participant outcomes and housing stability. Applicant is not clear how mainstream benefits/other resources will be leveraged. (0 points)		

Project Description and Scope: Supportive Services Only - Street Outreach Only	Source	Points Available	Points Awarded	Reviewer Comments
Applicant details how project participants will be connected with other resources from other public or private resources. Additionally, applicant describes how the project will leverage mainstream health, social service, and employment resources, including but not limited to Medicare, Medicaid, SSI, SNAP, workforce development programs, behavioral health services, and other community-based supports to enhance participant outcomes and housing stability.	Local Project Application	Applicant thoroughly describes the types of public and private resources the program participants will be connected with, along with how mainstream benefits will be leveraged. Reviewer clearly understands what resources and benefits will be utilized to leverage assistance provided to participants. <u>To receive full points, applicant must detail the follow-up process that occurs in the agency to ensure resources and mainstream benefits are accessed. This does not include simply applying for the assistance.</u> (20 points) Applicant moderately describes the types of public and private resources the program participants will be connected with, along with how mainstream benefits will be leveraged. Reviewer understands some resources and benefits will be utilized and/or is not clear how mainstream benefits will be leveraged. Applicant does not state a clear and understandable follow-up process to ensure program participants have assistance in the process beyond simply applying. (10 points) Applicant provides limited description of the types of public and private resources the program participants will be connected with and/or minimal description of how mainstream benefits will be leveraged. Reviewer cannot understand the types of public or private resources and/or how participants will be connected to them. Applicant is not clear how mainstream benefits will be leveraged. Applicant does not state the follow-up process to ensure program participants have assistance in the process beyond simply applying. (0 points)		
Applicant details the project's experience or plan for providing street outreach services to eligible program participants including those with histories of unsheltered homelessness and those who do not traditionally engage with supportive services.	Local Project Application	Applicant thoroughly describes their prior experience or plan for providing street outreach services to eligible program participants including those with histories of unsheltered homelessness and those who do not traditionally engage with supportive services. <u>To obtain full points, applicant must detail clear history with unsheltered homelessness and those who do not traditionally engage with services and provide detailed, clear plan for providing street outreach services which includes detailing the type of supportive services to be offered.</u> (15 points) Applicant moderately describes their prior experience or plan for providing street outreach services to eligible program participants including those with histories of unsheltered homelessness and those who do not traditionally engage with supportive services. Reviewer has some understanding but not clear about the applicant history with unsheltered homelessness and those who do not traditionally engage with services and/or does not have an understandable plan for providing street outreach services. (7 points) Applicant provides limited description of their prior experience or plan for providing street outreach services to eligible program participants including those with histories of unsheltered homelessness and those who do not traditionally engage with supportive services. Reviewer does not have a clear understanding of the applicant history with unsheltered homelessness and those who do not traditionally engage with services and/or little details are provided in a plan for street outreach services. (0 points)		
Applicant demonstrates history of, or plan for, partnering with first responders and law enforcement to engage people living in places not meant for human habitation to access emergency shelter, treatment programs, reunification with family, transitional housing, or independent living. Applicant demonstrates how they cooperate and not interfere or impede with enforcement of local laws such as public camping and public drug use laws and assist/be willing to assist first responders in their efforts to engage homeless individuals.	Local Project Application	Applicant thoroughly describes history or plan to partner with first responders and law enforcement to engage people not meant for human habitation to engage in services. To obtain full points, applicant must clearly state how they will cooperate and not interfere or impede with enforcement of public camping and public drug use laws. Applicant must clearly detail their efforts to assist first responders engage homeless individuals. (20 points) Applicant moderately describes history or plan to partner with first responders and law enforcement to engage people not meant for human habitation to engage in services. Applicant did not clearly state how they will cooperate and not interfere or impede with enforcement of public camping and public drug use laws and/or applicant did not clearly detail their efforts to assist first responders engage homeless individuals. (10 points) Applicant provides limited description of history or plan to partner with first responders and law enforcement to engage people not meant for human habitation to engage in services. Applicant provided minimal or incomplete information about how they will cooperate and not interfere or impede with enforcement of public camping and public drug use laws and/or applicant did not detail their efforts to assist first responders engage homeless individuals. (0 points)		
Applicant described the project's experience, or plan for providing outreach services, consistent with the activity description at 24 CFR 578.53(k)(13) for or has demonstrated effectiveness at helping people successfully exit from places not meant for human habitation to emergency shelter, treatment programs, transitional housing, or permanent housing programs.	Local Project Application	Applicant thoroughly describes experience or plan for providing outreach services and demonstrates effectiveness at helping people successfully exit places not meant for human habitation. Applicant clearly described how applicant works with emergency shelter, treatment programs, transitional housing, or permanent housing programs to help program participants. (20 points) Applicant moderately describes experience or plan for providing outreach services and demonstrates effectiveness at helping people successfully exit places not meant for human habitation. Applicant describes working with emergency shelter, treatment programs, transitional housing, or permanent housing programs to help program participants but description is not clear. (10 points) Applicant provides limited description of experience or plan for providing outreach services and demonstrates effectiveness at helping people successfully exit places not meant for human habitation. Applicant does not clearly describe how applicant works with emergency shelter, treatment programs, transitional housing, or permanent housing programs to help program participants. (0 points)		

YHDP Supplemental	Source	Points Available	Points Awarded	Reviewer Comments
Applicant details how services center around trauma-informed care and clearly demonstrates Positive Youth Development (PYD). Example of PYD: The PYD philosophy in YHDP programs requires a community-wide shift and is built on several foundational core principles: •The 5 Cs of PYD: Programs are designed to build and nurture Competence (ability), Confidence (self-worth), Connection (positive relationships), Character (integrity), and Caring (compassion). •Active Partnership: Youth are engaged as equal partners and active agents in their own development, participating in the design, delivery, and evaluation of the housing services they receive. •Strengths-Based Approach: Instead of focusing only on survival skills or correcting past deficits, staff help youth identify, utilize, and enhance their unique personal and leadership strengths. •Trauma-Informed Support: When combined with Trauma-Informed Care (TIC), PYD creates physically and psychologically safe environments that buffer against the stress of homelessness and past trauma. •Stable, Permanent Connections: A major goal of PYD in YHDP is to help youth build ongoing, durable attachments to supportive adults, families, and community networks to ensure long-term stability.	Local project application.	Applicant thoroughly describes an understanding of the five core principles of positive youth development, including trauma informed care. Applicant clearly demonstrates how the five core principles, combined with trauma informed care, will be implemented in their project. (4 points) Applicant moderately describes an understanding of the five core principles of positive youth development, including trauma informed care. Applicant does not clearly demonstrate how the five core principles, combined with trauma informed care, will be implemented in their project. (2 points) Applicant provides limited description the five core principles of positive youth development, including trauma informed care. Applicant omits one or more core principles and/or how trauma informed care is implemented in their project. (0 points)		
Applicant details how the program incorporates youth choice, voice, and self-determination.	Local project application.	Applicant thoroughly describes how the program incorporates youth choice, voice, and self-determination. (6 points) Applicant moderately describes how the program incorporates youth choice, voice, and self-determination. (4 points) Applicant provides limited description how the program incorporates youth choice, voice, and self-determination. (0 points)		
Applicant details how the program provides developmentally appropriate case management.	Local project application.	Applicant thoroughly describes how the program provides developmentally appropriate case management. Applicant details who provides case management and what the processes are to determine developmentally appropriate. (10 points) Applicant moderately describes how the program provides developmentally appropriate case management. Applicant does not detail who provides the case management or the process to determine developmentally appropriate. (5 points) Applicant provides limited description how the program provides developmentally appropriate case management. Reviewer cannot understand the process or who provides the case management. (0 points)		
Applicant describes how the project effectively connects program participants to educational opportunities.	Local project application.	Applicant thoroughly describes how program participants are effectively connected to educational opportunities. Applicant details the types of educational opportunities and who provides and facilitates this process. (5 points) Applicant moderately describes how program participants are effectively connected to educational opportunities. Applicant does not detail the types of educational opportunities and/or who provides and facilitates this process. (3 points) Applicant provides limited description how program participants are effectively connected to educational opportunities. Reviewer cannot understand the process, or the types of educational opportunities offered, or who assists with this process. (0 points)		
Applicant details how the project is effective in engaging youth and young adults in meaningful services, activities, and supports that promote personal growth and successful outcomes.	Local project application.	Applicant thoroughly describes how youth are engaged in meaningful services, activities, and supports that promote personal growth and successful outcomes. Applicant describes the process of how youth are informed of ways to engage and participate. (6 points) Applicant moderately describes how youth are engaged in meaningful services, activities, and supports that promote personal growth and successful outcomes. Applicant does not describes the process of how youth are informed of ways to engage and participate. (4 points) Applicant provides limited description how youth are engaged in meaningful services, activities, and supports that promote personal growth and successful outcomes. Applicant description is limited and minimal. Reviewer is not sure about how engagement happens. (0 points)		
Project Initiatives	Source	Points Available	Points Awarded	Reviewer Comments
Proposed project is within a HUD defined Opportunity Zone.	Local Project Application and/ or e-snaps Application and form HUD 2996	3 Points		
Project serves a sub-population(s) as identified in the NOFO.	Local Project Application and/or e-snaps	5 Points		
Project meets the needs, priorities and service gaps of the CoC. (Applicant clearly identifies the counties and regions served and demonstrates alignment with CoC geographic priorities and identified gaps in service.)	Local Project Application and/or e-snaps/MO BoS needs and gaps/CoC priorities	Informational Only / Tie Breaker	0	
Total Points (Max 245 per SSO project type)			0	

Component: HMIS	Source	Points Available	Points Awarded	Reviewer Comments
General CoC Questions	Source	Points Available	Points Awarded	Reviewer Comments
Participation in Coordinated Entry (Current CoC Participating Agency)	Report from HMIS and Regional CE Lead	Applicant is an access point and only accepts referrals from the Coordinated Entry System, and attends at least 60% of case conferencing meetings. (10 Points) Applicant is an access point, and only accepts referrals from the Coordinated Entry System, and attends less than 60% of case conferencing meetings. (5 points) Applicant accepts referrals from outside the Coordinated Entry System and/or did not attend case conferencing. (0 points)		
Point-in-Time Count Participation (Current CoC Participating Agency)	Certification of participation in the most recent unsheltered (2025) and 2026 Mo BoS CoC PIT Count from the PIT Committee	Elected Regional PIT Coordinator. (12 points) PIT County lead as recorded by the Regional PIT Coordinator. (6 Points) Organization staff participated in any other way for the PIT count including volunteers. (4 Points) Organization's staff did not participate in the PIT Count. (0 Points)		
CoC Planning and Operation Activities (Current CoC Participating Agency)	Agency narratives from Local Project Application/General CoC Activities, Section C; BoS meeting minutes.	Agency demonstrates extensive participation in Missouri BoS CoC-wide activities. This requires leadership roles, active participation on multiple committees, subcommittees, or workgroups, engagement in regional or statewide initiatives beyond its local service area, and meaningful contributions that strengthen the CoC. (10 points) Agency demonstrates consistent participation in Missouri BoS CoC activities, including regular attendance at membership meetings, participation on at least one committee or workgroup, and involvement in regional or statewide initiatives. (5 points) Agency does not demonstrate participation in Missouri BoS CoC-wide activities. The narrative response lacks sufficient detail to demonstrate a commitment in the CoC beyond minimal participation to receive funding and is not evidenced by CoC records/minutes. (0 Points)		
CoC Membership Meetings	Local Project Application	Organization staff attended 100% of meetings of the last four meetings of the full Mo BoS CoC membership or provided a plan to attend CoC membership meeting as part of CoC participation. (10 points) Organization staff attended 75% of meetings of the last four meetings of the full Mo BoS CoC membership. (5 Points) Organization staff did not attend 75% of meetings of the last four meetings of the full Mo BoS CoC membership or did not provide plan to attend CoC membership meeting as part of CoC participation. (0 Points)		
Grants Management, Financial Capacity and Spending	Source	Points Available	Points Awarded	Reviewer Comments, if applicable
Did the organization have any HUD monitoring or OIG audit findings for any HUD grants (including ESG).	Local Project Application and/or e-snaps	Recipient has been monitored by either HUD, State, or OIG within the last two years and there have been no findings identified or no monitoring has been completed. (10 Points) Recipient had one HUD or OIG finding from monitoring conducted within the last two years and/or has had findings in the last monitoring conducted (5 points) Recipient has two or more HUD/OIG findings open or sanctions have been imposed (0 points)		
Did the applicant agency timely submit an audit as required under 2 CFR § 200.501 for recipients of federal funds, if applicable (e.g. single audit).	Local Project Application	Recipient has been timely in its submission of the audits required under 2 CFR § 200.501, if applicable, and/or has not received a finding and/or received a recommendation in a management letter based on its current accounting practices. (10 points) Recipient has not been timely in its submission of the audits required under 2 CFR § 200.501, if applicable, and/or has received a finding or has received a recommendation in a management letter based on its current accounting practices. (5 points) Recipient has not been timely in its submission of the audits required under 2 CFR § 200.501, if applicable and has received more than one finding, material weaknesses and/or deficiency identified and/or has received a recommendation in a management letter based on its current accounting practices or does not conduct any level of financial audit. (0 points)		
Project documented match requirement/amount of at least 25% of total budget (excluding leasing funds)	Local Project Application and/or e-snaps	The project documents eligible match equal to or greater than 25% of the total project budget (excluding leasing funds). Documentation is complete, accurate, and demonstrates that all match sources meet HUD requirements. (25 points) The project identifies sufficient match to meet the 25% requirement (excluding leasing funds), but the documentation is incomplete, contains minor deficiencies, or requires clarification before it can be verified. (12 points) The project does not document the required 25% match (excluding leasing funds), or the submitted documentation is insufficient to verify compliance with HUD match requirements. (0 points)		
Applicant provides the total funding amount requested for the project, including a clear and complete budget breakdown by all eligible cost categories (Leasing, Rental Assistance, Operating, Supportive Services, HMIS, and Administrative costs).	Local Application/ Budget Page	Applicant budget is clear, reasonable, and detailed to understand costs on the project. All lines are completed and the total budget matches the total request of the application. All costs on the budget are allowable for the project type. (25 points) Applicant budget is not clear, reasonable, and detailed to understand costs on the project. One line item is incomplete or missing and/or the total budget does not match the total request of the application. Or one budget line item is not allowable for the project type. (12 points) Applicant budget is hard to understand. More than one line item is incomplete or missing and the total budget does not match the total request of the application. Line items do not match with overall project and more than one budget line item is not allowable for the project type. (0 points)		
Applicant describes how the HMIS funds will be expended in a way that furthers the CoC's HMIS implementation across the CoC.	Local Application	Applicant thoroughly describes how the HMIS funds will be expended. Applicant clearly details how this project will further CoC implementation. (25 points) Applicant moderately describes how the HMIS funds will be expended. Applicant does not clearly state how this project will further CoC implementation. (12 points) Applicant provides limited description of how the HMIS funds will be expended and/or does not detail how this project will further CoC implementation. (0 points)		

Project Description and Scope	Source	Points Available	Points Awarded	Reviewer Comments
Applicant describes how HMIS collects all Universal Data Elements as set forth in the HMIS Data Standards.	Local Application	Applicant thoroughly describes how HMIS collects all Universal Data Elements in HMIS Data Standards. (15 points) Applicant minimally describes how HMIS collects all Universal Data Elements in HMIS Data Standards. (0 points)		
Applicant describes the ability of the HMIS to un-duplicate client records.	Local Application	Applicant thoroughly describes how HMIS collects all Universal Data Elements in HMIS Data Standards. (15 points) Applicant minimally describes how HMIS collects all Universal Data Elements in HMIS Data Standards. (0 points)		
Applicant describes how the HMIS produces all HUD-required reports and provides data as needed for HUD reporting (e.g., APR, quarterly reports, data for CAPER/ESG reporting) and other reports required by other state and federal partners.	Local Application	Applicant thoroughly describes how HMIS-required reports are produced and submitted, how data is provided to the CoC, and how reports are submitted for other state and federal partners. Applicant is clear and detailed with response and type of reports. (15 points) Applicant provides limited description of how HMIS-required reports are produced and submitted, how data is provided to the CoC, and how reports are submitted for other state and federal partners. Applicant does not state the type of reports. (0 points)		
Applicant describes how the CoC has or will share PIT, HIC, HMIS, and System Performance data with state and local government as permitted by law in order to inform the homelessness response system.	Local Application	Applicant thoroughly describes how HMIS shares PIT, HIC, HMIS, and SPMs with state and local government, as permitted, to inform the homelessness response system. Applicant response is detailed, clear, and shows understanding of CoC data policies. (30 points) Applicant moderately describes HMIS shares PIT, HIC, HMIS, and SPMs with state and local government, as permitted, to inform the homelessness response system. (15 points) Applicant provides limited and minimal description how HMIS shares PIT, HIC, HMIS, and SPMs with state and local government, as permitted, to inform the homelessness response system. Applicant response is generalized and shows lack of understanding of CoC data policies. (0 points)		
Applicant describes how the CoC utilizes other data sources alongside HMIS to improve care (e.g., health care utilization and claims data, electronic health care record data, admission, discharge, and transfer data, and law enforcement and corrections system data).	Local Application	Applicant thoroughly describes how the CoC utilizes other data sources alongside HMIS to improve care. Applicant details the other data sources that are utilized. (30 points) Applicant moderately how the CoC utilizes other data sources alongside HMIS to improve care. (15 points) Applicant provides limited and minimal description of how the CoC utilizes other data sources alongside HMIS to improve care. Applicant does not show knowledge of other data sources. (0 points)		
Project Initiatives	Source	Points Available	Points Awarded	Reviewer Comments
Proposed project is within a HUD defined Opportunity Zone.	Local application and/ or e-snaps Application and form HUD 2996	3 Points		
Project meets the needs, priorities and service gaps of the CoC. (Applicant clearly identifies the counties and regions served and demonstrates alignment with CoC geographic priorities and identified gaps in service.)	Local Project Application and/or e-snaps/MO BoS needs and gaps/CoC priorities	Informational Only / Tie Breaker	0	
Total Points			0	