Before Starting the CoC Application

You must submit all three of the following parts in order for us to consider your Consolidated Application complete:

 1. the CoC Application,
 2. the CoC Priority Listing, and
 3. all the CoC's project applications that were either approved and ranked, or rejected.

 As the Collaborative Applicant, you are responsible for reviewing the following:

 1. The FY 2023 CoC Program Competition Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for specific application and program requirements.
 2. The FY 2023 CoC Application Detailed Instructions which provide additional information and guidance for completing the application.
 3. All information provided to ensure it is correct and current.
 4. Responses provided by project applicants in their Project Applications.
 5. The application to ensure all documentation, including attachment are provided.

 Your CoC Must Approve the Consolidated Application before You Submit It
 - 24 CFR 578.9 requires you to compile and submit the CoC Consolidated Application for the FY 2023 CoC Program Competition on behalf of your CoC.
 - 24 CFR 578.9(b) requires you to obtain approval from your CoC before you submit the Consolidated Application into e-snaps.
 Answering Multi-Part Narrative Questions
 Many questions require you to address multiple elements in a single text box. Number your responses to correspond with multi-element questions using the same numbers in the question. This will help you organize your responses to ensure they are complete and help us to review and score your responses.

 Attachments
 Questions requiring attachments to receive points state, "You Must Upload an Attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen." Only upload documents responsive to the questions posed–including other material slows down the review process, which ultimately slows down the funding process. Include a cover page with the attachment name.
 - Attachments must match the questions they are associated with–if we do not award points for evidence you upload and associate with the wrong question, this is not a valid reason for you to appeal HUD's funding determination.
 - We must be able to read the date and time on attachments requiring system-generated dates and times, (e.g., a screenshot displaying the time and date of the public posting using your desktop calendar; screenshot of a webpage that indicates date and time).

1A. Continuum of Care (CoC) Identification

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you
in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2023 Continuum of Care
Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal
and Replacement Grants;
 - 24 CFR part 578;
  - FY 2023 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
 - Section 3 Resources;
 - PHA Crosswalk; and
  - Frequently Asked Questions

1A-1. CoC Name and Number: MO-606 - Missouri Balance of State CoC

1A-2. Collaborative Applicant Name: Community Partnership of Southeast Missouri

1A-3. CoC Designation: CA

1A-4. HMIS Lead: Institute for Community Alliances

1B. Coordination and Engagement–Inclusive Structure and Participation

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2023 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
  - 24 CFR part 578;
   - FY 2023 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
  - Section 3 Resources;
  - PHA Crosswalk; and
   - Frequently Asked Questions

1B-1.	Inclusive Structure and Participation–Participation in Coordinated Entry.	
	NOFO Sections V.B.1.a.(1), V.B.1.e., V.B.1f., and V.B.1.p.	

	In the chart below for the period from May 1, 2022 to April 30, 2023:
1.	select yes or no in the chart below if the entity listed participates in CoC meetings, voted–including selecting CoC Board members, and participated in your CoC's coordinated entry system; or
2.	select Nonexistent if the organization does not exist in your CoC's geographic area:

	Organization/Person	Participated in CoC Meetings	Voted, Including Electing CoC Board Members	Participated in CoC's Coordinated Entry System
1.	Affordable Housing Developer(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
2.	CDBG/HOME/ESG Entitlement Jurisdiction	Yes	Yes	Yes
3.	Disability Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
4.	Disability Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
5.	EMS/Crisis Response Team(s)	No	No	Yes
6.	Homeless or Formerly Homeless Persons	Yes	Yes	Yes
7.	Hospital(s)	No	No	Yes
8.	Indian Tribes and Tribally Designated Housing Entities (TDHEs) (Tribal Organizations)	Nonexistent	No	No
9.	Law Enforcement	No	No	Yes
10.	Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender (LGBTQ+) Advocates	Yes	Yes	No
11.	LGBTQ+ Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	No
12.	Local Government Staff/Officials	Yes	Yes	Yes
13.	Local Jail(s)	No	No	No
14.	Mental Health Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
15.	Mental Illness Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes

16.	Organizations led by and serving Black, Brown, Indigenous and other People of Color	Yes	Yes	Yes
17.	Organizations led by and serving LGBTQ+ persons	Yes	Yes	Yes
18.	Organizations led by and serving people with disabilities	No	No	No
19.	Other homeless subpopulation advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
20.	Public Housing Authorities	Yes	Yes	Yes
21.	School Administrators/Homeless Liaisons	Yes	Yes	Yes
22.	Street Outreach Team(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
23.	Substance Abuse Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
24.	Substance Abuse Service Organizations	No	No	Yes
25.	Agencies Serving Survivors of Human Trafficking	Yes	Yes	Yes
26.	Victim Service Providers	Yes	Yes	Yes
27.	Domestic Violence Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
28.	Other Victim Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
29.	State Domestic Violence Coalition	Yes	Yes	No
30.	State Sexual Assault Coalition	Yes	Yes	No
31.	Youth Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
32.	Youth Homeless Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
33.	Youth Service Providers	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Other: (limit 50 characters)			
34.	Food Pantry Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
35.	Foster Adopt Connect / Foster adoptive Care Coalition	Yes	Yes	Yes

1B-2.	Open Invitation for New Members.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.a.(2)	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC:
1.	communicated a transparent invitation process annually (e.g., communicated to the public on the CoC's website) to solicit new members to join the CoC;
2.	ensured effective communication and access for persons with disabilities, including the availability of accessible electronic formats; and
3.	invited organizations serving culturally specific communities experiencing homelessness in the geographic area to address equity (e.g., Black, Latino, Indigenous, LGBTQ+, and persons with disabilities).

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The MOBOSCOC demonstrates a transparent invitation process for new member solicitation and recruitment through a variety of ways. NEW MEMBER SOLICITATION WITHIN THE MOBOSCOC IS ONGOING AND OCCURS TEN TIMES A MONTH AT THE 10 REGIONAL BUSINESS MEETINGS HELD THROUGHOUT THE MOBOSCOC, TWICE ANNUALLY DURING THE FULL COC MEMBERSHIP MEETINGS, AND IS COMMUNICATED TO THE PUBLIC VIA OUR WEBSITE.MEMBERSHIP TO THE MO BOS COC IS FREE AND CAN BE COMPLETED THROUGH THE ELECTRONIC FORM ON OUR WEBSITE OR, UPON REQUEST, A PAPER FORM. Several of our 10 Regions host community events and make presentations about the benefits of participating and joining the CoC. Since transitioning to virtual meetings, agencies have been invited and encouraged to send representatives to our online meetings and membership recruitment has blossomed due to this tactic. Invitations to become a member of the MOBoSCoC are sent through our website and listserv email. 2.The MO BoS CoC ensures effective communication with individuals with disabilities in a number of ways. The web-based membership form is available in paper or other formats upon request. The MO BoS CoC publishes information in a variety of formats through PDF, Word and Google Suites. OUR WEBSITE AND EMAIL WORK WITH SCREEN READERS AND COMPLY WITH ACCESSIBILITY STANDARDS INCLUDING COLOR CONTRAST GUIDELINES, CONSISTENT USE OF NAVIGATIONAL MECHANISMS, USE OF FOCUS INDICATORS, AND ALT TEXT FOR IMAGES. VIRTUAL MEETINGS ARE HELD VIA THE GOOGLE MEET. CLOSED-CAPTIONING IS PROVIDED. IF OTHER ACCESSIBILITY OPTIONS ARE NEEDED, SUCH AS READING SERVICES, ASL, LARGE PRINT, OR MEETING TRANSCRIPTS, THEY ARE PROVIDED UPON REQUEST. 3.THE MO BOS COC'S INCLUSION, DIVERSITY, EQUITY AND AWARENESS (IDEA) COMMITTEE HAS SOLICITED NEW ORGANIZATIONS THAT WORK TO ADDRESS INEQUITIES WITHIN OUR COMMUNITIES. We have successfully included representation from several LGBTQ+ organizations with a focus on inequalities affecting youth. THE IDEA COMMITTEE HAS WORKED IN TANDEM WITH THE MOBOSCOC'S COORDINATED ENTRY COMMITTEE TO CREATE A CLIENT FEEDBACK FORM WHICH WILL BE GIVEN TO PLE AS AN EFFORT TO INVITE THEM TO BECOME MEMBERS OF OUR COC.Our Membership Committee has been inviting organizations serving culturally specific communities experiencing homelessness within our geographic area, specifically the BIPOC, LGBTQ+, Youth populations, making sure to include individuals with disabilities within those specific populations as well.

1B-3.	CoC's Strategy to Solicit/Consider Opinions on Preventing and Ending Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.a.(3)	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC:
1.	solicited and considered opinions from a broad array of organizations and individuals that have knowledge of homelessness, or an interest in preventing and ending homelessness;
2.	communicated information during public meetings or other forums your CoC uses to solicit public information;
3.	ensured effective communication and access for persons with disabilities, including the availability of accessible electronic formats; and
4.	took into consideration information gathered in public meetings or forums to address improvements or new approaches to preventing and ending homelessness.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1.THE MO BOS COC SOLICITS FEEDBACK FROM KNOWLEDGEABLE ORGANIZATIONS THROUGH THE COC WEBSITE, EMAIL, FULL COC, OPEN COMMITTEE, BOARD, AND REGIONAL MEETINGS. OUR BOARD HAS A REPRESENTATIVE FROM EACH OF THE 10 REGIONS OF THE MOBOSCOC, and AT LARGE REPRESENTATIVES FOR POPULATIONS, INCLUDING: GOVERNMENT, MENTAL HEALTH, PHAs, YOUTH, VETERANS, LIVED EXPERTISE ETC. No more than half of the Board can be comprised of members who receive CoC funding. The CoC participates in state-wide meetings such as Missouri Interagency Council on Homelessness. CoC members include over 75 agencies, victim service providers, youth providers, PHAs, substance use providers, academics, and others interested in ending homelessness. A contact form is available on the CoC's website. 2. The MOBoSCoC has been attending meetings and forums including the SNAPS Fall Equity Foundational Workshops, the TAC Housing Problem-Solving Workshops, NLIHC's Housing First Series and various NAEH Webinars. THE COC HAS TAKEN INTO CONSIDERATION INFORMATION TO IMPROVE APPROACHES TO PREVENT AND END HOMELESSNESS. THIS INCLUDES THE USE OF SMARTSHEET (SOFTWARE USED SUCCESSFULLY BY A NEIGHBORING COC) AND ARE IN THE PROCESS OF BUILDING A COC-WIDE DIRECTORY OF MEMBER AGENCIES/ORGANIZATIONS, AND SHELTERS THROUGHOUT OUR GEOGRAPHIC AREA. 3. The MO BoS CoC ensures effective communication for those with disabilities in several ways. A web-based contact form and support email is available to contact the Lead Agency. The CoC has a phone number with auto generated prompts to provide information. Finally, our Client Feedback survey will be available online, print (mail) phone via text and call. OUR WEBSITE WORKs WITH SCREEN READERS AND COMPLY WITH ACCESSIBILITY STANDARDS INCLUDING COLOR CONTRAST GUIDELINES, USE OF FOCUS INDICATORS, AND ALT TEXT FOR IMAGES. 4. The MOBoSCoC has been attending meetings and forums including the SNAPS Fall Equity Foundational Workshops, the TAC Housing Problem-Solving Workshops, NLIHC's Housing First Series and various NAEH Webinars. The CoC has taken into consideration information to improve approaches to prevent and end homelessness. This includes the use of Smartsheet (software used successfully by a neighboring CoC) and are in the process of building a CoC-wide directory of member agencies/organizations, and shelters throughout our geographic area.

1B-4.	Public Notification for Proposals from Organizations Not Previously Awarded CoC Program Funding.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.a.(4)	

		Describe in the field below how your CoC notified the public:
	1.	that your CoC will consider project applications from organizations that have not previously received CoC Program funding;
	2.	about how project applicants must submit their project applications–the process;
	3.	about how your CoC would determine which project applications it would submit to HUD for funding; and
	4.	ensured effective communication and access for persons with disabilities, including the availability of accessible electronic formats.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. THE MISSOURI BALANCE OF STATE CONTINUUM OF CARE (MOBOSCOC) NOTIFIED THE PUBLIC ON JULY 24 2023 THAT THE COC WAS ACCEPTING APPLICATIONS FROM ALL ELIGIBLE ORGANIZATIONS INCLUDING THOSE NOT PREVIOUSLY FUNDED. Funding was discussed at public meetings both CoC wide and regional. The CoC works to maintain a stakeholder distribution list to ensure effective communication to agencies that may not be funded or active members of the CoC. Allcommunication was duplicated in many formats including webpage, Email, email archive hosted on website, and Social Media Postings to public pages (Including facebook and instagram). 2. APPLICANT DETAILS WERE PROVIDED THROUGH AN APPLICANT WORKSHOP EVENT AND SUBSEQUENT OPEN OFFICE HOURS. The workshop AND WEBSITE covered all steps involved in the application process and references to use. Participants were able to ask questions as needed. After the workshop completed a digital recording was provided and hosted on the website for Review. A printed FAQ document was made available from all questions asked in the workshop and other questions that were addressed by projects were added to the FAQ during the competition period. 3. THE COC MADE CLEAR THROUGH THE APPLICANT WORKSHOP, PUBLICLY POSTED POLICIES, AND THE DETAILS IN THE SCORECARD DOCUMENTS HOW AGENCIES WOULD BE CONSIDERED ELIGIBLE AND HOW THEY WOULD BE RANKED. Agencies are provided a technical review to confirm eligibility. Submissions are ranked by the Non-Conflicted Rank and Review Panel and provided scores to determine priority and inclusion. 4. ALL COMMUNICATION WAS AVAILABLE IN MULTIPLE DIGITAL FORMATS AND DIRECT CONSULTATION WITH THE LEAD AGENCY ALLOWED FOR DETAILED REVIEW OF DOCUMENTATION AND POLICY. Between recorded video, written text, and multiple text platforms with proven screen reader compatibility (such as email and social media) the CoC was able to provide access to information and clear routes to receive technical assistance or accommodations as needed.

1C. Coordination and Engagement

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2023 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
  - 24 CFR part 578;
    - FY 2023 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
  - Section 3 Resources;
  - PHA Crosswalk; and
   - Frequently Asked Questions

1C-1.	Coordination with Federal, State, Local, Private, and Other Organizations.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.b.	

	In the chart below:	
1.	select yes or no for entities listed that are included in your CoC's coordination, planning, and operations of projects that serve individuals, families, unaccompanied youth, persons who are fleeing domestic violence who are experiencing homelessness, or those at risk of homelessness; or	
2.	select Nonexistent if the organization does not exist within your CoC's geographic area.	

	Entities or Organizations Your CoC Coordinates with for Planning or Operations of Projects	Coordinates with the Planning or Operations of Projects?
1.	Funding Collaboratives	Yes
2.	Head Start Program	Yes
3.	Housing and services programs funded through Local Government	Yes
4.	Housing and services programs funded through other Federal Resources (non-CoC)	Yes
5.	Housing and services programs funded through private entities, including Foundations	Yes
6.	Housing and services programs funded through State Government	Yes
7.	Housing and services programs funded through U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)	Yes
8.	Housing and services programs funded through U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ)	Yes
9.	Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA)	Nonexistent
10.	Indian Tribes and Tribally Designated Housing Entities (TDHEs) (Tribal Organizations)	Nonexistent
11.	Organizations led by and serving Black, Brown, Indigenous and other People of Color	Yes
12.	Organizations led by and serving LGBTQ+ persons	Yes
13.	Organizations led by and serving people with disabilities	Yes
14.	Private Foundations	No
15.	Public Housing Authorities	Yes
16.	Runaway and Homeless Youth (RHY)	Yes
17.	Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF)	Yes
	Other:(limit 50 characters)	

18.		

	1C-2.	CoC Consultation with ESG Program Recipients.	
		NOFO Section V.B.1.b.	

		Describe in the field below how your CoC:
	1.	consulted with ESG Program recipients in planning and allocating ESG Program funds;
	2.	participated in evaluating and reporting performance of ESG Program recipients and subrecipients;
	3.	provided Point-in-Time (PIT) count and Housing Inventory Count (HIC) data to the Consolidated Plan jurisdictions within its geographic area; and
	4.	provided information to Consolidated Plan Jurisdictions to address homelessness within your CoC's geographic area so it could be addressed in the Consolidated Plan update.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. THE COC CONSULTS MHDC (THE STATE RECIPIENT OF ESG AND ESG-CV FUNDS) AND THE MISSOURI INTERAGENCY COUNCIL ON HOMELESSNESS (MICH -PREVIOUSLY KNOWN AS THE GOVERNOR'S COMMITTEE TO END HOMELESSNESS) BY PROVIDING INFORMATION TO THE CONSOLIDATED PLAN. The COC is an active member in MICH. MHDC works with MICH as one of the four agencies that carry out the consolidated Plan. The CoC also provides feedback on agencies that seek ESG funding. ESG funding follows the policies adopted by MICH in consultation with the CoC as well as other CoC's in Missouri. 2.THE COC EVALUATES THE PERFORMANCE OF ESG PROGRAM APPLICANTS ON A SCALE OF 0-5 BASED ON THE APPLICANT'S ACTIVITY WITHIN COORDINATED ENTRY, POINT IN TIME COUNT (PITC), AND COC PLANNING PROCESSES FOR FUNDING ALLOCATION. ESG sub-recipients submit quarterly CAPERS to monitor project performance and receive HMIS compliance reports from the HMIS Lead Agency and/or comparable database providers to help monitor data quality and HMIS participation. The Mo BoS CoC iMPLEMENTED A PERFORMANCE IMPROVEMENT POLICY THAT APPLIES TO ESG FUNDED ORGANIZATIONS. 3.THE COC PROVIDES PIT AND HIC DATA TO CONSOLIDATED PLAN JURISDICTIONS WITHIN ITS GEOGRAPHIC AREA. THE PITC COMMITTEE MET MULTIPLE TIMES TO DISCUSS PITC DATA AND IMPROVEMENTS THAT CAN BE MADE FOR THE NEXT YEAR'S COUNT. Multiple iterations of the data were presented to the Board of Directors for the CoC. The CoC conducted a PITC on January 25th, 2022. Final sheltered PITC data, with geographic breakdown, will be published prior to the end of 2024. 4.THE COC HAS COMMUNICATED WITH CONSOLIDATED PLAN JURISDICTIONS THROUGH THE PROVISION OF CES POLICIES AND DISCHARGE POLICIES. Direct communication and Public publishing make these policies available for Consolidated Plan updates.

	1C-3.	Ensuring Families are not Separated.	
		NOFO Section V.B.1.c.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate how your CoC ensures emergency shelter, transitional housing, and permanent housing (PSH and RRH) do not deny admission or separate family members regardless of each family member's self-reported sexual orientation and gender identity:

1.	Conducted mandatory training for all CoC- and ESG-funded service providers to ensure families are not separated.	Yes
2.	Conducted optional training for all CoC- and ESG-funded service providers to ensure families are not separated.	No
3.	Worked with ESG recipient(s) to adopt uniform anti-discrimination policies for all subrecipients.	Yes
4.	Worked with ESG recipient(s) to identify both CoC- and ESG-funded facilities within your CoC's geographic area that might be out of compliance and took steps to work directly with those facilities to bring them into compliance.	Yes
5.	Sought assistance from HUD by submitting questions or requesting technical assistance to resolve noncompliance by service providers.	Yes

1C-4.	CoC Collaboration Related to Children and Youth–SEAs, LEAs, School Districts.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.d.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate the entities your CoC collaborates with:

1.	Youth Education Provider	Yes
2.	State Education Agency (SEA)	Yes
3.	Local Education Agency (LEA)	Yes
4.	School Districts	Yes

1C-4a.	Formal Partnerships with Youth Education Providers, SEAs, LEAs, School Districts.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.d.	

Describe in the field below the formal partnerships your CoC has with at least one of the entities where you responded yes in question 1C-4.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1.THE COC COLLABORATION WITH YEP: COC MEMBER AGENCIES RUN HEAD START PROGRAMS IN MULTIPLE COUNTIES. MULTIPLE MCKINNEY VENTO LIAISONS (MKVL) ARE COC MEMBERS AND PARTICIPATE IN LOCAL COC MEETINGS AS PER OUR REGIONAL STRUCTURE FOR CES. This year we conducted multiple trainings on Qualified Minor Status, which were attended by several MKVL, including one from the Missouri School for the Deaf. Other youth education providers that CoC member agencies support include local Boy's and Girl's Clubs, Nurses for Newborns, and local schools (through Covid Quarantine support and onsite services). The CoC formal partnerships include multiple MKVL in COC membership and Coc Membership in the Missouri Interagency Council on Homelessness (MICH formerly known as the Governor's Committee to End Homelessness), with MO Department of Elementary and Secondary Education (DESE). Other Formal partnerships include CoC member Agencies that act as, or in support of Youth Education providers as listed above.COLLABORATION WITH SEA/LEA: The CoC has a sitting member on MICH (Missouri Interagency Council on Homelessness). MICH is a collaboration of CoCs from the State of Missouri, as well as other state agencies including DESE. Collaboration includes policymaking, resource sharing, and instructing statewide strategies. DESE has provided training to CoC members regarding educational rights of children who are homeless and allowed the CoC to use the DESE HomelessLiaison list-serv to communicate vital topics related to serving youth and children through the PIT Count. The MO BoS CoC's formal partnership with SEAs is the connection through MICH and DESE. LEA partnerships include MKVL membership in CoC. The CoC collaborates with many school districts throughout the state of Mo. Agencies of the CoC participate with school districts to advocate for homeless students regarding attendance and transportation issues. FORMAL PARTNERSHIPS WITH SCHOOLS: As YHDP projects start, we have been continuing to build relationships with MKVL, including attending local events such as the Fox School District's homelessness support event. MKLV data and information have been contacted and utilized in the development of YHDP plans and programs. YHDP has also encouraged our CoC to reach out to local Head Start affiliates. YHDP projects offering connection to supportive services will be required to train their staff on working with local school districts and Head Starts on enrollment and continued services.

| 1C-4b. | Informing Individuals and Families Experiencing Homelessness about Eligibility for Educational Services. | |
| | NOFO Section V.B.1.d. | |

Describe in the field below written policies and procedures your CoC uses to inform individuals and families who become homeless of their eligibility for educational services.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The adopted Educational Rights policy of the MO BoS CoC states, "MO BOS COC GRANTEES AND SUB-RECIPIENTS MUST PROVIDE INFORMATION FOR ACCESSING APPROPRIATE EDUCATION SERVICES TO HOMELESS FAMILIES WITH CHILDREN AND UNACCOMPANIED YOUTH. This may include, but is not limited to, referral information about enrollment in school, early childhood programs, or other relevant education programs within the community. It is recommended that there be a designated staff member available to those experiencing homelessness to help them understand the implications of this policy and their decision-making rights under it. Ideally, the agency representative should maintain regular contact with local school liaisons and other community education representatives to ensure that their agency can offer immediate and balanced services to homeless families and connect the family to the breadth of resources outside of the agency's services."

	1C-4c.	Written/Formal Agreements or Partnerships with Early Childhood Services Providers.	
		NOFO Section V.B.1.d.	

	Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate whether your CoC has written formal agreements or partnerships with the listed providers of early childhood services:

		MOU/MOA	Other Formal Agreement
1.	Birth to 3 years	No	Yes
2.	Child Care and Development Fund	No	No
3.	Early Childhood Providers	No	No
4.	Early Head Start	No	Yes
5.	Federal Home Visiting Program–(including Maternal, Infant and Early Childhood Home and Visiting or MIECHV)	No	No
6.	Head Start	No	Yes
7.	Healthy Start	No	No
8.	Public Pre-K	No	No
9.	Tribal Home Visiting Program	No	No
	Other (limit 150 characters)		
10.			

	1C-5.	Addressing Needs of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors–Collaboration with Federally Funded Programs and Victim Service Providers.
		NOFO Section V.B.1.e.

	In the chart below select yes or no for the organizations your CoC collaborates with:

	Organizations	
1.	state domestic violence coalitions	Yes
2.	state sexual assault coalitions	Yes
3.	other organizations that help this population	Yes

1C-5a.	Collaboration with Federally Funded Programs and Victim Service Providers to Address Needs of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC regularly collaborates with organizations indicated in Question 1C-5 to:
1.	update CoC-wide policies; and
2.	ensure all housing and services provided in the CoC's geographic area are trauma-informed and can meet the needs of survivors.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC regularly collaborates with organizations that provide housing and services to survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault and more in a variety of ways. CURRENTLY, THERE ARE TWO MEMBERS OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS THAT RUN DV SHELTERS IN TWO DIFFERENT REGIONS OF THE COC. THEIR INPUT ON POLICIES TAKES INTO CONSIDERATION THE SPECIFIC ELEMENTS OF DV SURVIVORS AND HOW CHANGES CAN AFFECT THESE INDIVIDUALS. Additionally, a representative of MCADSV has joined the Grants Committee of the MOBOSCOC. The CoC ensures that each of the 10 regions of the CoC has an elected "Paper-List Holder." These elected organizations work with DV affected individuals and speak at the regional level about any potential issues or changes. Additionally, Missouri Coalition Against Domestic and Sexual Violence (MCADSV) partners with Missouri Secretary of State's office to advertise the Safe At Home program to ensure client addresses are protected. CoC has adopted the identical prioritization list which is kept external to HMIS to ensure client confidentiality and safety. Finally, the CoC has established a standing committee dedicated to Victim Service. Attendance is focused on member Victim Services Agencies and local community stakeholders. 2.The project staff within the MO BoS CoC are expected to provide their own Trauma-Informed Care training as required by policies within the agency, this is expected annually. Additionally, the CoC has provided CoC members in house developed training centered on Care Coordination for clients and Motivational Interviewing, to empower assessors with the tools needed to support client and treat them with a trauma informed prospective. The training was provided through online course and was an expectation to complete following the Full CoC Membership meeting to keep membership in good standing.

1C-5b.	Coordinated Annual Training on Best Practices to Address the Needs of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC coordinates to provide training for:
1.	project staff that addresses best practices (e.g., trauma-informed, victim-centered) on safety and planning protocols in serving survivors of domestic violence and indicate the frequency of the training in your response (e.g., monthly, semi-annually); and
2.	Coordinated Entry staff that addresses best practices (e.g., trauma informed care) on safety and planning protocols in serving survivors of domestic violence and indicate the frequency of the training in your response (e.g., monthly, semi-annually).

(limit 2,500 characters)

THE PROJECT STAFF WITHIN THE MO BOS COC ARE EXPECTED TO PROVIDE THEIR OWN TRAUMA-INFORMED CARE TRAINING AS REQUIRED BY POLICIES WITHIN THE AGENCY, THIS IS EXPECTED ANNUALLY. Additionally, the CoC has provided CoC members in house developed training centered on Care Coordination for clients and Motivational Interviewing, to empower assessors with the tools needed to support client and treat them with a trauma informed prospective. The training was provided through online course and was an expectation to complete following the Full CoC Membership meeting to keep membership in good standing. Ongoing training efforts remain focused on trauma-informed techniques and developing better case management services. CoC provided training are conducted semi-annually 2.THE COC CONTINUES TO MAINTAIN TRAINING ON TRAUMA INFORMED CARE, CARE COORDINATION AND MOTIVATIONAL INTERVIEWING. The CoC has an expectation of agency level upkeep and training on Trauma Informed Care annually. Confidentiality protocols that include any identifying information collected by Coordinated Entry will omit this data and will instead use a unique identifying number , with signed documentation only requiring client initials. To accommodate for changes due to unemployment, increased time in house, etc. Domestic Violence Shelters provide more training and preparation for staff. This included relationship building with survivors of DV, as well as raising awareness of law enforcement of services provided.

1C-5c.	Implemented Safety Planning, Confidentiality Protocols in Your CoC's Coordinated Entry to Address the Needs of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC's coordinated entry includes:
1.	safety planning protocols; and
2.	confidentiality protocols.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Safety, planning and confidentiality protocols are incorporated into our CoC's coordinated entry processes to address the needs of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking survivors. WHEN SURVIVORS ARE IDENTIFIED THROUGH COORDINATED ENTRY, THEY ARE IMMEDIATELY REFERRED TO AGENCIES WITH EXPERTISE IN PROVIDING VICTIM-CENTERED PRACTICES AND TRAUMA-INFORMED SERVICES.This ensures appropriate safety and planning protocols are in place as survivors move through our service system. 2. TO ENSURE CONFIDENTIALITY, WHEN APPLICABLE, SURVIVORS ARE PLACED ON OUR COMMUNITY'S BY-NAME LIST USING A DE-IDENTIFIED CODE AND ARE CASE CONFERENCED FOR HOUSING PLACEMENTS USING THIS CODE TO ENSURE CONFIDENTIALITY IS MAINTAINED. Names and other personal identifying information of survivors are never shared in our community case conferencing meetings. When participants are matched to a housing resource, the assigned housing navigator works with the participant to identify housing options that maximize client choice while ensuring safety and confidentiality. The physical locations of victim services providers are not published in community's resource documents or systems, providing an additional layer of safety and confidentiality.

	1C-5d.	Used De-identified Aggregate Data to Address the Needs of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors.	
		NOFO Section V.B.1.e.	

		Describe in the field below:
	1.	the de-identified aggregate data source(s) your CoC used for data on survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking; and
	2.	how your CoC uses the de-identified aggregate data described in element 1 of this question to evaluate how to best meet the specialized needs related to domestic violence and homelessness.

(limit 2,500 characters)

THE COC PRIMARY USE OF DE-IDENTIFIED AGGREGATE DATA FROM COMPARABLE DATABASES INVOLVES REPORTS FOR THE POINT IN TIME COUNT (PITC) AND HOUSING INVENTORY COUNT(HIC), AS WELL AS SPM NUMBERS FROM PERFORMANCE REPORTS. Our detailed review of the 2022 PIT data showed 222 survivors of domestic and sexual violence, with 208 in shelters during that 24-hour period. There were 216 in 2021, most likely lower due to reduced capacity for COVID-19. In 2020, there were 300 with 288 sheltered. For comparison of 2019, there were 357 survivors with 344 sheltered. By this data, MO BoS CoC can state, with a four-year average, that any given day, there are approximately 264 survivors living homeless in domestic and sexual violence shelters in our CoC. The CoC will be incorporating DV program CAPER exports into the quarterly Data Review, completed by the Performance Committee, in the year 2024. This will assess trends throughout the year. The CoC has identified that most shelter stays within the CoC are due to DV shelter utilization. This has informed the CoC actions to ensure that Coordinated Entry (CE) assessments have specific safety questions and will allow clients that are fleeing DV to be referred to designated DV providers if requested, and ensure de-identification for case conferencing in CE. NON-HMIS PRIORITIZATION LISTS CAN BE AGGREGATED AND COMPARED TO CAPER EXPORTS FROM COMPARABLE DATABASES TO TRACK DV CLIENTS TRENDS THROUGH THE COC HOUSING RESOURCES. The Performance Committee is continuing to evaluate raw data and set DV strategies in place in 2024.

	1C-5e.	Implemented Emergency Transfer Plan Policies and Procedures for Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors.	
		NOFO Section V.B.1.e.	

		Describe in the field below how your CoC communicates to all individuals and families seeking or receiving CoC Program assistance:
	1.	whether your CoC has policies and procedures that include an emergency transfer plan;
	2.	the process for individuals and families to request an emergency transfer; and
	3.	the process your CoC uses to respond to individuals' and families' emergency transfer requests.

(limit 2,500 characters)

IN 2018, OUR COC MODIFIED AND ADOPTED THE HUD-RECOMMENDED DOMESTIC VIOLENCE EMERGENCY TRANSFER PLAN THAT IS USED BY ALL OF OUR HUD COC, STATE AND ESG-FUNDED SERVICE PROVIDERS. All households seeking or receiving services through our CoC housing projects that are funded with CoC Program, State and Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG) resources are provided a copy of and informed of the transfer plan. This process is followed for all households seeking or receiving services through these projects, regardless of known survivor status. This CoC policy allows for clients who may experience violence or stalking to request an emergency transfer from the client's current housing and/or service location to another safer location. The ability to request an emergency transfer is available to all participants. 2. TO REQUEST AN EMERGENCY TRANSFER, THE PROJECT PARTICIPANT SHALL NOTIFY PROJECT STAFF AND SUBMIT A WRITTEN REQUEST FOR A TRANSFER TO THE EMERGENCY TRANSFER DESIGNEE AT THE AGENCY IN WHICH SERVICES ARE BEING SOUGHT OR RECEIVED. PROJECTS PROVIDE REASONABLE ACCOMMODATIONS TO THIS POLICY FOR INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES. The tenant's written request for an emergency transfer includes either: A statement expressing that the tenant reasonably believes that there is a threat of imminent harm from further violence if the tenant were to remain in the same dwelling unit assisted under the program; OR A statement that the tenant was a sexual assault victim and that the sexual assault occurred on the premises during the 90-calendar-day period preceding the tenant's request for an emergency transfer. 3. THE ABILITY OF AGENCIES TO HONOR SUCH REQUESTS DEPENDS ON A PRELIMINARY DETERMINATION THAT THE PARTICIPANT IS OR HAS BEEN A VICTIM OF DOMESTIC VIOLENCE, DATING VIOLENCE, SEXUAL ASSAULT, OR STALKING AND ON WHETHER THE AGENCY HAS ANOTHER AVAILABLE UNIT THAT IS SAFE TO OFFER THE PARTICIPANT FOR OCCUPANCY. This ensures that the CoC continually maximizes client choice for housing and services while ensuring safety and confidentiality.

1C-5f.	Access to Housing for Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC:
1.	ensures that survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking have safe access to all of the housing and services available within the CoC's geographic area; and
2.	proactively identifies systemic barriers within your homeless response system that create barriers to safely house and provide services to survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking.

(limit 2,500 characters)

SURVIVORS OF DOMESTIC VIOLENCE, DATING VIOLENCE, SEXUAL ASSAULT, AND STALKING HAVE ACCESS TO ALL OF THE HOUSING AND SERVICES AVAILABLE WITHIN THE COC GEOGRAPHIC AREA THROUGH OUR COMMUNITY'S INTEGRATED COORDINATED ENTRY SYSTEM (CES). Our community's victim service providers all use our CoC's common assessment tool, and individuals in these programs are matched to housing resources through our case conferencing process in alignment with our prioritization policies. Through our CES, domestic violence participants are placed on the appropriate by-name list using a de-identified code and are case conferenced for housing placements using this code to ensure confidentiality. When participants are matched to a housing resource, the housing program partner works with the participant to identify housing options that maximize client choice while ensuring safety and confidentiality. Resources matched through this process include all of our community rapid rehousing and permanent supportive housing services. Individuals in our community DV programs are also referred through our coordinated entry process to Emergency Housing Voucher services and to the Housing Choice and Mainstream Voucher programs at local Public Housing Authority's (PHA's) using the homeless preference we have implemented with our PHA partner member organizations. Our integrated, coordinated entry system ensures that participants in our community DV programs have access to the full inventory of housing and services available in our CoC, not just to the resources provided through victim service organizations. 2. Earlier in 2023 for the YHDP preparation of the Consolidated Community Plan, the MOBOSCOC held listening sessions for the community to speak into the needs for youth. A specific session was held that centered on youth fleeing violence. Comments, information and data was collected from this session, and it was extended to the Victim's Service Committee of the CoC to provide additional input. THE VICTIMS SERVICES COMMITTEE OF THE MOBOSCOC IS CURRENTLY REVIEWING THE CES TO ENSURE THAT ALL TOOLS AND POLICIES ARE APPLICABLE TO DV AND NON-HMIS USERS. The committee is providing edits and feedback, updated policies which are then shared with the CES Committee and finally presented to the Board of Directors for review, approval and implementation.

	1C-5g.	Ensuring Survivors With a Range of Lived Expertise Participate in Developing CoC-Wide Policy and Programs.	
		NOFO Section V.B.1.e.	

		Describe in the field below how your CoC:
	1.	ensured survivors with a range of lived expertise are involved in the development of your CoC-wide policy and programs; and
	2.	accounted for the unique and complex needs of survivors.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. Earlier in 2023 for the YHDP preparation of the Consolidated Community Plan, the MOBOSCOC held listening sessions for the community to speak into the needs for youth. A specific session was held that centered on youth fleeing violence. Comments, information and data was collected from this session, and it was extended to the Victim's Service Committee of the CoC to provide additional input. THE VICTIMS SERVICES COMMITTEE OF THE MOBOSCOC IS CURRENTLY REVIEWING THE CES TO ENSURE THAT ALL TOOLS AND POLICIES ARE APPLICABLE TO DV AND NON-HMIS USERS. The committee is providing edits and feedback, updated policies which are then shared with the CES Committee and finally presented to the Board of Directors for review, approval and implementation. 2. The CoC regularly collaborates with organizations that provide housing and services to survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault and more in a variety of ways. CURRENTLY, THERE ARE TWO MEMBERS OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS THAT RUN DV SHELTERS IN TWO DIFFERENT REGIONS OF THE COC. THEIR INPUT ON POLICIES TAKES INTO CONSIDERATION THE SPECIFIC ELEMENTS OF DV SURVIVORS AND HOW CHANGES CAN AFFECT THESE INDIVIDUALS. Additionally, a representative of MCADSV has joined the Grants Committee of the MOBOSCOC. The CoC ensures that each of the 10 regions of the CoC has an elected "Paper-List Holder." These elected organizations work with DV-affected individuals and speak at the regional level about any potential issues or changes. Additionally, Missouri Coalition Against Domestic and Sexual Violence (MCADSV) partners with Missouri Secretary of State's office to advertise the Safe At Home program to ensure client addresses are protected.CoC has adopted a parallel, de-identified prioritization list which is kept external to HMIS to ensure client confidentiality and safety. Finally, the CoC has established a standing committee dedicated to Victim Service. Attendance is focused on member Victim Services Agencies and local community stakeholders.

| 1C-6. | Addressing the Needs of Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender and Queer+--Anti-Discrimination Policy and Training. | |
| | NOFO Section V.B.1.f. | |

	1.	Did your CoC implement a written CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy ensuring that LGBTQ+ individuals and families receive supportive services, shelter, and housing free from discrimination?	Yes
	2.	Did your CoC conduct annual CoC-wide training with providers on how to effectively implement the Equal Access to Housing in HUD Programs Regardless of Sexual Orientation or Gender Identity (Equal Access Final Rule)?	Yes
	3.	Did your CoC conduct annual CoC-wide training with providers on how to effectively implement Equal Access in Accordance With an Individual's Gender Identity in Community Planning and Development Programs (Gender Identity Final Rule)?	Yes

| | 1C-6a. | Anti-Discrimination Policy--Updating Policies--Assisting Providers--Evaluating Compliance--Addressing Noncompliance. | |
| | | NOFO Section V.B.1.f. | |

| | | Describe in the field below: | |
| | 1. | how your CoC regularly collaborates with LGBTQ+ and other organizations to update its CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy, as necessary to ensure all housing and services provided in the CoC are trauma-informed and able to meet the needs of LGBTQ+ individuals and families; | |

	2.	how your CoC assisted housing and services providers in developing project-level anti-discrimination policies that are consistent with the CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy;
	3.	your CoC's process for evaluating compliance with your CoC's anti-discrimination policies; and
	4.	your CoC's process for addressing noncompliance with your CoC's anti-discrimination policies.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. THE COC WIDE ANTI-DISCRIMINATION POLICY IS PRIMARILY DEVELOPED BY BOARD LEADERSHIP WHICH CONSISTENTLY STRIVES TO BE INCLUSIVE OF STAKEHOLDER REPRESENTATION. Regular Feedback and consideration from sources such as our annual Gaps analysis and IDEA (Inclusion, Diversity, Equity & Awareness) committee reviews allow the CoC to consistently assess the Anti-Discrimination policy. IDEA committee has included BIPOC, LGBTQ+, and other community stakeholder representation. 2. THE COC HAS ESTABLISHED THAT ALL MEMBERS ARE EXPECTED TO OPERATE IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE COC ANTI-DISCRIMINATION POLICY. OUR POLICY IS PUBLISHED ON OUR WEBSITE AND AVAILABLE TO ALL MEMBERS FOR REVIEW. Priority for compliant Anti-discrimination policies was a scoring factor for this year's internal competition. 3. PROJECTS SEEKING COC FUNDS WERE REQUIRED TO SUBMIT A COPY OF THEIR AGENCY'S ANTI-DISCRIMINATION POLICIES. Policies were reviewed by the Rank and Review Panel and evaluated for consistency with the CoC policy. Projects whose policy was not robust or as inclusive did not receive full points. 4. THE PRIMARY METHOD FOR ADDRESSING NONCOMPLIANCE WITH THE COC'S ANTI-DISCRIMINATION POLICY IS THROUGH REDUCTION OF POINTS IN COC FUNDING.

1C-7.	Public Housing Agencies within Your CoC's Geographic Area–New Admissions–General/Limited Preference–Moving On Strategy.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.g.	

You must upload the PHA Homeless Preference\PHA Moving On Preference attachment(s) to the 4B. Attachments Screen.
Enter information in the chart below for the two largest PHAs highlighted in gray on the current CoC-PHA Crosswalk Report or the two PHAs your CoC has a working relationship with–if there is only one PHA in your CoC's geographic area, provide information on the one:

Public Housing Agency Name	Enter the Percent of New Admissions into Public Housing and Housing Choice Voucher Program During FY 2022 who were experiencing homelessness at entry	Does the PHA have a General or Limited Homeless Preference?	Does the PHA have a Preference for current PSH program participants no longer needing intensive supportive services, e.g., Moving On?
Housing Authority of the City of Columbia, MO	87%	No	Yes
St. Francois County Public Housing Agency		Yes-HCV	No

1C-7a.	Written Policies on Homeless Admission Preferences with PHAs.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.g.	

Describe in the field below:

	1.	steps your CoC has taken, with the two largest PHAs within your CoC's geographic area or the two PHAs your CoC has working relationships with, to adopt a homeless admission preference—if your CoC only has one PHA within its geographic area, you may respond for the one; or
	2.	state that your CoC has not worked with the PHAs in its geographic area to adopt a homeless admission preference.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1.THE COC HAS ESTABLISHED A WORKGROUP UNDER THE REVIEW OF THE PERFORMANCE COMMITTEE FOCUSED ON DEVELOPING AND UPDATING THE CURRENT COC POLICY FOR "MOVING ON." THIS WORKGROUP INCLUDES REPRESENTATION FROM PSH PROJECTS AND PHAs. The work group is a continued effort to establish an ideal flow from PSH projects to appropriate PHA resources as clients are either no longer in need of intensive support or have community resources available to meet their needs. Both of these PHAs have been active in the CoC meetings and will be requested to provide feedback and review of established policy. PHA collaboration with the workgroup should help create guidelines for PHA admission plans, eligibility thresholds training for CoC staff, and best practices to effectively move clients to PHA support.The Workgroup has completed its first draft of a Coc wide policy to handle "Moving-on" practices. One goal of the CoC workgroup is to establish an ideal model for PHA partnership, including a clear preference for homeless individuals, and implement that model with as many PHAs as possible within our coverage area. Other efforts include improving data collection from PHAs. At this time PHA admissions are not confirming the homelessness status of new admissions. Data collection improvements should allow for better assessment of needs for PHA and CoC collaboration. More recently the CoC has expanded relationships with 7 PHAs through the Emergency Housing Voucher (EHV) program, many of which have continued with the Stability Voucher Program. This includes both of the listed PHAs. Collaboration has included participation in Coordinated Entry and in some cases CoC membership. This should increase the opportunity to create homeless admission preferences within PHA admission plans.

1C-7b.	Moving On Strategy with Affordable Housing Providers.	
	Not Scored—For Information Only	

	Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate affordable housing providers in your CoC's jurisdiction that your recipients use to move program participants to other subsidized housing:	

	1.	Multifamily assisted housing owners	No
	2.	PHA	Yes
	3.	Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) developments	Yes
	4.	Local low-income housing programs	Yes
		Other (limit 150 characters)	
	5.		

1C-7c.	Include Units from PHA Administered Programs in Your CoC's Coordinated Entry.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.g.	

	In the chart below, indicate if your CoC includes units from the following PHA programs in your CoC's coordinated entry process:	

1.	Emergency Housing Vouchers (EHV)	Yes
2.	Family Unification Program (FUP)	No
3.	Housing Choice Voucher (HCV)	No
4.	HUD-Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing (HUD-VASH)	Yes
5.	Mainstream Vouchers	No
6.	Non-Elderly Disabled (NED) Vouchers	No
7.	Public Housing	No
8.	Other Units from PHAs:	
	Stability Vouchers	Yes

1C-7d.	Submitting CoC and PHA Joint Applications for Funding for People Experiencing Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.g.	

1.	Did your CoC coordinate with a PHA(s) to submit a competitive joint application(s) for funding or jointly implement a competitive project serving individuals or families experiencing homelessness (e.g., applications for mainstream vouchers, Family Unification Program (FUP), other programs)?	No
		Program Funding Source
2.	Enter the type of competitive project your CoC coordinated with a PHA(s) to submit a joint application for or jointly implement.	

1C-7e.	Coordinating with PHA(s) to Apply for or Implement HCV Dedicated to Homelessness Including Emergency Housing Voucher (EHV).	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.g.	

	Did your CoC coordinate with any PHA to apply for or implement funding provided for Housing Choice Vouchers dedicated to homelessness, including vouchers provided through the American Rescue Plan?	Yes

1C-7e.1.	List of PHAs with Active MOUs to Administer the Emergency Housing Voucher (EHV) Program.	
	Not Scored–For Information Only	

	Does your CoC have an active Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with any PHA to administer the EHV Program?	Yes
	If you select yes to question 1C-7e.1., you must use the list feature below to enter the name of every PHA your CoC has an active MOU with to administer the Emergency Housing Voucher Program.	

PHA
Dallas County PHA
Columbia Housing ...
Housing Authority...
Franklin County PHA
Housing Authority...
St. Francois Coun...
Housing Authority...

1C-7e.1. List of PHAs with MOUs

Name of PHA: Dallas County PHA

1C-7e.1. List of PHAs with MOUs

Name of PHA: Columbia Housing Authority

1C-7e.1. List of PHAs with MOUs

Name of PHA: Housing Authority of the City of Fulton

1C-7e.1. List of PHAs with MOUs

Name of PHA: Franklin County PHA

1C-7e.1. List of PHAs with MOUs

Name of PHA: Housing Authority of the City of Kirksville

1C-7e.1. List of PHAs with MOUs

Name of PHA: St. Francois County PHA

1C-7e.1. List of PHAs with MOUs

Name of PHA: Housing Authority of Kansas City

1D. Coordination and Engagement Cont'd

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2023 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
  - 24 CFR part 578;
  - FY 2023 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
  - Section 3 Resources;
  - PHA Crosswalk; and
  - Frequently Asked Questions

1D-1.	Discharge Planning Coordination.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.h.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate whether your CoC actively coordinates with the systems of care listed to ensure persons who have resided in them longer than 90 days are not discharged directly to the streets, emergency shelters, or other homeless assistance programs.

1. Foster Care	Yes
2. Health Care	No
3. Mental Health Care	Yes
4. Correctional Facilities	No

1D-2.	Housing First–Lowering Barriers to Entry.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.i.	

1.	Enter the total number of new and renewal CoC Program-funded PSH, RRH, SSO non-coordinated entry, Safe Haven, and Transitional Housing projects your CoC is applying for in FY 2023 CoC Program Competition.	32
2.	Enter the total number of new and renewal CoC Program-funded PSH, RRH, SSO non-coordinated entry, Safe Haven, and Transitional Housing projects your CoC is applying for in FY 2023 CoC Program Competition that have adopted the Housing First approach.	32
3.	This number is a calculation of the percentage of new and renewal PSH, RRH, SSO non-Coordinated Entry, Safe Haven, and Transitional Housing projects the CoC has ranked in its CoC Priority Listing in the FY 2023 CoC Program Competition that reported that they are lowering barriers to entry and prioritizing rapid placement and stabilization to permanent housing.	100%

1D-2a.	Project Evaluation for Housing First Compliance.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.i.	

You must upload the Housing First Evaluation attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.

	Describe in the field below:	
1.	how your CoC evaluates every project—where the applicant checks Housing First on their project application—to determine if they are using a Housing First approach;	
2.	the list of factors and performance indicators your CoC uses during its evaluation; and	
3.	how your CoC regularly evaluates projects outside of your local CoC competition to ensure the projects are using a Housing First approach.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. THE COC UTILIZES THE HUD PROVIDED HOUSING FIRST TOOL TO EVALUATE HOW WELL AN AGENCY COMMITS TO AND FOLLOWS A HOUSING FIRST MODEL. CoC funding incentivizes Housing First by scoring agencies for how well they demonstrate Housing First principles. 2. THE COC HAS ALSO PROVIDED ALL AGENCIES WITH A COMPREHENSIVE TRAINING ON HOUSING FIRST AND HOW OUR COC INCORPORATES HOUSING FIRST PRINCIPLES INTO OUR CE PROCESS. The training was considered a mandatory training for all CoC members. Our CE system incorporates Housing First so clients can receive rapid placement and stabilization. CoC policy indicates that all individuals that present to the CoC CE system should be served under a Housing First approach. 3. Housing First is a guiding principle in the MOBSOCOC Written Standards for Homelessness Assistance Programs. PER THE MOBOSCOC POLICY FOR PERFORMANCE IMPROVEMENT, PROJECTS ARE EVALUATED FOR PERFORMANCE BASED ON MOBOSCOC STANDARDS ANNUALLY, WITHIN THE FISCAL YEAR.

1D-3.	Street Outreach—Scope.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.j.	

	Describe in the field below:	
1.	your CoC's street outreach efforts, including the methods it uses to ensure all persons experiencing unsheltered homelessness are identified and engaged;	
2.	whether your CoC's Street Outreach covers 100 percent of the CoC's geographic area;	
3.	how often your CoC conducts street outreach; and	
4.	how your CoC tailored its street outreach to persons experiencing homelessness who are least likely to request assistance.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. THE COC CONDUCTS AN ANNUAL, COMPREHENSIVE OUTREACH EVENT, THE POINT-IN-TIME COUNT (PITC) AS PART OF ITS STREET OUTREACH (SO) EFFORTS. The PITC uses local leaders to conduct outreach, and these leaders work with volunteers, law enforcement, community action agencies, community health centers, libraries, schools, and other community partners to identify and engage all unsheltered homeless individuals and families in the CoC. Additionally, the PATH program and ESG program provide SO resources to the CoC in regions 1, 4, 5, and 10. The CoC coordinates several Project Homeless Connect (PHC) events that are targeted to the most vulnerable persons in the CoC and connect people with services, including healthcare, dental care, mental health/substance use services, mainstream benefits, and access to the CoC's coordinated entry system. 2. THE COC CONDUCTS SO THROUGH 100% OF THE COC'S GEOGRAPHIC AREA THROUGH THE PITC, PATH FUNDING, SSVF, STATE HOMELESS ASSISTANCE FUNDING, ESG, AND COMMUNITY ACTION AGENCIES. 3. AT A MINIMUM, THE FULL COC HAS A COMPREHENSIVE SINGLE-DAY OUTREACH EVENT ANNUALLY THROUGH THE PITC. Columbia/Boone County conducts SO weekly which includes a coordinated SO team of ESG and PATH funded programs, mental health providers, VA, and local law enforcement. Organizations that receive SO funding conduct SO regularly. The CoC conducts outreach activities prior to PHC events which occur multiple times per year. 4. LOCAL LEADERS AND PROVIDERS HAVE KNOWLEDGE OF LOCATIONS OF WHERE PEOPLE ARE EXPERIENCING HOMELESSNESS, AND KNOWN LOCATIONS OF HOMELESSNESS ARE DISCUSSED DURING REGIONAL MEETINGS. The CoC recommends PITC leaders work with persons with lived experience of homelessness during PITC outreach and planning. The PITC starts with known locations of unsheltered homelessness and expands to a full census after known locations have been exhausted.

1D-4.	Strategies to Prevent Criminalization of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.k.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate strategies your CoC implemented to ensure homelessness is not criminalized and to reverse existing criminalization policies in your CoC's geographic area:

	Your CoC's Strategies	Ensure Homelessness is not Criminalized	Reverse Existing Criminalization Policies
1.	Engaged/educated local policymakers	Yes	Yes
2.	Engaged/educated law enforcement	Yes	Yes
3.	Engaged/educated local business leaders	Yes	Yes
4.	Implemented community wide plans	Yes	Yes
5.	Other:(limit 500 characters)		
	active participation in NAEH workgroups to share knowledge and coordinate strategies against the criminalization of homelessness	Yes	Yes

1D-5.	Rapid Rehousing–RRH Beds as Reported in the Housing Inventory Count (HIC) or Longitudinal Data from HMIS.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.l.	

		HIC Longitudinal HMIS Data	2022	2023
	Enter the total number of RRH beds available to serve all populations as reported in the HIC or the number of households served per longitudinal HMIS data, e.g., APR.	HIC	316	352

1D-6.	Mainstream Benefits–CoC Annual Training of Project Staff.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.m.	

	Indicate in the chart below whether your CoC trains program staff annually on the following mainstream benefits available for program participants within your CoC's geographic area:	

	Mainstream Benefits	CoC Provides Annual Training?
1.	Food Stamps	Yes
2.	SSI–Supplemental Security Income	Yes
3.	SSDI–Social Security Disability Insurance	Yes
4.	TANF–Temporary Assistance for Needy Families	Yes
5.	Substance Use Disorder Programs	Yes
6.	Employment Assistance Programs	Yes
7.	Other (limit 150 characters)	
	Partnering with SOAR providers	Yes

1D-6a.	Information and Training on Mainstream Benefits and Other Assistance.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.m	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC:	
1.	systemically provides up-to-date information on mainstream resources available for program participants (e.g., Food Stamps, SSI, SSDI, TANF, substance abuse programs) within your CoC's geographic area;	
2.	works with project staff to collaborate with healthcare organizations, including substance abuse treatment and mental health treatment, to assist program participants with receiving healthcare services; and	
3.	works with projects to promote SSI/SSDI Outreach, Access, and Recovery (SOAR) certification of program staff.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. THE MO BOS COC ADDED A TRAINING THAT WAS REQUIRED BY ALL COC MEMBERS ON MAINSTREAM BENEFITS. It included local resources for Food Stamps, SSI/SSDI, TANF, and other resources. MO BoS CoC's host agency CPSEMO has trained staff in SOAR and encourage other CoC member agencies to have Soar trained staff. 2. THE COC DISSEMINATES MAINSTREAM RESOURCES THROUGH EMAILS, MEETINGS OF THE FULL COC MEMBERSHIP, AND REGIONAL MEETINGS. Resources are disseminated as requested by mainstream agencies or as needs are identified by CoC leaders. Mainstream resources are shared at Regional meetings. During the Regional meetings. During the Regional meetings,agencies discuss needs within their agency with others. Communications are sent out by the Collaborative Applicant as new information is released, EXAMPLE: the CoC may assist with utilization of Medicaid or other benefits by providing technical assistance through the Collaborative Applicant, peer organizations, or CoC committees upon the request of project staff or through provision of training based on needs identified by the CoC. ACCESS TO MEDICAID AND OTHER BENEFITS IS DISCUSSED DURING CASE CONFERENCING AND IS ASSESSED DURING COORDINATED ENTRY INTAKE. Organizations help participants access Medicaid and other benefits as part of their regular case management activities.3. The Collaborative Applicant discusses collaboration with healthcare and other organizations during site visits with CoC funded projects. COLLABORATIVE EFFORTS WITH HEALTHCARE ORGANIZATIONS MAY BE SHARED DURING REGIONAL MEETINGS, COMMITTEE MEETINGS, OR MEETINGS OF THE FULL CoC MEMBERS IF RELEVANT.

1D-7.	Increasing Capacity for Non-Congregate Sheltering.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.n.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC is increasing its capacity to provide non-congregate sheltering.

(limit 2,500 characters)

THE COC HAS WORKED TOWARD PROVIDING AN INCREASE OF NON-CONGREGATE SHELTERS THROUGH THE PROVISIONING OF HOTEL STAYS THROUGH MULTIPLE SOURCES OF FUNDING, INCLUDING ESG, ESG-CV, PRIVATE SOURCES, and FEMA resources that were made available through the COVID 19 Pandemic. As many covid related funds were shut down, the CoC continued to work with available resources to provide Hotel stays for clients, especially those who tested positive for covid. The CoC worked to communicate among all agencies eligible sources of funding that could be used to provide hotel stays to insure individuals had emergency shelters outside of congregate settings. Efforts were made to ensure that all facilities were able to decompress and establish non-congregate spaces as available. Regional groups within the CoC discussed available funding from federal and private sources to ensure it was used to provide Non-Congregate shelters for individuals as possible.

ID-8.	Partnerships with Public Health Agencies--Collaborating to Respond to and Prevent Spread of Infectious Diseases.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.o.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC effectively collaborates with state and local public health agencies to:
1.	develop CoC-wide policies and procedures to respond to infectious disease outbreaks; and
2.	prevent infectious disease outbreaks among people experiencing homelessness.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. THE COC HAS WORKED WITH VARIOUS LOCAL HEALTH DEPARTMENTS THROUGHOUT THE COC, AS WELL AS HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS AND HOSPITAL SYSTEMS, SINCE THE BEGINNING OF THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC TO RESPOND TO INFECTIOUS DISEASE OUTBREAKS AND TO PREVENT INFECTIOUS DISEASE OUTBREAKS AMONG PEOPLE EXPERIENCING HOMELESSNESS. Local health officials have provided the CoC timely and important information about the virus and how it may affect clients and services. The CoC partners have received valuable information about decreasing the spread of the virus in individuals and families experiencing homelessness and specific information about how the virus spreads within the community. CoC partners have hosted outreach events with local officials, providing masks, hand sanitizer, and information about highly infectious diseases, how to stay healthy, limit the spread. CoC providers installed hand washing stations throughout their facilities and instituted masking and social distancing policies to keep staff and clients safe. In addition to these outreach events and educational activities. Best practices were adopted in 2021 as the CoC policy for addressing COVID-19. Ongoing strategies and best practices for future events will be reviewed by a comprehensive Gaps Analysis focusing on the Crisis Response of the CoC. 2. THE COC COORDINATED WITH STATE AGENCIES TO DECREASE THE SPREAD OF INFECTIOUS DISEASES. MEMBERS OF THE COC ARE TO ADHERE TO THE GUIDELINES LAID DOWN BY THE CDC (CENTER FOR DISEASE CONTROL). This can include social distancing, providing access to PPE (personal protection equipment), supplies for sanitization, limiting visitors to facilities, and avoiding face to face meetings, via phone calls or virtual platforms. The CoC moved all regional and committee meetings to virtual platforms to limit the potential risk of spreading any viruses and increase access throughout the 101 counties of the CoC. THE COC IS DEVELOPING A COMPREHENSIVE GAPS ANALYSIS OF ALL COVID RESPONSES AND OUTCOMES TO ESTABLISH AND MAINTAIN BEST PRACTICES. The CoC has continued to be aware of the health impacts that are possible for those involved with homelessness services.

ID-8a.	Collaboration With Public Health Agencies on Infectious Diseases.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.o.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC:
1.	shared information related to public health measures and homelessness, and
2.	facilitated communication between public health agencies and homeless service providers to ensure street outreach providers and shelter and housing providers are equipped to prevent or limit infectious disease outbreaks among program participants.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. INFORMATION PERTAINING TO PUBLIC HEALTH MEASURES ARE POSTED TO THE MOBOSCOC WEBSITE AND ARE AVAILABLE AT ANY TIME. Information regarding personal protective equipment, vaccine, and general disease information has been shared and will continue to be shared to prevent and limit the infectious disease outbreaks among program participants. 2. THE COC ALSO SERVES AS AN INFORMATION CLEARINGHOUSE BETWEEN THE LOCAL HEALTH DEPARTMENTS, HEALTHCARE ORGANIZATIONS, AND OTHERS TO SHARE INFORMATION WITH HOMELESS SERVICE PROVIDERS IN A TIMELY MANNER. For changing local restrictions, the BOS had regular check-ins with local regional leadership, via virtual meeting platform. If any agencies were struggling with technical assistance or support, they were encouraged to reach out to the regional leadership, who in turn would connect with the BOS. Information updates were sent out via email as needed.

1D-9.	Centralized or Coordinated Entry System–Assessment Process.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.p.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC's coordinated entry system:
1.	covers 100 percent of your CoC's geographic area;
2.	uses a standardized assessment process; and
3.	is updated regularly using feedback received from participating projects and households that participated in coordinated entry.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1.MO BOS COC'S COORDINATED ENTRY SYSTEM COVERS 100% OF THE GEOGRAPHIC AREA. The CoC ensures this coverage by engaging partners and stakeholders in all areas of the CoC. These partners and stakeholders in the outlying areas of the CoC are informed of the Coordinated Entry process and how individuals can be connected to Coordinated Entry services. Coordinated Entry services are accessible to all individuals in the CoC coverage area through street outreach and through Coordinated Entry hubs located in outlying areas. The CoC is divided into 10 geographical regions. These are defined by local needs and availability of services. Each Region operates its own coordinated entry case conferencing and ensures all clients within each region are provided access to the Coordinated Entry System and can be provided housing in the desired counties they are seeking services within. 2.The VI-SPDAT considers factors such as history of homelessness and housing, emergency service use, risk of harm, legal issues, socialization and daily functioning, physical health, substance use, mental health, and abuse and trauma to assign an objective vulnerability score. Scores are used to prioritize the most vulnerable households for housing services through community case conferencing.The CoC requires Coordinated Entry Accessors to renew coordinated entry training annually. THE COC USES THE SAME COORDINATED ENTRY INTAKE TOOL AND THE VI-SPDAT 3.0 FOR ALL ORGANIZATIONS THAT ADMINISTER COORDINATED ENTRY INTAKE ASSESSMENTS. 3. The Coordinated Entry Committee of the MOBOSCOC reviews the Coordinated Entry process and system annually for improvement in accordance with the Governance Charter. Per the Coordinated Entry Written Standards, the Board of Directors will solicit recommendations and feedback from the MOBOSCOC Coordinated Entry CE committee and the continuum at large. On September 22, 2022, the board of the MOBOSCOC approved an updated version of the Coordinated Entry Written Standards for all organizations that participate in the Coordinated Entry system. Additionally, newly installed committees, such as Policy & Planning Committee, the HMIS Committee and the reinstated Victim Services Committee are working to improve specific policies and documentation within the current CES for improvement. The CES committee has put together a workgroup to develop a new tool for standardized assessment, which will conduct a thorough evaluation process to ensure new tools are effective in our CoC.

| | 1D-9a. | Program Participant-Centered Approach to Centralized or Coordinated Entry. | |
| | | NOFO Section V.B.1.p. | |

		Describe in the field below how your CoC's coordinated entry system:	
	1.	reaches people who are least likely to apply for homeless assistance in the absence of special outreach;	
	2.	prioritizes people most in need of assistance;	
	3.	ensures people most in need of assistance receive permanent housing in a timely manner, consistent with their preferences; and	
	4.	takes steps to reduce burdens on people using coordinated entry.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. THE COC CES HAS REACHED PEOPLE WHO ARE LEAST LIKELY TO APPLY FOR HOMELESS ASSISTANCE BY ENGAGING CLIENTS AT PROJECT HOMELESS CONNECT(PHC) EVENTS, AND DEVELOPING AN ACCESS POINT TRAINING THAT CAN BE IMPLEMENTED AT NON-HOUSING PROVIDER AGENCIES. Multiple food assistance and counseling centers serve as CES access points. PHC events draw large crowds of Individuals who seek a variety of services. The CoC has also developed a CES call center to do virtual assessments where clients may not be able to travel for CES access. 2. OUR CES UTILIZES A TIERED PRIORITIZATION METHOD. THE ORDER OF PRIORITY IS AS FOLLOWS, CHRONICITY, SEVERITY OF NEEDS (VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT), LENGTH OF TIME HOMELESS, DISABILITY, CURRENTLY LIVING IN A PLACE NOT MEANT FOR HUMAN HABITATION, CURRENTLY FLEEING (DV, SEXUAL ASSAULT, HUMAN TRAFFICKING, DATING VIOLENCE, OR STALKING), CURRENTLY LIVING IN A SAFE HAVEN OR EMERGENCY SHELTER, CURRENTLY LIVING IN TRANSITIONAL HOUSING, VETERAN STATUS, FAMILY SIZE.CLIENT VULNERABILITY IS CURRENTLY BEING ASSESSED BY USE OF THE VI-SPDAT V3.0. We use the family version for families with children, and the individual for single adults or for each adult in households with no children. 3. The CoC has established a process to ensure that housing assistance is prioritized based on vulnerability and severity of need. The CoC utilizes the VISPDAT to prioritize referrals to housing resources. Case conferencing takes those with the highest vulnerability scores and most in need of assistance and matches them with a provider who promptly assists the client in accessing permanent housing of their choice in a timely
manner. OUR GOAL IS TO HOUSE ALL CLIENTS WITHIN 60 DAYS OF PRESENTING TO CES. Case managers are expected to maintain contact with clients and document all self resolutions or changes in needs. Clients are provided a referral to services promptly. Our CES requires that each case conferencing region meets at least once a month and reviews the complete prioritization list to speed referrals/housing. 4. All regions have agencies capable of providing access to Coordinated Entry Assessment via phone. Practices have been established to ensure clients are able to access services regardless of transportation needs in all counties.

1D-9b.	Informing Program Participant about Rights and Remedies through Centralized or Coordinated Entry–Reporting Violations.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.p.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC through its centralized or coordinated entry:
1.	affirmatively markets housing and services provided within the CoC's geographic area and ensures it reaches all persons experiencing homelessness;
2.	informs program participants of their rights and remedies available under federal, state, and local fair housing and civil rights laws; and
3.	reports any conditions or actions that impede fair housing choice for current or prospective program participants to the jurisdiction(s) responsible for certifying consistency with the Consolidated Plan.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. THE COC AFFIRMATIVELY MARKETS HOUSING AND SERVICES PROVIDED TO ALL PERSONS EXPERIENCING HOMELESSNESS BY SUPPORTING A LARGE NUMBER OF DEDICATED AGENCIES THROUGHOUT THE COC THAT PROVIDE CES ACCESS AND PROVIDING DIRECT SUPPORTS TO AREAS THAT MAY HAVE LESS AGENCY SUPPORT OR LOCAL RESOURCES. All agencies across the CoC that receive CoC or ESG funds are required to participate in CES. This means that we have ## agencies providing CES assessment and intake. To ensure 100% coverage of our CoC, our CoC is divided into 10 unique sub-regions that each have a designated CES Lead. This person is responsible for ensuring or providing the necessary support to all communities in their region. We also have collaborated with external funding sources and efforts to create a call center that provides virtual CES assessments to individuals who are in remote communities that may not otherwise be able to access a local agency (rural isolated communities, transportation barriers, agency capacity, etc. all factor into the need to use the virtual CES assessment. 2. AT EVERY CES INTAKE, THE ASSESSOR IS REQUIRED TO PROVIDE AND REVIEW A SET OF MATERIALS THAT COVER INDIVIDUAL RIGHTS IN THE CES AND HOUSING PROCESSES PROVIDED BY THE COC AGENCIES. This is prepared in a brochure as well as other language embedded in our intake process. Clients are informed of the rights and provided instructions on how to pursue remedies if they feel their rights have been violated. 3. THE COC HAS DEVELOPED AN UPDATED COMPREHENSIVE SITE MONITORING PROGRAM THAT WILL BE CONDUCTED BY THE LEAD AGENCY. The goal of this process is to establish agency compliance with all expectations and standards of the CoC. Our CoC will be closely monitoring each agencies practices around housing equity and providing client choice. The monitoring reporting will be reviewable by the Lead Agency and State Consolidated Plan teams.

1D-10.	Advancing Racial Equity in Homelessness–Conducting Assessment.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.q.	

1.	Has your CoC conducted a racial disparities assessment in the last 3 years?	Yes
2.	Enter the date your CoC conducted its latest assessment for racial disparities.	09/01/2023

1D-10a.	Process for Analyzing Racial Disparities–Identified Racial Disparities in Provision or Outcomes of Homeless Assistance.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.q.	

	Describe in the field below:	
1.	your CoC's process for analyzing whether any racial disparities are present in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance; and	
2.	what racial disparities your CoC identified in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. THE PRIMARY PROCESS FOR ANALYZING THE DISPARITIES PRESENT IN THE PROVISION OR OUTCOMES OF HOMELESS ASSISTANCE HAS BEEN GUIDED BY THE 2020 GAPS ANALYSIS WHICH FOCUSED ON DEMOGRAPHIC BODIES AGAINST A TARGETED UNIVERSALISM FRAMEWORK. The findings from that analysis have indicated the need for development of ongoing strategies to address geographic access and provider training. Ongoing efforts from the Inclusion, Diversity, Equity, & Awareness (IDEA) Committee has been to create a structure to review the Coordinated Entry System (CES) process using both provider and participant feedback. Data from this review process will allow for targeted client feedback opportunities. This review was established in alignment with the Coordinated Entry Committee and will be used as part of the annual review of the CES process. 2. The main disparities the CoC had identified among target demographics has been Persons of Color having longer times homeless prior to housing, and less successful outcomes. Some demographic categories in our CoC did not have significant quantities for statistical analysis, however they still indicate challenges that may be present. OUR COC HAS DEVELOPED A COMPREHENSIVE STRATEGY THAT IS BOTH FOCUSED ON CREATING MORE OPPORTUNITY FOR PARTICIPANT FEEDBACK AND PROVIDING SUPPORTIVE SERVICES TO CLIENTS TO ENSURE SUCCESSFUL OUTCOMES AND LONG TERM CLIENT STABILITY. This is being done in tandem with efforts to provide more access to Coordinated Entry to address communities that may have less support from local agencies.

1D-10b.	Implemented Strategies that Address Racial Disparities.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.q.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate the strategies your CoC is using to address any racial disparities.

1.	The CoC's board and decisionmaking bodies are representative of the population served in the CoC.	Yes
2.	The CoC has identified steps it will take to help the CoC board and decisionmaking bodies better reflect the population served in the CoC.	Yes
3.	The CoC is expanding outreach in geographic areas with higher concentrations of underrepresented groups.	Yes
4.	The CoC has communication, such as flyers, websites, or other materials, inclusive of underrepresented groups.	Yes
5.	The CoC is training staff working in the homeless services sector to better understand racism and the intersection of racism and homelessness.	Yes
6.	The CoC is establishing professional development opportunities to identify and invest in emerging leaders of different races and ethnicities in the homelessness sector.	Yes
7.	The CoC has staff, committees, or other resources charged with analyzing and addressing racial disparities related to homelessness.	Yes
8.	The CoC is educating organizations, stakeholders, boards of directors for local and national nonprofit organizations working on homelessness on the topic of creating greater racial and ethnic diversity.	Yes
9.	The CoC reviewed coordinated entry processes to understand their impact on people of different races and ethnicities experiencing homelessness.	Yes
10.	The CoC is collecting data to better understand the pattern of program use for people of different races and ethnicities in its homeless services system.	Yes
11.	The CoC is conducting additional research to understand the scope and needs of different races or ethnicities experiencing homelessness.	Yes

	Other:(limit 500 characters)	
12.		

1D-10c.	Implemented Strategies that Address Known Disparities.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.q.	

Describe in the field below the steps your CoC is taking to address the disparities identified in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC has a two pronged approach to address known disparities. The first goal is to decrease barriers for coordinated entry. GEOGRAPHIC DISPARITIES BETWEEN LOCATION OF RESOURCES ADDED TO THE RACIAL DISPARITIES SEEN IN OUR ACCESS TO COORDINATED ENTRY. The second goal is to improve the interactions between clients and project staff. SUCCESSFUL INTERVENTION FOR CLIENT INTERACTIONS REQUIRES TRAINING FOR PROJECT STAFF AND CONTINUAL OPPORTUNITIES FOR FEEDBACK AND ASSESSMENT. The CoC has established, as a standing committee, the Inclusion, Diversity, Equity and Awareness (IDEA) Committee which has developed an action plan -in guidance of HUD Community Workshop - to address engagement of Persons with Lived Experience (PLE) and BIPOC community leaders. The IDEA committee has focused on recruiting community stakeholders which includes a community education organization with a focus on BIPOC community challenges and an LGBTQ+ advocacy group. The IDEA committee developed and adopted a CoC action plan to address engagement of PLE and BIPOC community leaders. The IDEA has utilized findings from the 2020 Gaps Report and has developed priorities for CoC efforts. The CoC has responded to these strategies by addressing key points of interaction between participants and project staff such as Coordinated Entry and Case management. The CoC has directed membership training efforts at improving client interactions and outcomes through diversity education and client care strategies. The IDEA is finalizing the development of a feedback structure to allow collection of feedback from both project staff and participants in each Coordinated Entry Intake. Cross referencing data from these feedback responses will allow greater view into participant perception and provider perception of client interactions. This is being done to further inform the need for trainings such as trauma informed care, diversity and inclusion training, and other client care strategies such as motivational interviewing. Feedback will also be used to create secondary client feedback opportunities.

1D-10d.	Tracked Progress on Preventing or Eliminating Disparities.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.q.	

	Describe in the field below:
1.	the measures your CoC has in place to track progress on preventing or eliminating disparities in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance; and
2.	the tools your CoC uses.

(limit 2,500 characters)

THE PRIMARY METHOD OUR COC CURRENTLY HAS IN PLACE TO ELIMINATE DISPARITIES IS CURRENTLY FOCUSED ON UNDERSTANDING CLIENT IMPACTS UNDER OUR CURRENT STRUCTURE. Through our comprehensive Gaps analysis and ongoing commitment to measure Racial Disparity through subsequent reports, we seek to develop a much more thorough understanding of how our CoC can address disparities that may exist within our own systems or the communities we serve. Our CoC has also developed a robust strategy to monitor the Coordinated Entry process under a review outlined by the the Inclusion, Diversity, Equity and Awareness (IDEA) Committee (IDEA) of the CoC. The IDEA committee has been able to engage community stakeholders and create meaningful processes to evaluate the Coordinated Entry process. The CoC's has recognized the IDEA committee as a full standing committee of the CoC and is centering the work and suggestions that have come forth. This inclusion of diverse stakeholders, and comprehensive review of the CoC will enable the leadership of the CoC to understand the disparities that exist and create meaningful solutions to them. As we gain more participation from Persons with Lived Experience and other Community Stakeholders, we will develop more specific strategies to address disparities that emerge.

1D-11.	Involving Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness in Service Delivery and Decisionmaking--CoC's Outreach Efforts.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.r.	

Describe in the field below your CoC's outreach efforts (e.g., social media announcements, targeted outreach) to engage those with lived experience of homelessness in leadership roles and decision making processes.

(limit 2,500 characters)

THE COC IS DEDICATED TO INVOLVING INDIVIDUALS WITH LIVED EXPERIENCE OF HOMELESSNESS IN SERVICE DELIVERY AND DECISION-MAKING. The CoC uses social media and targeted outreach to solicit feedback on its practices and processes from those with lived experience. Planning funds are used to compensate for the expertise provided by individuals with lived experience. This information was critical to crafting goals and strategies to improve service delivery within the community. In addition, the CoC governing board works to ensure that there is representation from someone with lived experience in homelessness on the board and committees. The input from those with lived experience is invaluable. The CoC strives to make providing feedback and serving on working committees easy and accessible for these individuals. The CoC has implemented online surveys for data collection and schedules meetings at times when individuals with experience can attend. CES is now including opportunities for persons to provide anonymous feedback following an intake interview. THE COC HAS ALSO BEGUN THE ESTABLISHMENT OF DISTINCTIVE PERSONS WITH LIVED EXPERIENCE BODIES, SUCH AS THE YOUTH ACTION BOARD. The Youth Action Board (YAB) is a distinct leadership board of the CoC and is able to make decisions and present action items to the board. The YAB has led strategies for our YHDP implementation and local priorities for Youth Focused Interventions and resources. The CoC seeks to build momentum from the success of the YAB to develop more focused groups including people currently in services and veterans groups. These are being developed by various committees of the CoC, such as the Inclusion, Diversity, Equity and Awareness (IDEA) Committee (IDEA), Victim Services Committee and the Veteran Services Committee.

1D-11a.	Active CoC Participation of Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.r.	

You must upload the Letter Signed by Working Group attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.
Enter in the chart below the number of people with lived experience who currently participate in your CoC under the four categories listed:

	Level of Active Participation	Number of People with Lived Experience Within the Last 7 Years or Current Program Participant	Number of People with Lived Experience Coming from Unsheltered Situations
1.	Included in the decisionmaking processes related to addressing homelessness.	112	12
2.	Participate on CoC committees, subcommittees, or workgroups.	112	12
3.	Included in the development or revision of your CoC's local competition rating factors.	112	12
4.	Included in the development or revision of your CoC's coordinated entry process.	112	12

1D-11b.	Professional Development and Employment Opportunities for Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.r.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC or CoC membership organizations provide professional development and employment opportunities to individuals with lived experience of homelessness.

(limit 2,500 characters)

OUR COC COORDINATES PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT AND EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITIES FOR INDIVIDUALS WITH LIVED EXPERIENCE THROUGH OUR YHDP OPPORTUNITY. SINCE THE COC IS PREPARING TO RECEIVE YHDP FUNDING, WE HAVE BEEN ABLE TO OPEN AN OPPORTUNITY FOR 3 MEMBERS OF THE YOUTH ACTION BOARD TO TAKE ON PART TIME POSITIONS WITH THE COC AS YOUTH PEER SUPPORT SPECIALISTS. They have been the driving force behind keeping the CoC accountable to the YAB, working with the Consolidated Community Plan, and preparing the Request for Proposals for YHDP. They are the liaisons between the CoC & adult leadership and the Youth Action Board and strive to ensure that youth voice is heard throughout the YHDP development process. Additionally, the CoC has partnerships with member agencies that employ persons with lived expertise. Community Partnership of Southeast Missouri (CPSEMO) and Catholic Charities, operates a Homeless Veterans Reintegration Program (HVRP), funded by the US Department of Labor. They work specifically with homeless veterans to put them into meaningful employment. To accomplish this, an employment specialist works directly with local businesses to place veterans in jobs that fit their interest and skill level. Job skills training is offered for those interested in careers that require certification. These programs trains people to pass a variety of training programs, including CDL or forklift operations. For those in need of further training, the employment specialist may arrange a work experience opportunity. Veterans are placed with participating businesses and the owner/supervisor acts as a mentor, providing on-the-job training and other support. Member organizations also provides soft skills training through job-readiness classes and life skills training, to facilitate participants' ability to retain employment once they have obtained it.

1D-11c.	Routinely Gathering Feedback and Addressing Challenges of Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.r.	

	Describe in the field below:
1.	how your CoC routinely gathers feedback from people experiencing homelessness;
2.	how your CoC routinely gathers feedback from people who have received assistance through the CoC or ESG Programs; and
3.	the steps your CoC has taken to address challenges raised by people with lived experience of homelessness.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The CoC has made it a goal to solicit feedback from people experiencing homelessness. Through qualitative feedback for community GAPs analysis, YHDP listening sessions (both virtual and in person), and feedback surveys or forums. 2. The CoC has made it a goal to solicit feedback from people who have received assistance through the CoC or ESG programs on their experiences. To capture this information, the CoC hosts online surveys, in-person listening sessions facilitated by the planning entity, and invitations to give feedback by serving on CoC committees. THE IDEA (INCLUSION, DIVERSITY, EQUITY & AWARENESS) COMMITTEE HAS WORKED IN TANDEM WITH THE MOBOSCOC'S COORDINATED ENTRY COMMITTEE TO CREATE A CLIENT FEEDBACK FORM, WHICH WILL BE GIVEN TO CLIENTS IN THE CES TO GATHER FEEDBACK TO IMPROVE THE SYSTEM 3. The CoC takes the feedback provided from people with lived experience of homelessness seriously. When challenges are identified, the issues are brought to the appropriate committee/workgroup. Challenges and solutions are discussed and implemented. Follow-up is done to ensure that the challenge is addressed and a suitable, effective solution has been found. Feedback from focus groups of individuals currently experiencing homelessness has been incorporated into the CoC's current strategic plan.

1D-12.	Increasing Affordable Housing Supply.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.t.	

	Describe in the field below at least 2 steps your CoC has taken in the past 12 months to engage city, county, or state governments that represent your CoC's geographic area regarding the following:
1.	reforming zoning and land use policies to permit more housing development; and
2.	reducing regulatory barriers to housing development.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. THE COC HAS FACILITATED LOCAL EFFORTS THROUGH SUPPORT OF REGIONAL MEETINGS AND ENCOURAGING LOCAL AGENCIES TO ADDRESS SOLUTIONS. Multiple municipalities within the 101 counties of our coverage area have had productive groups looking at Housing Development and Zoning strategies. The CoC is forming workgroups to promote and share strategies that are effective.
2. THE COC HAS ALSO BEEN ACTIVE IN STATEWIDE GROUPS THAT HAVE ADDRESSED STRATEGIES AND BEST PRACTICES FOR CREATING NEW HOUSING DEVELOPMENTS. Affordable Housing Development Strategies are one focus of the Missouri Interagency Council on Homelessness, a statewide body formerly known as the Governor's Committee on Ending Homelessness.

1E. Project Capacity, Review, and Ranking–Local Competition

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2023 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
  - 24 CFR part 578;
   - FY 2023 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
  - Section 3 Resources;
  - PHA Crosswalk; and
   - Frequently Asked Questions

1E-1.	Web Posting of Your CoC's Local Competition Deadline–Advance Public Notice.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.a. and 2.g.	
	You must upload the Web Posting of Local Competition Deadline attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

1.	Enter your CoC's local competition submission deadline date for New Project applicants to submit their project applications to your CoC–meaning the date your CoC published the deadline.	08/21/2023
2.	Enter the date your CoC published the deadline for Renewal Project applicants to submit their project applications to your CoC's local competition–meaning the date your CoC published the deadline.	08/21/2023

1E-2.	Project Review and Ranking Process Your CoC Used in Its Local Competition. We use the response to this question and the response in Question 1E-2a along with the required attachments from both questions as a factor when determining your CoC's eligibility for bonus funds and for other NOFO criteria below.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.a., 2.b., 2.c., 2.d., and 2.e.	
	You must upload the Local Competition Scoring Tool attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	
	Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate how your CoC ranked and selected project applications during your local competition:	

1.	Established total points available for each project application type.	Yes
2.	At least 33 percent of the total points were based on objective criteria for the project application (e.g., cost effectiveness, timely draws, utilization rate, match, leverage), performance data, type of population served (e.g., DV, youth, Veterans, chronic homelessness), or type of housing proposed (e.g., PSH, RRH).	Yes
3.	At least 20 percent of the total points were based on system performance criteria for the project application (e.g., exits to permanent housing destinations, retention of permanent housing, length of time homeless, returns to homelessness).	Yes
4.	Provided points for projects that addressed specific severe barriers to housing and services.	No

5.	Used data from comparable databases to score projects submitted by victim service providers.		Yes
6.	Provided points for projects based on the degree the projects identified any barriers to participation (e.g., lack of outreach) faced by persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, and has taken or will take steps to eliminate the identified barriers.		Yes

1E-2a.	Scored Project Forms for One Project from Your CoC's Local Competition. We use the response to this question and Question 1E-2. along with the required attachments from both questions as a factor when determining your CoC's eligibility for bonus funds and for other NOFO criteria below.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.a., 2.b., 2.c., and 2.d.	

You must upload the Scored Forms for One Project attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.
Complete the chart below to provide details of your CoC's local competition:

1.	What were the maximum number of points available for the renewal project form(s)?	121
2.	How many renewal projects did your CoC submit?	29
3.	What renewal project type did most applicants use?	PH-PSH

1E-2b.	Addressing Severe Barriers in the Local Project Review and Ranking Process.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.d.	

Describe in the field below:	
1.	how your CoC analyzed data regarding each project that has successfully housed program participants in permanent housing;
2.	how your CoC analyzed data regarding how long it takes to house people in permanent housing;
3.	how your CoC considered the specific severity of needs and vulnerabilities experienced by program participants preventing rapid placement in permanent housing or the ability to maintain permanent housing when your CoC ranked and selected projects; and
4.	considerations your CoC gave to projects that provide housing and services to the hardest to serve populations that could result in lower performance levels but are projects your CoC needs in its geographic area.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. Data was collected by our CoC's HMIS Lead through various project performance reports. Project scorecards were completed by HMIS Lead and submitted to the Ranking and Review Committee staff lead for distribution to and analysis by Ranking Committee members. 2. Our CoC analyzed data in collaboration with our Performance Committee and HMIS Lead regarding how long it takes to house people in permanent housing through quarterly reviews of HUD's System Performance Measure 7b: Successful Placement in or Retention of Permanent Housing. 3. The CoC considered the following severity needs and vulnerabilities experienced by program participants preventing rapid placement in permanent housing or the ability to maintain permanent housing: chronic homelessness, current living situation, history of incarceration, frequency of use of crisis services, history of victimization/abuse or trauma, risk of exploitation, no income, lack of ability to provide self-care, physical health, current or past substance use, mental health needs. These factors are based on the project participant's status at the time of entry into the project. New project applications are assessed based on the community needs identified in the application, use of current data to describe the needs, the plan for addressing the needs, and the applicant's experience addressing the identified needs. 4. Our CoC assesses community needs through regular reporting of System Performance Measures. Our independent Rank and Review Panel considers the needs of the community based on our ongoing system performance reporting when ranking projects. The point system used provides an opportunity for our project ranking process to account for the potential that projects might perform lower due to them providing housing and services to the hardest to serve populations in our community. New project applications' explanation of community needs, use of current data to describe the needs, the plan for addressing community needs, and the applicant's experience addressing the needs contribute to scoring categories that total 40% of the new project application score. If projects tie, they are ranked in order of severity of community housing and supportive service needs and the degree to which the project's plans address the identified housing and supportive service needs. Projects with plans to address more severe needs received a preferential ranking.

	1E-3.	Advancing Racial Equity through Participation of Over-Represented Populations in the Local Competition Review and Ranking Process.	
		NOFO Section V.B.2.e.	

		Describe in the field below:
	1.	how your CoC used the input from persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, to determine the rating factors used to review project applications;
	2.	how your CoC included persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population in the review, selection, and ranking process; and
	3.	how your CoC rated and ranked projects based on the degree to which their project has identified any barriers to participation (e.g., lack of outreach) faced by persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, and has taken or will take steps to eliminate the identified barriers.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. THE MO BOS COC OBTAINED INPUT AND INCLUDED PERSONS OF DIFFERENT RACES IN OUR LOCAL COMPETITION REVIEW AND RANKING PROCESS BY MAINTAINING A DIVERSE REVIEW AND RANK AND REVIEW PANEL AND BOARD OF DIRECTORS, WHO OVERSEES THE REVIEW AND RANKING PROCESS. 2. The input from people of color affected how our CoC determined the rating factors used to review project applications holistically and wholeheartedly. Our CoC held multiple meetings with our R&R Panel and Board of Directors to review and approve project applications, noting all comments and feedback in our living documents, which are then presented to the Board. Ultimately our Board has the deciding factor in determining the rating factors used to review project applications, and all input from our diverse members is applied by the Lead Agency. OUR COC INCLUDED PERSONS OF DIFFERENT RACES IN OUR REVIEW, SELECTION AND RANKING PROCESS BY REFERRING TO THE GRANTS COMMITTEE AND THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS TO GENERATE A NON-CONFLICTED BODY. The Rank and Review panel was made up of several individuals representing organizations that do not receive any CoC funding at this time and 2 current board members. Our Grants Committee is included in our review and rank process as well as they determined the rating factors used by our Ranking Committee to review and rank project applications; this committee included individuals of different races as well, including those represented in our local homeless population. Having diverse individuals within our homelessness system representing populations within our CoC's governing bodies, helps ensure these processes are executed through a racial equity lens. 3. The CoC has not yet implemented a process to include rating factors that include the degree to which program participants mirror the homeless population demographics or the extent to which projects have identified barriers in serving over-represented populations and taken steps to eliminate the identified barriers. OUR COC RANKING COMMITTEE WILL RESEARCH BEST PRACTICE IMPLEMENTATIONS AND WILL MAKE RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE COC BOARD FOR INCLUDING THESE FACTORS IN OUR FY 2004 REVIEW, SELECTION AND RANKING PROCESS.

1E-4.	Reallocation–Reviewing Performance of Existing Projects.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.f.	

		Describe in the field below:
	1.	your CoC's reallocation process, including how your CoC determined which projects are candidates for reallocation because they are low performing or less needed;
	2.	whether your CoC identified any low performing or less needed projects through the process described in element 1 of this question during your CoC's local competition this year;
	3.	whether your CoC reallocated any low performing or less needed projects during its local competition this year; and
	4.	why your CoC did not reallocate low performing or less needed projects during its local competition this year, if applicable.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. OUR COC'S REALLOCATION PROCESS INVOLVES VOLUNTARY AND INVOLUNTARY PROJECT REALLOCATION. Voluntary reallocation allows agencies to surrender funds to create new projects that will serve regional needs better. Involuntary reallocation is performance based and involves the creation of an agency performance improvement plan (PIP). Agencies that do not comply with their assigned PIP ARE SUBJECT TO COC REALLOCATION AS DETERMINED BY OUR COC'S REALLOCATION POLICY. 2. THE COC ELECTED TO PAUSE INVOLUNTARY REALLOCATION DUE TO EXTENUATING CIRCUMSTANCES SURROUNDING COVID 19 AND THE HOUSING STOCK SHORTAGE. These issues were exacerbated by the change of the Collaborative Applicant during the year 2020. Efforts to begin the necessary documentation to provide transparency to low performing projects has begun and will be applied in years going forward. The CoC did allow for a reallocation of a transferred grant that allowed one program to expand coverage but maintain units within the same coverage area. The prior transferred grant was a low performing project, however the organization was shut down and did not seek renewal. The recipients of the transfer used our CoC's Voluntary reallocation policy to create the expansion. 3. Our CoC did not compel any projects to reallocate low performing or less needed projects durings its local competition this year. 4. Our CoC's reallocation structure has been established to begin impacting projects during the FY2024 NOFO competition. Multiple projects are on a First Year Performance Improvement plan and can be subject for performance-based reallocation if they remain on a Performance Improvement Plan based on the next annual reporting cycle.

1E-4a.	Reallocation Between FY 2018 and FY 2023.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.f.	

	Did your CoC cumulatively reallocate at least 20 percent of its ARD between FY 2018 and FY 2023?	No

1E-5.	Projects Rejected/Reduced–Notification Outside of e-snaps.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

1.	Did your CoC reject any project application(s) submitted for funding during its local competition?	Yes
2.	Did your CoC reduce funding for any project application(s) submitted for funding during its local competition?	Yes
3.	Did your CoC inform applicants why your CoC rejected or reduced their project application(s) submitted for funding during its local competition?	Yes
4.	If you selected Yes for element 1 or element 2 of this question, enter the date your CoC notified applicants that their project applications were being rejected or reduced, in writing, outside of e-snaps. If you notified applicants on various dates, enter the latest date of any notification. For example, if you notified applicants on 06/26/2023, 06/27/2023, and 06/28/2023, then you must enter 06/28/2023.	09/13/2023

1E-5a.	Projects Accepted–Notification Outside of e-snaps.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Notification of Projects Accepted attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Enter the date your CoC notified project applicants that their project applications were accepted and ranked on the New and Renewal Priority Listings in writing, outside of e-snaps. If you notified applicants on various dates, enter the latest date of any notification. For example, if you notified applicants on 06/26/2023, 06/27/2023, and 06/28/2023, then you must enter 06/28/2023.	09/13/2023

1E-5b.	Local Competition Selection Results for All Projects.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Local Competition Selection Results attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Does your attachment include: 1. Project Names; 2. Project Scores; 3. Project accepted or rejected status; 4. Project Rank–if accepted; 5. Requested Funding Amounts; and 6. Reallocated funds.	Yes

1E-5c.	Web Posting of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application 2 Days Before CoC Program Competition Application Submission Deadline.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.g. and 24 CFR 578.95.	
	You must upload the Web Posting–CoC-Approved Consolidated Application attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Enter the date your CoC posted the CoC-approved Consolidated Application on the CoC's website or partner's website–which included: 1. the CoC Application; and 2. Priority Listings for Reallocation forms and all New, Renewal, and Replacement Project Listings.	09/26/2023

1E-5d.	Notification to Community Members and Key Stakeholders that the CoC-Approved Consolidated Application is Posted on Website.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Notification of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Enter the date your CoC notified community members and key stakeholders that the CoC-approved Consolidated Application was posted on your CoC's website or partner's website.	09/26/2023

2A. Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) Implementation

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2023 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
  - 24 CFR part 578;
   - FY 2023 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
  - Section 3 Resources;
 - PHA Crosswalk; and
  - Frequently Asked Questions

2A-1.	HMIS Vendor.	
	Not Scored–For Information Only	

	Enter the name of the HMIS Vendor your CoC is currently using.	WellSky

2A-2.	HMIS Implementation Coverage Area.	
	Not Scored–For Information Only	

	Select from dropdown menu your CoC's HMIS coverage area.	Multiple CoCs

2A-3.	HIC Data Submission in HDX.	
	NOFO Section V.B.3.a.	

	Enter the date your CoC submitted its 2023 HIC data into HDX.	04/28/2023

2A-4.	Comparable Database for DV Providers–CoC and HMIS Lead Supporting Data Collection and Data Submission by Victim Service Providers.	
	NOFO Section V.B.3.b.	

	In the field below:	
1.	describe actions your CoC and HMIS Lead have taken to ensure DV housing and service providers in your CoC collect data in HMIS comparable databases;	
2.	state whether DV housing and service providers in your CoC are using a HUD-compliant comparable database–compliant with the FY 2022 HMIS Data Standards; and	

3.	state whether your CoC's HMIS is compliant with the FY 2022 HMIS Data Standards.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. THE MO BOS COC AND HMIS LEAD WORK TOGETHER TO ENSURE DV HOUSING AND SERVICE PROVIDERS IN OUR COC COLLECT DATA AND MEET HUD'S REQUIREMENTS IN MANY WAYS. FOLLOWING THE PUBLICATION OF HMIS DATA STANDARDS IN 2020, OUR COC DEVELOPED A USER GUIDE, OUTLINING THE INFORMATION NEEDED TO BE COLLECTED AND HOW TO DO SO, IN ORDER TO BE COMPLIANT. Each of our clients is assigned a unique identification number that keeps them from being identified and the agency is the only entity in use of the number for identification purposes. Our CoC and HMIS Lead meet monthly to ensure DV provider/data standard compliance and provide annual verification of comparable databases and requirements. We are also collaborating with a neighbor CoC to develop a comparable database with victim service providers to streamline the process. 2..OUR COC HAS A USER GUIDE FOR DV HOUSING AND SERVICE PROVIDERS THAT OUTLINES THE INFORMATION NEEDED TO BE COLLECTED IN ORDER TO MATCH HMIS DATA STANDARDS. Each Client is assigned a Unique Entity ID number and cannot be identified by this number. Only the DV housing and service providers are in use of the number for identification purposes and names are never shared during our regional Case Conferences. When merging our HMIS and non-HMIS lists, our CoC is currently using a new system, Smartsheet, which is used by a neighboring CoC to streamline our Coordinated Entry System while simultaneously meeting HUD's comparable database requirements. Our CoC HMIS lead also provides an annual verification of comparable databases to ensure DV provider compliance with data standards and database requirements. 3. THE MO BOS COC IS COMPLIANT WITH THE 2022 HMIS DATA STANDARDS.

2A-5.	Bed Coverage Rate–Using HIC, HMIS Data–CoC Merger Bonus Points.
	NOFO Section V.B.3.c. and V.B.7.

Enter 2023 HIC and HMIS data in the chart below by project type:

Project Type	Total Year-Round Beds in 2023 HIC	Total Year-Round Beds in HIC Operated by Victim Service Providers	Total Year-Round Beds in HMIS	HMIS Year-Round Bed Coverage Rate
1. Emergency Shelter (ES) beds	1,196	647	419	76.32%
2. Safe Haven (SH) beds	8	0	8	100.00%
3. Transitional Housing (TH) beds	364	83	70	24.91%
4. Rapid Re-Housing (RRH) beds	352	51	296	98.34%
5. Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) beds	1,105	0	715	64.71%
6. Other Permanent Housing (OPH) beds	330	0	0	0.00%

2A-5a.	Partial Credit for Bed Coverage Rates at or Below 84.99 for Any Project Type in Question 2A-5.	
	NOFO Section V.B.3.c.	

	For each project type with a bed coverage rate that is at or below 84.99 percent in question 2A-5, describe:	
1.	steps your CoC will take over the next 12 months to increase the bed coverage rate to at least 85 percent for that project type; and	
2.	how your CoC will implement the steps described to increase bed coverage to at least 85 percent.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. Our COC has a low coverage rate in ES, TH and PSH beds due to cooperation with projects and funding sources that do not require HMIS utilization. The majority of ES and TH projects in the COC are supported by non-COC funds and are vital partners, however, they have not been compelled to use HMIS for data reporting. PSH beds specifically were tracked through Veterans Affairs funds. We've had immense success in recent years collaborating and connecting with vital community partners, however many smaller rural agencies and organizations do not have the capacity to participate in HMIS to the same extent. We have explored ways that select staff could cooperate and support organizations through data agreements to allow for the inclusion of their program participants. The performance committee of the CoC will continue to review how such agencies can be supported. We expect ES units to continue to increase in HMIS utilization through capacity building and inter-agency partnerships. As the CoC plans to expand services through YHDP, including TH units, we would expect to see a much higher rate of HMIS utilizaiton. 2. Through the increase of total units supported by CoC funds, we expect to see dramatic increases in HMIS utilization, and through interagency collaboration led by the CoC Performance Committee we hope to address capacity building strategies to ensure non-CoC funded agencies have the means to participate in HMIS utilization.

2A-6.	Longitudinal System Analysis (LSA) Submission in HDX 2.0.	
	NOFO Section V.B.3.d.	
	You must upload your CoC's FY 2023 HDX Competition Report to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Did your CoC submit at least two usable LSA data files to HUD in HDX 2.0 by February 28, 2023, 8 p.m. EST?	Yes

2B. Continuum of Care (CoC) Point-in-Time (PIT) Count

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2023 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
  - 24 CFR part 578;
   - FY 2023 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
  - Section 3 Resources;
  - PHA Crosswalk; and
   - Frequently Asked Questions

2B-1.	PIT Count Date.	
	NOFO Section V.B.4.a	

	Enter the date your CoC conducted its 2023 PIT count.	01/25/2023

2B-2.	PIT Count Data–HDX Submission Date.	
	NOFO Section V.B.4.a	

	Enter the date your CoC submitted its 2023 PIT count data in HDX.	04/28/2023

2B-3.	PIT Count–Effectively Counting Youth in Your CoC's Most Recent Unsheltered PIT Count.	
	NOFO Section V.B.4.b.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC:	
1.	engaged unaccompanied youth and youth serving organizations in your CoC's most recent PIT count planning process;	
2.	worked with unaccompanied youth and youth serving organizations to select locations where homeless youth are most likely to be identified during your CoC's most recent PIT count planning process; and	
3.	included youth experiencing homelessness as counters during your CoC's most recent unsheltered PIT count.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. DURING THE PLANNING PROCESS FOR THE 2023 PIT COUNT, OUR COC ENGAGED STAKEHOLDERS WHO SERVE HOMELESS YOUTH BY ACTIVELY INVOLVING THEM IN THE PLANNING PROCESS THROUGH RECRUITMENT AND TRAINING. One of our CoC Members is an agency who specifically serves youth experiencing homelessness and they were one of our lead agencies in the 2023 PITC Planning Process. 2. OUR COC WORKED WITH STAKEHOLDERS TO SELECT LOCATIONS WHERE HOMELESS YOUTH ARE MOST LIKELY TO BE IDENTIFIED THROUGH LOCAL COOPERATION IN REGIONAL AND COUNTY LEVEL PLANNING TEAMS.3. YOUTH EXPERIENCING HOMELESSNESS FROM THIS AGENCY WERE INVOLVED IN THE ACTUAL COUNT BY VOLUNTEERING THEIR SERVICES ON THE NIGHT OF THE 2023 PIT COUNT AND BY SERVING ON OUR YOUTH ACTION BOARD, which also had a large part in our PITC Planning Process. The CoC ensure to utilize a Youth Addendum to the counting survey to capture current unhoused youth on the night of the PITC.

2B-4.	PIT Count–Methodology Change–CoC Merger Bonus Points.	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.a and V.B.7.c.	

	In the field below:
1.	describe any changes your CoC made to your sheltered PIT count implementation, including methodology or data quality changes between 2022 and 2023, if applicable;
2.	describe any changes your CoC made to your unsheltered PIT count implementation, including methodology or data quality changes between 2022 and 2023, if applicable; and
3.	describe how the changes affected your CoC's PIT count results; or
4.	state "Not Applicable" if there were no changes or if you did not conduct an unsheltered PIT count in 2023.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Not Applicable- Count was conducted using the same methodology as for 2022.

2C. System Performance

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2023 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
  - 24 CFR part 578;
   - FY 2023 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
  - Section 3 Resources;
  - PHA Crosswalk; and
  - Frequently Asked Questions

2C-1.	Reduction in the Number of First Time Homeless–Risk Factors Your CoC Uses.	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.b.	

	In the field below:
1.	describe how your CoC determined the risk factors to identify persons experiencing homelessness for the first time;
2.	describe your CoC's strategies to address individuals and families at risk of becoming homeless; and
3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to reduce the number of individuals and families experiencing homelessness for the first time

(limit 2,500 characters)

FIRST TIME HOMELESS; IN 2021 - 2340 PERSONS, IN 2022 - 2840 PERSONS. metric increased 1. THE MO BOS COC DETERMINED RISK FACTORS FOR FIRST TIME HOMELESSNESS BY COMBINING NATIONAL BEST PRACTICES SUCH AS HOUSING FIRST AND HOUSING PROBLEM SOLUTIONS WITH OUR COORDINATED ENTRY SYSTEM. Diversion is our first strategy, using Housing Problem Solutions for guidance, and clients are also assessed upon presentation for services based on vulnerability and chronicity. 2. OUR COC STRIVES TO REDUCE FIRST TIME HOMELESSNESS THROUGH IMPROVING PREVENTION AND DIVERSION COLLABORATION BETWEEN AGENCIES, DEVELOPING INTERVALS TO REVIEW HMIS REGION-BASED REPORTS FOR PREVENTION CLIENTS, AND PROMOTING PUBLIC AWARENESS OF PREVENTION RESOURCES AND STRATEGIES TO ENSURE CLIENTS ENGAGE IN ASSISTANCE PRIOR TO HOMELESSNESS. Our Coordinated Entry training includes information about prevention and diversion practices, using Housing Problem Solutions as a baseline. Our CE Assessment tool includes questions that help identify clients in need of prevention / diversion and they are directed to those programs as available. During monthly meetings, agencies share funding and resources available and prioritize a Case-Conferencing referral system for these projects. HMIS has developed a reporting structure to generate P/D lists for regions for regular review. These reports are being integrated into regional coordinated entry efforts still and are expected to be in full use during the 2024 year. Over the last few years, the CoC has greatly expanded our capacity to reach and identify persons experiencing homelessness. This has caused a substantial increase in persons connecting with our system of care for the first time. As such, we've seen an increase in reported first-time homeless, but as we address barriers to access and continue to identify and serve persons experiencing homelessness that were not identified earlier, we may continue to see our number increase. 3. OUR COC'S COORDINATED ENTRY COMMITTEE, with support and data from our Performance Committee, are responsible for overseeing our strategies to reduce the number of persons experiencing homelessness for the first time.

2C-1a.	Impact of Displaced Persons on Number of First Time Homeless.	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.b	

	Was your CoC's Number of First Time Homeless [metric 5.2] affected by the number of persons seeking short-term shelter or housing assistance displaced due to:	

1.	natural disasters?	No
2.	having recently arrived in your CoCs' geographic area?	No

2C-2.	Length of Time Homeless—CoC's Strategy to Reduce.	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.c.	

	In the field below:	
1.	describe your CoC's strategy to reduce the length of time individuals and persons in families remain homeless;	
2.	describe how your CoC identifies and houses individuals and persons in families with the longest lengths of time homeless; and	

	3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to reduce the length of time individuals and families remain homeless.

(limit 2,500 characters)

LENGTH OF TIME HOMELESS DATA: 2021 - Average = 60 / Median = 29; 2022 - Average = 82 / Median = 37 1.STRATEGY TO REDUCE THE LENGTH OF TIME HOMELESS (LOTH) is A. to identify the geographic barriers to Coordinated Entry System (CES) access points as identified in our 2020 Gaps Analysis, B. increase the frequency of case conferencing meetings among our regional CES structure, and C. Continue developing support for rural communities. Across the geography of our Rural CoC, transportation and physical access to local services is limited in many communities. This has led to significant delays in provision of housing services. The CoC has expanded our capacity to provide virtual assessments to clients to reduce transportation barriers. Our Coordinated Entry System operates 10 distinct regions for case conferencing, and many regions have begun increasing the frequency of case conferencing meetings. The CoC has also established a reporting policy to ensure client referrals are turned into project entry in a timely manner. Agencies that delay project entry beyond 30 days from referral are not considered to have an active CES referral in CoC standards. Paired with other community efforts to provide additional case management from external funding sources, this has ensured clients become housing ready and entered into projects much faster reducing the overall LOTH. While we've been able to increase client access to CES,Housing Availability has been significantly limited in our CoC. This has resulted in substantial delays to exiting shelters. Continued work to with housing developers and supporting community efforts to increase housing stock may alleviate this burden. 2. OUR COC'S BY-NAME LIST PRIORITIZES CHRONICITY FIRST AND THEN VULNERABILITY, AS INDICATED BY THE VI-SPDAT V3.0. The CoC recognizes that chronically homeless and high vulnerability clients will have the most difficulty in entering housing projects and contribute heavily to the measure of LOTH. These clients should be provided eligible referrals first to reduce this measure. LOTH is also measured in the CES assessment and is prioritized for after chronicity and vulnerability. This method of prioritization ensures that clients are provided services in a timely manner and an overall reduction of the LOTH. 3. OUR COC'S COORDINATED ENTRY COMMITTEE, with reporting from the Performance Committee, is responsible for overseeing our strategies for LOTH.

	2C-3.	Exits to Permanent Housing Destinations/Retention of Permanent Housing–CoC's Strategy	
		NOFO Section V.B.5.d.	

		In the field below:
	1.	describe your CoC's strategy to increase the rate that individuals and persons in families residing in emergency shelter, safe havens, transitional housing, and rapid rehousing exit to permanent housing destinations;
	2.	describe your CoC's strategy to increase the rate that individuals and persons in families residing in permanent housing projects retain their permanent housing or exit to permanent housing destinations; and
	3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to increase the rate that individuals and families exit to or retain permanent housing.

(limit 2,500 characters)

ES, SH, TH, RRH EXIT TO PHD: 2021 - 43%; 2022 - 43%.-- PH EXIT TO PHD: 2021 - 95%; 2022 - 95%. 1.EMERGENCY SHELTER, SAFE HAVEN, TRANSITIONAL HOUSING, AND RRH EXIT TO PERMANENT HOUSING DESTINATIONS (PHD): The CoC has identified two areas of improvement to help increase exits to PHD. The first is data quality and thorough exit interview training for new providers. The second is increased provisions of case management and enrollment in wrap around services. Data quality issues have been exacerbated by the significant number of new HMIS reporting agencies under ESG-CV funds. Many new providers have not entered sufficient data from exit interviews or did not conduct significant exit interviews. Thorough training and incorporation of data quality reports are available to assist agencies in rectifying this element. The trend in housing costs and cost of living increases across much of our CoC has indicated that the baseline for clients to achieve successful exits is higher than prior years. Increased training on effective case management and working with clients to enroll in wrap-around services should ensure clients are able to exit to permanent destinations at a higher rate. Training on effective client care is currently in development and will be required by CoC membership this fall. 2.PERMANENT SUPPORTIVE HOUSING(PSH) PROJECTS RETENTION/EXIT TO PHD: The CoC has collaborated with PHA's and service providers to develop a comprehensive strategy to move clients that are no longer in need of intensive supportive services from PSH into other subsidy supported housing resources such as PHA vouchers. Challenges associated with this strategy have included limited housing stock available and the threshold gap between PSH and self sustainability. Clients that find employment may lose PSH eligibility without having employment to sustain independent housing. Effectively working with providers and PHA partners through our comprehensive strategy should provide resources to these clients before they exit PSH programs. 3. The MO BoS CoC's Performance Committee, with support from the Lead Agency and HMIS are are responsible for overseeing our CoC's strategy to increase exits to PHD.

2C-4.	Returns to Homelessness–CoC's Strategy to Reduce Rate.		
	NOFO Section V.B.5.e.		

	In the field below:	
	1.	describe your CoC's strategy to identify individuals and families who return to homelessness;
	2.	describe your CoC's strategy to reduce the rate of additional returns to homelessness; and
	3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to reduce the rate individuals and persons in families return to homelessness.

(limit 2,500 characters)

RETURNS TO HOMELESS (RTH) IN 6 MONTHS: 2021 - 7%; 2022 - 6%. RTH IN 6-12 MONTHS: 2021 - 3%; 2022 - 3%. – 1.IDENTIFIES: the CoC identifies clients that return to homelessness (RTH) through quarterly review of HMIS data. 2.REDUCE THE RATE OF RTH: The CoC has identified two areas of focus to reduce RTH: Clients that exit projects without proper support for permanent housing, and lack of utilization or awareness of prevention resources. The CoC monitors agencies' Client Risks Scores as a metric of general client vulnerability. Agencies with high client risk scores will be targeted for CoC training goals. Overall the CoC has prioritized effective client care as a key training focus. Mandatory training for CoC members this fall will focus on effective client services; covering diversity, wrap-around services, and motivational interviewing. Project staff should have a more complete understanding of the needs of clients to ensure that adequate services are being provided. Clients that cannot sustain permanent housing independent of the project they are entered in, should be assisted with accessing employment training and/or mainstream benefits. The majority of the RTH documented in our CoC come from emergency shelters. Through training and guidance the CoC hopes to see shelter staff able to ensure participants are able to access all applicable resources available to ensure self sustainability after project exit. The second element to reduce the rate of RTH is to ensure all residents in the CoC geography are able to access Prevention and Diversion (P/D) resources. The CoC has established a strategy to increase community awareness of prevention/diversion(P/D) resources through partnership with community service providers (i.e. food banks, health clinics, etc), digital media, and outreach to local charity organizations. Beyond increasing awareness, the CoC is working to improve the facilitation of P/D referrals and networking between agencies. 3. Our CoCs's Performance Committee oversees our strategies for reducing returns to homelessness, reviews data and monitors training and policy implementation.

2C-5.	Increasing Employment Cash Income–CoC's Strategy.	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.f.	

	In the field below:
1.	describe your CoC's strategy to access employment cash sources;
2.	describe how your CoC works with mainstream employment organizations to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness increase their employment cash income; and
3.	provide the organization name or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to increase income from employment.

(limit 2,500 characters)

EARNED INCOME AMONG ADULTS IN COC PROJECTS: 2021 - 6%, 2022 - 5% –
1.STRATEGY TO INCREASE EMPLOYMENT INCOME: The MO BoS CoC's strategies to access employment cash sources include developing partnerships with statewide resources to provide job training, placement, and additional assistance for clients seeking employment; providing training to CoC staff in order to address challenges clients when seeking employment; and creating a directory of
resources for clients seeking assistance in finding employment. The CoC quarterly-performance review also reports to agencies on quarterly progress of Client income increases. The need to improve system data is closely related to this measure. We have identified a low rate of exit interviews for clients exiting CoC projects and ongoing stuff turnover may negatively affect data quality. Over 50% of current HMIS users are new users with one year or less experience. Income changes appear very sensitive to data quality, which has been a consistent goal of the CoC. 2.WORKS WITH MAINSTREAM EMPLOYMENT AGENCIES: We work with mainstream employment organizations, municipalities, WIOA-funded employment programs, and business associations to publicize employment opportunities and encourage projects to connect participants with job readiness, skills training, and educational programs. The CoC has multiple Community Action agencies within our membership body that host job fairs and provide employment assistance and our funded organizations often coordinate with state employment programs, known as Job Centers, to help participants locate jobs and gain new skill sets. Our CoC partners with the Workforce Development Board of Western Missouri and the Missouri Department of Mental Health, the State Lead for SOAR training, and other job resource agencies to develop training and add to our growing directory of client resources. 3.RESPONSIBLE PARTY: Performance Committee - monitor CoC progress and make recommendations for training and agency recruitment

2C-5a.		Increasing Non-employment Cash Income–CoC's Strategy	
		NOFO Section V.B.5.f.	

		In the field below:
	1.	describe your CoC's strategy to access non-employment cash income; and
	2.	provide the organization name or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to increase non-employment cash income.

(limit 2,500 characters)

NON EMPLOYMENT INCOME AMONG ADULTS IN COC PROJECTS: 2021 - 24%, 2021 - 17% – 1.COC STRATEGY TO INCREASE NON-EMPLOYMENT CASH INCOME: The MO BoS CoC's strategies for increasing non-cash income consist of identifying SOAR-trained agencies, increase participants' access to organizations with SOAR trained staff, providing resources to agencies that seek SOAR training, ensuring agency staff are knowledgeable on assisting clients with mainstream benefits to improve client stability while housed. We work to improve partnerships with state and federal non-employment cash income sources like TANF, Veteran Service Organizations, and Veterans Benefits Administration to ensure all Veterans are being connected with additional services and resources as well. Our CoC also reviews non-employment cash income as part of our quarterly performance reviews, providing feedback and performance-improvement-policies to agencies so they can improve training and system priorities. Participants' housing plans, including non-employment income, are discussed during monthly Case Conferencing meetings and applicable training related to increasing access to non-employment cash sources are provided to CoC member agencies. The need to improve system data is closely related to this measure. We have identified a low rate of exit interviews for clients exiting CoC projects and ongoing staff turnover may negatively affect data quality. Over 50% of current HMIS users are new users with one year or less experience. Income changes appear very sensitive to data quality, which has been a consistent goal of the CoC. 2.RESPONSIBLE PARTY: the Collaborative Applicant will coordinate efforts and facilitate trainings for CoC members.

3A. Coordination with Housing and Healthcare

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2023 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
  - 24 CFR part 578;
    - FY 2023 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
  - Section 3 Resources;
  - PHA Crosswalk; and
   - Frequently Asked Questions

3A-1.	New PH-PSH/PH-RRH Project–Leveraging Housing Resources.	
	NOFO Section V.B.6.a.	
	You must upload the Housing Leveraging Commitment attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Is your CoC applying for a new PH-PSH or PH-RRH project that uses housing subsidies or subsidized housing units which are not funded through the CoC or ESG Programs to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness?	No

3A-2.	New PH-PSH/PH-RRH Project–Leveraging Healthcare Resources.	
	NOFO Section V.B.6.b.	
	You must upload the Healthcare Formal Agreements attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Is your CoC applying for a new PH-PSH or PH-RRH project that uses healthcare resources to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness?	Yes

3A-3.	Leveraging Housing/Healthcare Resources–List of Projects.	
	NOFO Sections V.B.6.a. and V.B.6.b.	

	If you selected yes to questions 3A-1. or 3A-2., use the list feature icon to enter information about each project application you intend for HUD to evaluate to determine if they meet the criteria.	

Project Name	Project Type	Rank Number	Leverage Type
2023 SZI -Shelter...	PH-PSH	28	Healthcare

3A-3. List of Projects.

1. What is the name of the new project? 2023 SZI -Shelter Plus Care Expansion

2. Enter the Unique Entity Identifier (UEI): QLUAWH28TG83

3. Select the new project type: PH-PSH

4. Enter the rank number of the project on your CoC's Priority Listing: 28

5. Select the type of leverage: Healthcare

3B. New Projects With Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2023 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
  - 24 CFR part 578;
   - FY 2023 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
  - Section 3 Resources;
  - PHA Crosswalk; and
   - Frequently Asked Questions

3B-1.	Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs–New Projects.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.s.	

	Is your CoC requesting funding for any new project application requesting $200,000 or more in funding for housing rehabilitation or new construction?	No

3B-2.	Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs–New Projects.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.s.	

	If you answered yes to question 3B-1, describe in the field below actions CoC Program-funded project applicants will take to comply with:	
1.	Section 3 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 (12 U.S.C. 1701u); and	
2.	HUD's implementing rules at 24 CFR part 75 to provide employment and training opportunities for low- and very-low-income persons, as well as contracting and other economic opportunities for businesses that provide economic opportunities to low- and very-low-income persons.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

3C. Serving Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2023 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
  - 24 CFR part 578;
   - FY 2023 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
  - Section 3 Resources;
  - PHA Crosswalk; and
   - Frequently Asked Questions

3C-1.	Designating SSO/TH/Joint TH and PH-RRH Component Projects to Serving Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes.	
	NOFO Section V.F.	

	Is your CoC requesting to designate one or more of its SSO, TH, or Joint TH and PH-RRH component projects to serve families with children or youth experiencing homelessness as defined by other Federal statutes?	No

3C-2.	Serving Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes.	
	NOFO Section V.F.	

	You must upload the Project List for Other Federal Statutes attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.
	If you answered yes to question 3C-1, describe in the field below:
1.	how serving this population is of equal or greater priority, which means that it is equally or more cost effective in meeting the overall goals and objectives of the plan submitted under Section 427(b)(1)(B) of the Act, especially with respect to children and unaccompanied youth than serving the homeless as defined in paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of the definition of homeless in 24 CFR 578.3; and
2.	how your CoC will meet requirements described in Section 427(b)(1)(F) of the Act.

(limit 2,500 characters)

4A. DV Bonus Project Applicants for New DV Bonus Funding

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2023 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
  - 24 CFR part 578;
  - FY 2023 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
  - Section 3 Resources;
  - PHA Crosswalk; and
  - Frequently Asked Questions

4A-1.	New DV Bonus Project Applications.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.l.	

	Did your CoC submit one or more new project applications for DV Bonus Funding?	Yes

4A-1a.	DV Bonus Project Types.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.l.	

	Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate the type(s) of new DV Bonus project(s) your CoC included in its FY 2023 Priority Listing.	

	Project Type	
1.	SSO Coordinated Entry	No
2.	PH-RRH or Joint TH and PH-RRH Component	Yes

You must click "Save" after selecting Yes for element 1 SSO Coordinated Entry to view questions 4A-2, 4A-2a. and 4A-2b.

4A-3.	Assessing Need for New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects in Your CoC's Geographic Area.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.l.(1)(c)	

1.	Enter the number of survivors that need housing or services:	4,784
2.	Enter the number of survivors your CoC is currently serving:	2,722
3.	Unmet Need:	2,062

| 4A-3a. | How Your CoC Calculated Local Need for New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects. | |
| | NOFO Section I.B.3.I.(1)(c) | |

	Describe in the field below:	
1.	how your CoC calculated the number of DV survivors needing housing or services in question 4A-3 element 1 and element 2; and	
2.	the data source (e.g., comparable databases, other administrative data, external data source, HMIS for non-DV projects); or	
3.	if your CoC is unable to meet the needs of all survivors please explain in your response all barriers to meeting those needs.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. Data was provided by Missouri Coalation against Domestic and Sexual Violence. (MOCADSV). Element 1 is comprised of the number of persons who received any residential services data Victim Service Provider (VSP) in our coverage area. Direct staff collaboration provided that number and filtered it from state reports to represent our CoC coverage area. This number is the best representation of persons who experienced a housing crisis. MOCADSV reported a total number of 10,053 requests that were unmet by VSP providers in our CoC. That number is likely to include a large amount of duplicate persons as it counts each request that is turned away, but it does support the assessment of a significant unmet need. Element 2 is the total number of persons reported to have been provided any housing services, including RRH, Rental Assistance, or other housing supports. This data set includes housing solutions from CoC funded projects as well as ESG and Trust Fund. Close collaboration with VSPs in our community has meant that this number is a good representation of services that operate within CoC services. 2. This data is provided by the State Coalition for DV and SV. They are utilizing federal reporting expectations for VSP agencies and sharing that data directly with Collaborative Applicant Staff. 3. The CoC recognizes there is a large unmet need. There are two barriers that we've identified, one is sufficient resources in all communities, and the other is consistent access to CES for prioritization. Many communities do not have resources after shelter services and thus clients are not being provided with any housing solutions. Many smaller shelters in our more rural communities do not have the capacity to provide consistent and meaningful access to CES. Our CoC is currently implementing a CES-SSO project to provide expanded CES access. We are also partnering with MOCADSV to support new agencies developing CoC project submissions and creating new housing for persons who are fleeing DV and SV.

| 4A-3b. | Information About Unique Project Applicants and Their Experience in Housing Placement and Housing Retention for Applicants Requesting New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects. | |
| | NOFO Section I.B.3.I.(1) | |

| | Use the list feature icon to enter information on each unique project applicant applying for New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus projects–only enter project applicant information once, regardless of how many DV Bonus projects that applicant is applying for. | |

Applicant Name
The Kansas City M...

Project Applicants Applying for New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH DV Bonus Projects

4A-3b.	Information About Unique Project Applicants and Their Experience in Housing Placement and Housing Retention for Applicants Requesting New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.e.(1)(d)	

Enter information in the chart below on the project applicant applying for one or more New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects included on your CoC's FY 2023 Priority Listing for New Projects:

1.	Applicant Name	The Kansas City Metropolitan Lutheran Ministry
2.	Project Name	MLM BoS CoC RRH Expansion - DV
3.	Project Rank on the Priority Listing	30
4.	Unique Entity Identifier (UEI)	G57KZNGXMNF3
5.	Amount Requested	$348,750
6.	Rate of Housing Placement of DV Survivors–Percentage	100%
7.	Rate of Housing Retention of DV Survivors–Percentage	100%

4A-3b.1.	Applicant Experience in Housing Placement and Retention for Applicants Requesting New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.l.(1)(d)	

For the rate of housing placement and rate of housing retention of DV survivors reported in question 4B-3b., describe in the field below:	
1.	how the project applicant calculated both rates;
2.	whether the rates accounts for exits to safe housing destinations; and
3.	the data source (e.g., comparable databases, other administrative data, external data source, HMIS for non-DV projects).

(limit 1,500 characters)

1. MLM calculated its rates as follows: Housing Placement: MLM receives its RRH referrals from the CE System. From July 2022-June 2023, MLM received CE referrals for 8 households identifying as Category 4, actively fleeing; 100% were enrolled in the project. 100% of the DV households obtained permanent housing. Housing Retention: MLM measures retention based on maintaining housing and positive exit destinations. 0 DV households have exited the project, but 100% have maintained and retained housing while actively participating in the RRH program.
2. Yes. MLM's Housing Retention calculation accounts for exits to positive housing destinations, including safety.
3. MLM calculated these rates using data from an Annual Performance Report generated in HMIS, WellSky.

4A-3c.	Applicant Experience in Providing Housing to DV Survivor for Applicants Requesting New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.I.(1)(d)	

		Describe in the field below how the project applicant:
	1.	ensured DV survivors experiencing homelessness were quickly moved into safe affordable housing;
	2.	prioritized survivors–you must address the process the project applicant used, e.g., Coordinated Entry, prioritization list, CoC's emergency transfer plan, etc.;
	3.	determined which supportive services survivors needed;
	4.	connected survivors to supportive services; and
	5.	moved clients from assisted housing to housing they could sustain–address housing stability after the housing subsidy ends.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. Utilizing a low-barrier, trauma-informed approach, MLM staff quickly connect with the referred survivor to first evaluate if the household is in a safe place, and to subsequently place them in safe, temporary housing while the housing search begins. MLM maintains a robust network of landlord partners, and immediately begins working with the survivor to identify potential permanent rental housing of their choosing utilizing this network. MLM recognizes safety and choice, and assists the survivor to locate housing that will meet their individual needs, including outside of the geographic boundaries of the CoC if necessary.
2. All referrals for DV specific RRH units will be taken and accepted directly from the CoC's Coordinated Entry System (CES). When necessary and requested, this project will accept emergency transfers via the approved transfer policy of the CoC.
3. MLM offers all CoC eligible supportive services to survivor participants of its RRH program, either in-house, directly through MLM staff, or through identified community partners. Each household served is assessed to identify and self-identify potential service needs; services are offered to each household on a voluntary, opt-in basis.
4. Survivors choosing to opt into services are immediately connected to MLM staff for internal services, and to community partners for external services. Whenever possible, survivors are provided a warm handoff to external service partners, ensuring access to services does not introduce trauma.
5. MLM offers up to 6 months of CoC funded case management and supportive services to each household after rental assistance has ended, to ensure the household maintains housing stability. Should the household require additional rental assistance within the 6 month service time frame, they are re-entered into the rental assistance portion of the project. Additional non-CoC funded supportive services, including access to food pantry, financial and employment coaching, and basic emergent needs assistance are not time limited, and are offered to the household during RRH program enrollment, and post program completion, as needed.

4A-3d.	Applicant Experience in Ensuring DV Survivor Safety for Applicants Requesting New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.I.(1)(d)	

	Describe in the field below examples of how the project applicant ensured the safety and confidentiality of DV survivors experiencing homelessness by:
1.	taking steps to ensure privacy/confidentiality during the intake and interview process to minimize potential coercion of survivors;
2.	making determinations and placements into safe housing;
3.	keeping information and locations confidential;
4.	training staff on safety and confidentially policies and practices; and
5.	taking security measures for units (congregate or scattered site), that support survivors' physical safety and location confidentiality.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. Confidentiality and safety are of utmost concern; MLM offers private meeting/office spaces at multiple locations, to ensure the privacy, safety, & confidentiality of each survivor during the intake and interview process. MLM staff also offer flexibility in meeting location, should the survivor have a safety concern meeting within agency offices, including meeting in public places such as the library, the home of a family member, or even a food establishment.
2. MLM maintains a robust network of landlord partners within and outside of the geographic boundaries of the CoC, ensuring survivors have adequate choices and connections to safe, stable, permanent housing of their choosing. Staff encourage survivors to make thoughtful decisions regarding where they choose to live, helping them to evaluate type and location of housing in relation to the location of the person(s) they are fleeing. Safety planning is incorporated into all housing conversations and assessments.
3. All client level data is de-identified at the time of referral from CE, and client files are kept in locked files and offices. RRH units are all scattered site, with no congregate locations, chosen solely by the survivor.
4. MLM requires safety planning training for all staff at the time of hire and ongoing thereafter. The training curriculum includes methods of safety planning for: violent incidents; when planning to flee; safety at home; orders of protection; safety while at work or out in public; safety and alcohol and drugs; and safety and mental health. The training also covers intervals at which safety plans should be reviewed and updated. All staff are trained on confidentiality expectations and logistics, and all staff sign confidentiality agreements that are reviewed and updated annually. Training is provided through partner victim service provider agencies within and outside of the CoC.
5. MLM utilizes its wide network of partner landlords to offer identified rental housing options to survivors in scattered site locations; MLM staff also communicate with landlords to ensure safety concerns of the survivor are addressed prior to move-in. Survivors also may identify housing through a landlord not already identified by MLM. Safety planning is considered throughout the housing search and location process. All staff are trained on safety planning as well as confidentiality, and policies are reviewed regularly.

4A-3d.1.	Applicant Experience in Evaluating Their Ability to Ensure DV Survivor Safety for Applicants Requesting New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.I.(1)(d)	

	Describe in the field below how the project has evaluated its ability to ensure the safety of DV survivors the project served in the project, including any areas identified for improvement during the course of the proposed project.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

MLM has a commitment to continuous program evaluation and improvement across all facets of the agency. Staff perform monthly program level audits of qualitative and quantitative data, including client-level feedback, to assess program soundness and effectiveness, and implement changes or improvements on an ongoing basis. This commitment translates directly to the safety and security of survivor clients of the RRH program, ensuring fidelity to client choice and safety. MLM has identified, through pre and post program surveys and interviews with survivors, that the supportive services and landlord advocacy offered by MLM is effective and necessary to the long-term stability of the household. Survivors interviewed have indicated that an area for growth in services is increased access or connection to employers who have an understanding of the needs of survivors in the workplace. We have identified this as an opportunity for new service partnerships.

4A-3e.	Applicant Experience in Trauma-Informed, Victim-Centered Approaches for Applicants Requesting New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.I.(1)(d)	

	Describe in the field below examples of the project applicant's experience using trauma-informed, victim-centered approaches to meet needs of DV survivors by:
1.	prioritizing placement and stabilization in permanent housing consistent with the program participants' wishes and stated needs;
2.	establishing and maintaining an environment of agency and mutual respect, e.g., the project does not use punitive interventions, ensures program participant staff interactions are based on equality and minimize power differentials;
3.	providing program participants access to information on trauma, e.g., training staff on providing program participants with information on the effects of trauma;
4.	emphasizing program participants' strengths, e.g., strength-based coaching, questionnaires and assessment tools include strength-based measures, case plans worked towards survivor-defined goals and aspirations;
5.	centering on cultural responsiveness and inclusivity, e.g., training on equal access, cultural competence, nondiscrimination, language access, improving services to be culturally responsive, accessible, and trauma-informed;
6.	providing a variety of opportunities for connection for program participants, e.g., groups, mentorships, peer-to-peer, spiritual needs; and
7.	offering support for survivor parenting, e.g., trauma-informed parenting classes, childcare, connections to legal services.

(limit 5,000 characters)

1. Utilizing trauma informed, strengths based practices, MLM offers client-centered placement and stabilization services. MLM maintains fidelity to a low-barrier, Housing First model, allowing survivors to obtain safe, stable housing of their own choosing, while supported by agency staff.

2. All services offers by MLM are on a voluntary basis; survivors are not required to participate in services, and no punitive interventions or consequences are enacted should a household choose not to participate in services. MLM staff are trained in trauma informed care, and are certified Community Health Workers, ensuring mutual care and respect in all client interactions.

3. MLM is dedicated to trauma-informed practices and policies. Staff at all levels of the organization are trained in the Trauma-Informed Care practice and principles, which provides a conceptual framework for helping us align our thinking and culture with principles of trauma-informed care and recovery from trauma. Client education related to domestic violence and the impact and the effects of trauma is offered to all program participants.

4. MLM staff are trained in strengths based case management, utilizing the Community Health Worker model. Survivors are encouraged to self-identify personal and housing goal plans, to be implemented through staff led strengths based coaching and empowerment services.

5. MLM believes that housing is a basic human right, and endeavors to continually improve upon our commitment to being a culturally competent, accessible, and trauma informed agency, so that all survivors may access services and housing without discrimination or recrimination. Ongoing training is provide at all levels of the agency to ensure inclusivity and cultural responsiveness.

6. MLM offers a continuum of services and opportunities for program participants to engage both within the agency and through community partnerships. Internally, MLM offers employment and financial coaching, case management, basic emergent physical needs assistance, food pantry, medical and dental vouchers, furniture vouchers, life-skills classes, and mentoring. External partnerships include legal services, connections to faith based and spiritual services, counseling, and outpatient health services.

7. MLM offers a variety of supports for parenting survivors and their children, including financial assistance for legal services, connections to external legal partners, childcare assistance, life-skills parenting classes, advocacy and connections to McKinney-Vento education services.

4A-3f.	Applicant Experience in Meeting Service Needs of DV Survivors for Applicants Requesting New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.l.(1)(d)	

Describe in the field below examples of supportive services the project provided to domestic violence survivors while quickly moving them into permanent housing and addressing their safety needs.

(limit 5,000 characters)

Housing Search - Immediately upon referral, MLM housing case managers immediately begin providing housing search and navigation. We work directly with households to determine where they desire to live and obtain housing in that geographic location with safety planning in mind.

Basic needs assistance - most households have many needs beyond simply finding a unit to feel safe and comfortable. MLM provides household good such as furniture, beds, cleaning supplies, kitchen items, personal care items, and much more. We also provide food assistance in the form of food pantry items and grocery store gift cards. We can also provide vouchers for clothing and other needs, including birth certificates, ID, and medical/dental assistance.

Employment support - All program participants have priority access to MLM's Financial Opportunity Center programming where they can get assistance with job skills training, employment coaching, resume assistance, and job placement and recruitment assistance.

Mainstream benefits assistance - Our housing case managers help households apply for and receive all mainstream benefits for which the household is eligible including: Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP); Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF); Medicaid; Medicare (if applicable); State Children's Health Insurance; Affordable Connectivity Program (ACP); and Women, Infant, Children assistance (WIC).

Housing case management - Case management is essential for families to rapidly move to permanent housing. In accordance with Housing First, these services are not mandatory, but are continually offered to assist households with becoming and remaining stable.

Financial coaching - As a Financial Opportunity Center, MLM offers financial coaching to all participants to help them with household budgeting, credit building, and wealth and asset building.

Life-Skills: MLM staff offer a variety of life-skills training group classes, with topics including parenting, safety, basic home maintenance, landlord/tenant relations, and community living.

4A-3g.	Plan for Trauma-Informed, Victim-Centered Practices for New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.l.(1)(e)	

	Describe in the field below examples of how the new project(s) will:
1.	prioritize placement and stabilization in permanent housing consistent with the program participants' wishes and stated needs;
2.	establish and maintaining an environment of agency and mutual respect, e.g., the project does not use punitive interventions, ensures program participant staff interactions are based on equality and minimize power differentials;
3.	provide program participants access to information on trauma, e.g., training staff on providing program participants with information on the effects of trauma;
4.	emphasize program participants' strengths–for example, strength-based coaching, questionnaires and assessment tools include strength-based measures, case plans work towards survivor-defined goals and aspirations;

	5.	center on cultural responsiveness and inclusivity, e.g., training on equal access, cultural competence, nondiscrimination, language access, improving services to be culturally responsive, accessible, and trauma-informed;
	6.	provide a variety of opportunities for connection for program participants, e.g., groups, mentorships, peer-to-peer, spiritual needs; and
	7.	offer support for survivor parenting, e.g., trauma-informed parenting classes, childcare, connections to legal services.

(limit 5,000 characters)

1.MLM will offer a low-barrier, client centered approach to survivors, allowing them to choose permanent housing and services of their own volition. MLM will no place any preconditions to housing on clients, honoring their wishes and needs as they see fit.

2. All services offered by MLM will be on a voluntary basis; survivors are not required to participate in services, and no punitive interventions or consequences are enacted should a household choose not to participate in services. MLM staff are trained in trauma informed care, and are certified Community Health Workers, ensuring mutual care and respect in all client interactions.

3. MLM is dedicated to trauma-informed practices and policies. Staff at all levels of the organization are trained in the Trauma-Informed Care practice and principles, which provides a conceptual framework for helping us align our thinking and culture with principles of trauma-informed care and recovery from trauma. Client education related to domestic violence and the impact and the effects of trauma will be offered to all program participants.

4. MLM staff are trained in strengths based case management, utilizing the Community Health Worker model. Survivors will be encouraged to self-identify personal and housing goal plans, to be implemented through staff led strengths based coaching and empowerment services.

5. MLM believes that housing is a basic human right, and endeavors to continually improve upon our commitment to being a culturally competent, accessible, and trauma informed agency, so that all survivors may access services and housing without discrimination or recrimination. Ongoing training will be provided at all levels of the agency to ensure inclusivity and cultural responsiveness. Survivors will be surveyed during the program to inform positive practices surrounding responsiveness and inclusivity.

6.MLM will offer a continuum of services and opportunities for program participants to engage both within the agency and through community partnerships. Internally, MLM will offer employment and financial coaching, case management, basic emergent physical needs assistance, food pantry, medical and dental vouchers, furniture vouchers, life-skills classes, and mentoring. External referrals will include legal services, connections to faith based and spiritual services, counseling, and outpatient health services.

7. MLM will offer a variety of supports for parenting survivors and their children, including financial assistance for legal services, connections to external legal partners, childcare assistance, life-skills parenting classes, advocacy and connections to McKinney-Vento education services.

| | 4A-3h. | Involving Survivors in Policy and Program Development, Operations, and Evaluation of New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus Projects. | |
| | | NOFO Section I.B.3.I.(1)(f) | |

| | Describe in the field below how the new project will involve survivors: | |

1.	with a range of lived expertise; and
2.	in policy and program development throughout the project's operation.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. MLM includes the voice and guidance of persons with lived experience, including survivors, in all policy and practices. Persons with lived experience, including survivors, serve in elected positions on the agency board of directors, on staff, and in volunteer positions. Feedback and input is sought from leadership, volunteers, and most importantly, from the survivors served by the agency and program, to evaluate program effectiveness and scope on an ongoing basis. Survivors are encouraged to provide feedback throughout their participation in the program, and post exit, to inform positive change in programming, or enforce existing practices.
2. The voice of those with lived experience, including survivors is centered in the agency's leadership and development and implementation of agency and program policy. Survivors serve in both staff and elected board positions.

4B. Attachments Screen For All Application Questions

	We have provided the following guidance to help you successfully upload attachments and get maximum points:

	1.	You must include a Document Description for each attachment you upload; if you do not, the Submission Summary screen will display a red X indicating the submission is incomplete.
	2.	You must upload an attachment for each document listed where 'Required?' is 'Yes'.
	3.	We prefer that you use PDF files, though other file types are supported–please only use zip files if necessary. Converting electronic files to PDF, rather than printing documents and scanning them, often produces higher quality images. Many systems allow you to create PDF files as a Print option. If you are unfamiliar with this process, you should consult your IT Support or search for information on Google or YouTube.
	4.	Attachments must match the questions they are associated with.
	5.	Only upload documents responsive to the questions posed–including other material slows down the review process, which ultimately slows down the funding process.
	6.	If you cannot read the attachment, it is likely we cannot read it either.
		. We must be able to read the date and time on attachments requiring system-generated dates and times, (e.g., a screenshot displaying the time and date of the public posting using your desktop calendar; screenshot of a webpage that indicates date and time).
		. We must be able to read everything you want us to consider in any attachment.
	7.	After you upload each attachment, use the Download feature to access and check the attachment to ensure it matches the required Document Type and to ensure it contains all pages you intend to include.
	8.	Only use the "Other" attachment option to meet an attachment requirement that is not otherwise listed in these detailed instructions.

Document Type	Required?	Document Description	Date Attached
1C-7. PHA Homeless Preference	No		
1C-7. PHA Moving On Preference	No		
1D-11a. Letter Signed by Working Group	Yes	Letter Signed by ...	09/25/2023
1D-2a. Housing First Evaluation	Yes	Housing First Eva...	09/25/2023
1E-1. Web Posting of Local Competition Deadline	Yes	Web Posting of Lo...	09/25/2023
1E-2. Local Competition Scoring Tool	Yes	Local Competition...	09/25/2023
1E-2a. Scored Forms for One Project	Yes	Scored Form for O...	09/26/2023
1E-5. Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced	Yes	Notification of P...	09/26/2023
1E-5a. Notification of Projects Accepted	Yes	Notification of P...	09/26/2023
1E-5b. Local Competition Selection Results	Yes	Local Competition...	09/26/2023
1E-5c. Web Posting–CoC-Approved Consolidated Application	Yes	Web Posting of Co...	09/26/2023

1E-5d. Notification of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application	Yes	Notification of C...	09/26/2023
2A-6. HUD's Homeless Data Exchange (HDX) Competition Report	Yes	2023 HDX Competit...	09/27/2023
3A-1a. Housing Leveraging Commitments	No		
3A-2a. Healthcare Formal Agreements	No		
3C-2. Project List for Other Federal Statutes	No		
Other	No		

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description: Letter Signed by Working Group

Attachment Details

Document Description: Housing First Evaluation

Attachment Details

Document Description: Web Posting of Local Competition Deadline

Attachment Details

Document Description: Local Competition Scoring Tool

Attachment Details

Document Description: Scored Form for One Project

Attachment Details

Document Description: Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced

Attachment Details

Document Description: Notification of Projects Accepted

Attachment Details

Document Description: Local Competition Selection Results

Attachment Details

Document Description: Web Posting of CoC Approved Consolidated Application

Attachment Details

Document Description: Notification of CoC-Approved Consolidated
Application

Attachment Details

Document Description: 2023 HDX Competition Report

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Submission Summary

Ensure that the Project Priority List is complete prior to submitting.

Page	Last Updated
1A. CoC Identification	09/18/2023
1B. Inclusive Structure	09/26/2023
1C. Coordination and Engagement	09/26/2023
1D. Coordination and Engagement Cont'd	09/28/2023
1E. Project Review/Ranking	09/27/2023
2A. HMIS Implementation	09/27/2023
2B. Point-in-Time (PIT) Count	09/20/2023
2C. System Performance	09/20/2023
3A. Coordination with Housing and Healthcare	09/20/2023
3B. Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs	09/25/2023
3C. Serving Homeless Under Other Federal Statutes	09/19/2023

4A. DV Bonus Project Applicants	09/28/2023
4B. Attachments Screen	09/27/2023
Submission Summary	No Input Required

YAB Letter of Support

Missouri Balance of State
Continuum of Care

September 20th, 2023

Re: MO-606 NOFO

To whom it may concern,

As members of the Missouri Balance of State Continuum of Care - Youth Action Board, we are writing this letter in support of Community Partnership of Southeast Missouri's (CPSEMO) NOFO consolidated application on behalf of the Missouri Balance of State Continuum of Care (MO BoS CoC), of which CPSEMO serves as the designated Collaborative Applicant. CPSEMO, on behalf of the Continuum, is dedicated to the partnership with the Youth Action Board and is working to ensure the representation of the YAB in all actions of the CoC work.

The Youth Action Board of the MO BoS CoC is committed to work alongside CPSEMO to mutually support the successful creation and implementation of all programs, including youth programs such as YHDP, to prevent and end homelessness. The Youth Action Board works with other committees and leadership of the continuum of care to better serve youth and young adults experiencing homelessness.

The NOFO consolidated application is the framework of the organization which allows us to do our work more effectively.

This letter is signed by two Youth Action Board representatives on behalf of the entire YAB, which has 50 registered members as of September 20, 2023, 32 of whom have chosen to disclose lived experience of homelessness.

For any further questions about the YAB's involvement as a committee of folks with lived experience, please contact YouthPlan@moboscoc.org.

In Solidarity,

DocuSigned by:

Faith Sharp
9E2512A2C63D4A6...
YAB Representative

DocuSigned by:

E4205DCE0A664E7...
YAB Representative

2023 NOFO Project Renewal Scoring Guide and Checklist

This checklist and scorecard will be used for all Renewal Applications, except for the HMIS application.

First or second time renewals must meet threshold requirements and will receive full points for items that are not able to be scored. Items that cannot be scored include if there is not yet 12 months' worth of information.

Applicants with disabilities may contact the Lead Agency of the MO BoS CoC (Community Partnership of Southeast Missouri) by telephone, email, or mail to request and arrange accommodations. Requests for accommodations should be made as soon as possible to ensure adequate time to make accommodations before the application deadline. Please contact Lead Agency Team or the Collaborative Applicant office at

Lead Agency Team
support@moboscoc.org
Phone: (573)-651-3747
40 S Sprigg
Cape Girardeau, MO 63701

Checklist

Certifications and attachments should be completed via online form. This scoring guide is for renewal projects only. Contact support if you encounter any issues or need assistance.

Certifications- Should the CoC need to review any of the following, project applicants can produce certification, description or waivers if needed.

- Nonprofit documentation
- Description of organization financial management structure
- Unique Entity Identifier (UIE) Applicants must provide a valid UEI number, registered and active at https://www.sam.gov/SAM. in the application.
- HMIS Participation.
- Organization's board of directors includes at least one homeless or formerly homeless individual or a waiver for this regulatory requirement.
- Point-in-Time Count Participation
- CoC Planning and Operations Participation

Attachments- Project applicants must include the following attachments in application

- Grant Spending Timeliness & Unspent Grant Funds (documentation from eLOCCS)
- Coordinated Entry MOU with signatures from agency representative, CES lead and CoC representative.
- HUD Monitoring Visit: Certification that a HUD monitoring visit has not occurred within the previous two years; OR Certification that HUD monitoring occurred within the previous two year and the monitoring included no findings that resulted in sanctions or required corrective action; OR Description of the HUD monitoring findings that resulted in sanctions or required corrective action AND documentation indicating resolution of findings.
- Financial Audit: Certification that an independent financial audit has occurred within the past two years OR certification that no financial audit occurred during the past two years. When an audit has occurred, certification that the independent financial audit issued an unqualified or "clean" opinion in which the organization's financial statements and practices were prepared and conducted using Generally Accepted Accounting Principles. A description of the audit's

findings in instances when an unqualified opinion was not issued, including the auditor's report.
- Organization's Anti-discrimination Policy for clients receiving services, ensuring that all individuals and families receive supportive services, shelter and housing free of discrimination. Policies should address both BIPOC and LGBTQ+ individuals.
- Organization's Conflict of Interest policy for internal leadership.
- Housing First Assessment Tool, required for all renewals except for HMIS.
- Organizations that use a comparable database must submit a PDF APR for the most recent Federal Fiscal Year (10/1 - 9/30).
- Complete PDF export of ESNAPS Project. (Agencies are not recommended to submit to HUD prior to project review. PDF export alone is used for review purposes.)

Data Collected through other resources:
- Organizations will have performance data reported from HMIS for the last Federal Fiscal Year (10/1 - 9/30).
- Project Narrative scores will refer to Section 3B of the project ESNAPS pdf export.
- Documentation of Minimum Match with exact value of match written in dollar amount. Should be be included in ESNAPS pdf export.
- HMIS Lead Agency may verify HMIS usage and Comparable Database usage and submit that to the panel for review.

Other scoring items will be submitted via response to the online form. Agencies that are not able to use the online form can review a pdf version of the form and submit relevant items via email to CollaborativeApplicant@moboscoc.org.

MAX POINTS	SCORING SECTIONS
HUD Standards	
Pass/Fail	Board of Directors – Lived Experience of Homelessness
Mo BoS CoC Participation	
10	Participation in Coordinated Entry
Pass/Fail	Participation in HMIS or Comparable Database
Pass/Fail	CoC Meeting Attendance
5	Point in Time Count (PIT) Participation
5	CoC Planning and Operations Activities
Descriptions	
3	Outreach Efforts
3	Equity
Attachments	
Pass/Fail	ESNAPS PDF export
10	Grant Spending Timeliness
20	Unspent Grant Funds
Pass/Fail	HUD Monitoring Visit
Pass/Fail	Financial Audit
5	Anti-discrimination and Conflict of Interest Policies
10	Housing First Assessment
Embedded in ESNAPS Export	
Pass/Fail	HUD Eligibility and Threshold Requirements
Pass/Fail	Documentation of Minimum Match
10	Project Narrative Description: Clarity
10	Project Narrative Description: Completeness
Performance Scoring	
30	CoC Performance Review: Performance Improvement
121	**TOTAL SCORE**

HUD Standards	
Organization's board of directors includes at least one homeless or formerly homeless individual. Material for Review: - Certification of representation of persons with lived experience of homelessness on applicant's board of directors or equivalent decision-making entity. - Attachment of waiver or exemption from regulatory requirement, if applicable. Criteria for Rank and Review Committee to consider: - The CoC Program Interim Rule (24 CFR Part 578) requires all recipients and subrecipients to provide for the participation of one homeless or formerly homeless individual on the board of directors or other equivalent policy making entity, to the extent that each entity considers and makes policies and decisions regarding any project, supportive services, or assistance provided with CoC Program funding. - Certification response.	**PASS** – Provides certification of at least one homeless or formerly homeless individual on the organization's board of directors or equivalent entity or provides a waiver. **PASS WITH FINDINGS** – Provides information causing concern that the organization does not include at least one homeless or formerly homeless individual on the organization's board of directors or equivalent entity **FAIL** – Provides information that confirms the organization does not include at least one homeless or formerly homeless individual on the organization's board of directors or equivalent entity.

Mo BoS CoC Participation	
Participation in Coordinated Entry and HMIS Material for Review: - Certification that the project will use the coordinated entry system established by the Mo BoS CoC and will follow all coordinated entry policies, procedures, and written standards established by the Mo BoS CoC. - Description of any reasons the project will not use the coordinated entry system. Criteria for Rank and Review Committee to consider: - Certification of the use of the coordinated entry system. - Mo BoS CoC compliance documentation for coordinated entry and HMIS/comparable database.	**10 -** Applicant is an access point, **and** accepts referrals from the Prioritization List, **and** holds a leadership role in Coordinated Entry (either regionally [primary/secondary] or CoC wide) **5 -** Applicant is an access point, **or** accepts referrals from the Prioritization List, **or** holds a leadership role in Coordinated Entry (either regionally [primary/secondary] or CoC wide) **0 -** Applicant is not an access point, does not accept referrals from the Prioritization list, and is not in leadership for Coordinated Entry. **Project fails eligibility** – Applicant organization does not plan to participate in the Mo BoS CoC coordinated entry system.
Participation in HMIS or Comparable Database Material for Review: - Certification that the organization currently participates in Mo BoS CoC HMIS or, for victim services providers, a comparable database; or - Description of any reasons the project will not use the HMIS or comparable database. Criteria for Rank and Review Committee to consider: - Certification of current use of the Mo BoS CoC HMIS or comparable database. - Certification of intent to use the Mo BoS CoC HMIS or comparable database.	**PASS** – Project certified participation in the HMIS established by the Mo BoS CoC or a comparable database. **PASS WITH FINDINGS** – Project provided written certification of intent to use the HMIS or comparable database, but project has history of HMIS noncompliance as documented by the Mo BoS CoC. **FAIL** – Project did not provide written certification of intent to use the coordinated entry system or HMIS/comparable database.

CoC Meeting Attendance	
CoC Meeting Attendance Material for Review: - Agency certification of attendance. - CoC meeting records. Criteria for Rank and Review Committee to consider: - Attendance at the two meetings of the full Mo BoS CoC membership directly prior to submission of the project application as reflected by CoC attendance records.	**PASS** – Organization staff attended both meetings of the full Mo BoS CoC membership. **PASS WITH FINDINGS** – Organization staff attended only one meeting of the full Mo BoS CoC membership. **FAIL** – Organization staff did not attend either meeting of the full Mo BoS CoC membership.
Point-in-Time (PIT) Count Participation Material for Review: - Certification of participation in the most recent Mo BoS CoC PIT Count. - Description of PIT Count role. Criteria for Rank and Review Committee to consider: - Degree of participation in the PIT Count.	**5 Points** – Organization staff participated as a leader of one or more counties or in an equivalent leadership and planning role. **2.5 Points** – Organization staff participated in a planning or leadership role other than as a county leader OR participated as a volunteer on the day of the count. **0 Points** – Organization staff did not participate in the PIT Count.
CoC Planning and Operations Activities Material for Review: - Certification of participation in one or more Mo BoS CoC board, committee, workgroup, regional meeting, or other entity. - Description of role and activities in relevant committee(s), workgroup(s), or meetings, including tasks or projects to which organization staff contributed directly. Criteria for Rank and Review Committee to consider: - Leadership positions and degree of participation in Mo BoS CoC committees or workgroups.	**5 Points** – Organization staff held a leadership role (e.g. chair, co-chair/vice chair, secretary, regional lead) in one or more committee, workgroup, or region. **2.5 Points** – Organization staff participated in the majority of meetings of one or more committee or workgroup. **0 Points** – Organization staff did not participate in the majority of meetings for any committees or workgroups. Participation in regional meetings as a regular member will not be considered for scoring purposes. Regional meetings often occur for coordinated entry purposes, and coordinated entry participation is a requirement of the CoC Program.

Attachments	
ESNAPS PDF Export Material for Review: - Completed ESNAPS pdf export - If ESNAPS is not functional the agency must submit documentation that contains the project description, complete budget with details, and households and populations served by the project.	**Pass/Fail** Did the agency submit a complete ESNAPS PDF export for review, or submit relevant documents as requested in the event that ESNAPS is not operating correctly for project entry?
Grant Spending Timeliness Material for Review: - Documentation from eLOCCS showing the past 12 months of grant draw downs, including dates of grant draws. - Evidence demonstrating timely grant draws were not possible due to Department of Housing and Urban Development delays. Criteria for Rank and Review Committee to consider: - Frequency of project grant draws.	**10 Points** – Project made grant draws at least once per quarter. **0 Points** – Project did not make at least once per quarter.

Unspent Grant Funds
 	
Material for Review:
 	
- Documentation from eLOCCS for the last three completed grant operating years, including:
  - Total grant award; and
  - Total grant amount spent.
- Written statements as part of an active CoC Corrective Action Plan or other document of the project's efforts to reduce unspent grant funding.
 	
Criteria for Rank and Review Committee to consider:
 	
- Project yearly percent and total deobligation amounts.
- Project efforts to reduce unspent grant funding.
	20 Points – Project unspent funds between 0% and 3% of funding.
	
	16 Points – Project unspent funds between 3% 5% of funding OR project reallocated at least 5% of total funding.
	
	12 Points – Project unspent funds between 5% and 7.5% of funding.
	
	8 Points – Project unspent funds between 7.5% and 10% of funding.
	
	4 Points – Project unspent funds between 10% and 15% of funding.
	
	0 Points – Project unspent funds more than 15% of funding.
	
	Projects without three completed grant years will not be scored on this measure, and these projects will be scored on a scale of 90. For example, a project receiving 72 of 90 points will receive a score of 88 (72 ÷ 90 × 110 = 88).

HUD Monitoring Visit	**PASS** – Project did not receive a monitoring visit in the prior two years or received a monitoring visit in the prior two years that did not result in any findings or the findings were resolved in compliance with HUD requirements.
Material for Review: - Certification that a HUD monitoring visit has not occurred within the previous two years; OR - Certification that HUD monitoring occurred within the previous two year and the monitoring included no findings that resulted in sanctions or required corrective action; OR - Description of the HUD monitoring findings that resulted in sanctions or required corrective action AND documentation indicating resolution of findings. Criteria for Rank and Review Committee to consider: - No monitoring or findings - Findings that were resolved. - Findings that were not resolved within timeframe established by the HUD monitoring letter. - Organization does not provide documentation of resolution through official HUD letter or other notice. - Project did not provide complete attachments.	**PASS WITH FINDINGS** – Project received findings through a HUD monitoring visit in the prior two years and organization states findings were resolved but provides no documentation of resolution from HUD. **FAIL** – Project received findings through a HUD monitoring visit in the prior two years but provides no documentation of resolution.
Financial Audit	**PASS** – No concerns about project or organizational solvency or capacity.
Material for Review: - Certification that an independent financial audit has occurred within the past two years OR certification that no financial audit occurred during the past two years. - When an audit has occurred, certification that the independent financial audit issued an unqualified or "clean" opinion in which the organization's financial statements and practices were prepared and conducted using Generally Accepted Accounting Principles. - A description of the audit's findings in instances when an unqualified opinion was not issued, including the auditor's report. Criteria for Rank and Review Committee to consider: - Opinion of the independent auditor. - Content of the independent auditor's report. - Audit findings for which a response is overdue or unsatisfactory.	**PASS W/ FINDINGS** – Information provided causes concern that the project lacks capacity to financially administer HUD funds and/or is not eligible for HUD funding. **FAIL** – Information provided confirms that project lacks capacity to financially administer HUD funds and/or is not eligible for HUD funding.

Anti Discrimination and Conflict of Interest Policies	**5 Points** – Policy completely addresses all required items.
Material for Review: - Organization's anti discrimination policy - Organization's Conflict of Interest Policy Criteria for Rank and Review Committee to consider: - If the policy ensures that BIPOC and LGBTQ+ individuals and families receive supportive services, shelter and housing free of discrimination - Conflict of Interest Policy ensures that the agency operates in an ethical and appropriate manner considering possible self interests.	**3 Points** – Policy addresses some of all required items. **0 Points** – Policy does not address any required items
Housing First Assessment	**Completeness of Tool: Up to 5 Points**
Material for Review: - Completed Housing First Assessment Tool. Criteria for Rank and Review Committee to consider: - Degree of tool completion including additional notes and responses to all questions and fields. - Tool score.	**5 Points** – Project selected a response for every item. **2.5 Points** – Project selected a response for some but not all items. **0 Points** – Project did not select a response for any item or did not attach tool. **Tool Score: (based off available points)** **5 Points** – 100% of points. **3.5 Points** – 50% of points or more. **2 Points** – 25% of points. or more **1 Point** – 10% of points or more. **0 Points** – less than 10% of available points.

Descriptions	
Equity Criteria for meeting expectations: - Answers all parts of the question - Identification of disparities - Methods of improving racial equity	**3 Points** – Description completely addresses all required items. **1 Points** – Description addresses some of all required items. **0 Points** – Description does not address any required items
Outreach Efforts Criteria for meeting expectations: - Answers all parts of the question - Frequency of street outreach - Methods of engagements of persons experiencing homelessness	**3 Points** – Description completely addresses all required items. **1 Points** – Description addresses some of all required items. **0 Points** – Description does not address any required items

Embedded in ENSAPS Export	

HUD Eligibility and Threshold Requirements
 	
HUD establishes eligibility threshold requirements for applicants and projects. Renewal projects may be considered as having met eligibility threshold requirements through the previously approved grant application unless information to the contrary is received.
 	
Material for Review:
 	
- Certification that organization and project meet HUD eligibility and threshold requirements.
 	
- Certification of Annual Performance Report (APR) for most recent completed grant operating year including project operating start and end dates and APR submission date.
 	
- DUNS Number and certification that the number is active.
 	
- Certification of active registration in the System for Award Management (SAM).
 	
Criteria for Rank and Review Committee to consider:
 	
- Information that may indicate the project is not eligible or does not meet threshold requirements, including but not limited to
 	
    o Information about any internal or external investigations or legal actions and outcomes.
 	
    o Change to organization status (e.g. 501(c)3 incorporation).
 	
    o Timeliness of Annual Performance Report submission.
 	
    o Registration status in SAM with UEI.
	PASS – Meets all criteria established in CoC Program NOFO.
	
	PASS WITH FINDINGS – Information provided that may affect project eligibility, including but not limited to applicant eligibility (e.g. 501(c)3 organizations and states or local governments), evidence of ongoing investigation, investigation results, failure to consistently draw down funding at least once per quarter, late Annual Performance Report submission, or SAM registration is not active.
	
	FAIL - Information provided confirms that project is not eligible for HUD funding.

Minimum Match Material for Review: - Certification of minimum match requirements. Criteria for Rank and Review Committee to consider: - Match certification. - Description of match source(s) and amount.	**PASS** – Project certified it meets minimum match requirements for all grant funds except leasing funds. **PASS WITH FINDINGS** – Project provided incorrect match certification or match description explains lack of match. **FAIL** – Project did not provide certification or description does not explain lack of match.

Project Description Narrative – Clarity and Consistency
 	
Criteria for meeting expectations:
 	
- Description matches other details in project application, including:
  - o Budget;
  - o Project Type;
  - o Housing First Assessment Tool.
- Rationale for funding and service design explain program strengths.
- Use of current data (e.g. PIT Count, system performance measures) for community need.
- Project outcomes are measurable.
- Clear explanation of all activities with specific details.
	5 to 10 Points – Project descriptions with good or exceptional clarity and consistency will be awarded up to 10 points. Exceptionally clear descriptions use direct, specific, and concise language.
	
	Up to 5 Points – Project descriptions with adequate clarity and consistency will be awarded up to 5 points. Adequately clear descriptions lack detail and specificity or may be overly repetitive.
	
	0 Points – Project descriptions that are confusing or incomprehensible may be awarded 0 points.

Project Description Narrative– Completeness Criteria addresses all required items. - Target populations to be served. - Plan for addressing the identified housing and supportive services needs. - Anticipated project outcomes. - Coordination with other organizations. - The reason CoC Program funding is required.	**10 Points** – Project description completely addresses all required items. **5 Points** – Project description addresses some of all required items. **0 Points** – Project description does not address any required items.

Performance Scoring

1. **Performance Improvement**

Renewal projects that were operating prior to the beginning of the reporting period are subject to performance scoring as described below. HMIS and, if determined by the Mo BoS CoC, Supportive Services Only – Coordinated Entry project types are exempted from performance scoring.

Victim Services Providers

Victim services providers that operate permanent supportive housing, rapid rehousing, transitional housing/rapid rehousing joint component project with CoC Program funds must use a comparable database to provide all data necessary to conduct the performance scoring process described below. Improving performance on the exits to permanent destinations, returns to homelessness, and increases to income performance measures is likely to improve safety for victim of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking. The data must be de-identified and provided no later than the project application deadline.

Reporting Period

The reporting period is the complete federal fiscal year (October 1 to September 30) directly prior to scoring.

Scoring

For each scoring measure, individual project performance will be compared only against the average performance for the same project type, known as the project-type average. For example, permanent supportive housing projects will only be compared against the average score for all other permanent supportive housing projects. Safe Haven projects will be included in the project-type average for rapid rehousing projects. Except for the *Cost Per Successful Outcome* measure, the project-type average will include all projects for which data is available and will not be limited to only CoC-funded projects.

Scoring measures for each project and for the project-type average will be rounded to the nearest whole number. For example, 89.5% on the Housing Stability measure would be rounded to 90%.

For each scoring measure, projects performing at or better than the project-type average will receive the maximum score. Projects will lose 10% of the maximum score for each percentage point they fall short of the project-type average. The score is represented by the equation below.

$(1 - (|Project\ Type\ Average - Individual\ Project\ Measurement| \times 0.1)) \times Maximum\ Score = Individual\ Proje$
Depending on the scoring measure, increasing or decreasing the measurement is desirable. For example, *higher* rates of exits to permanent housing are desired while *lower* rates of returns to homelessness are desired. The desired measurement direction is represented by an arrow next to each scoring measure's name.

Example 1:
Project Example Measure: 88% ↑
Example Measure Project-Type Average: 93%
Example Measure Maximum Score: 5
Calculation: $(1 - (|93 - 88| \times 0.1)) \times 5 = 2.5$
Project Example Measure Score = 2.5

Example 2:
Project Example Measure: 17% ↓
Example Measure Project-Type Average: 14%
Example Measure Maximum Score: 2.5
Calculation: $(1 - (|14 - 17| \times 0.1)) \times 2.5 = 1.75$
Project Example Measure Score = 1.75

Cost Per Successful Outcome

The *Cost Per Successful Outcome* scoring measure will compare renewal projects only against other CoC-funded projects rather than projects from all funding sources. Match funding is excluded. Scores will be based on the average cost per successful outcome for all projects, regardless of project type. Projects with costs per successful outcome that are
- More than 25% lower than the average will receive the maximum score;
- 0% to 25% lower than the average will receive 75% of the maximum score;
- 1% to 25% higher than the average will receive 50% of the maximum score;
- 26% to 50% higher than the average will receive 25% of the maximum score;
- More than 50% higher than the average will receive no points.

Performance Improvement – Permanent Supportive Housing		
Scoring Measure	Description	Maximum Score
Permanent Housing Retention and Exits ↑	The percent of persons who remained in all PH projects except PH-RRH projects and exited to permanent housing destinations.	5
Returns to Homelessness ↓	The percent of persons who exited homelessness to permanent housing destinations and returned to	5

	homelessness within 24 months after their date of exit.	
Maintain or Increase Income – Stayers ↑	The percentage of adult participants who have been in HMIS for at least a year and are still in HMIS at the end of the reporting period who maintained or increased their income level over the program year.	2.5
Maintain or Increase Income – Leavers ↑	The percentage of adult participants who exited HMIS who maintained or increased their income level over the program year.	2.5
Bed Utilization ↑	The average number of people served during the reporting period divided by the total number of beds.	5
Cost Per Successful Outcome ↓	The number of people who remained in the project or exited to permanent housing destinations divided by the total award amount pro-rated by the applicable grant year(s).	5
Risk Score at Project Entry ↑	A score from 0 to 100 based on five risk factors: chronic homelessness, coming from a place not meant for human habitation, alcohol or drug use disorder, mental health disorder, and no income in past 30 days. Projects with higher scores served higher risk clients at time of project entry.	5
Performance Improvement – Rapid Rehousing and Safe Haven		
Scoring Measure	Description	Maximum Score
Exits to Permanent Destinations ↑	The percent of persons who exited to permanent housing destinations.	5
Returns to Homelessness ↓	The percent of persons who exited homelessness to permanent housing destinations and returned to homelessness within 24 months after their date of exit.	5
Maintain or Increase Income – Stayers ↑	The percentage of adult participants who have been in HMIS for at least a year and are still in HMIS at the end of the reporting period who maintained or increased their income level over the program year.	2.5
Maintain or Increase Income – Leavers ↑	The percentage of adult participants who exited HMIS who maintained or increased their income level over the program year.	2.5
Bed Utilization ↑	The average number of people served during the reporting period divided by the total number of beds.	5

Cost Per Successful Outcome ↓	The number of people exited to permanent housing destinations divided by the total award amount pro-rated by applicable grant year.	5
Risk Score at Project Entry ↑	A score from 0 to 100 based on five risk factors: chronic homelessness, coming from a place not meant for human habitation, alcohol or drug use disorder, mental health disorder, and no income in past 30 days. Projects with higher scores served higher risk clients at time of project entry.	5

2023 New Project Scoring Guide and Checklist

This checklist and scorecard will be used for all new project applications.

Applicants with disabilities may contact the Collaborative Applicant office by telephone, email, or mail to request and arrange accommodations. Requests for accommodations should be made as soon as possible to ensure adequate time to make accommodations before the application deadline. Please contact Lead Agency Team or the Collaborative Applicant office at

<div align="center">

Lead Agency Team
support@moboscoc.org
Phone: (573)-651-3747
40 S Sprigg
Cape Girardeau, MO 63701

</div>

Checklist

Certifications and attachments should be completed via online form. This scoring guide is for new projects only. Contact support@moboscoc.org if you encounter any issues or need assistance.

Certifications- Should the CoC need to review any of the following, project applicants can produce certification, description or waivers if needed.

- Nonprofit documentation
- Description of organization financial management structure
- Unique Entity Identifier (UIE) Applicants must provide a valid UEI number, registered and active at https://www.sam.gov/SAM. in the application.
- CES & HMIS Participation.
- Organization's board of directors includes at least one homeless or formerly homeless individual or a waiver for this regulatory requirement.
- Point-in-Time Count Participation
- CoC Planning and Operations Participation

Attachments- Project applicants must include the following attachments in application

- Coordinated Entry MOU with signatures from agency representative, CES lead and CoC representative.
- HUD Monitoring Visit: Certification that a HUD monitoring visit has not occurred within the previous two years; OR Certification that HUD monitoring occurred within the previous two year and the monitoring included no findings that resulted in sanctions or required corrective action; OR Description of the HUD monitoring findings that resulted in sanctions or required corrective action AND documentation indicating resolution of findings.
- Financial Audit: Certification that an independent financial audit has occurred within the past two years OR certification that no financial audit occurred during the past two years. When an audit has occurred, certification that the independent financial audit issued an unqualified or "clean" opinion in which the organization's financial statements and practices were prepared and conducted using Generally Accepted Accounting Principles. A description of the audit's findings in instances when an unqualified opinion was not issued, including the auditor's report.
- Applicable documentation by MOU, LOA or other contractual agreement between any Healthcare Provider or Housing Resource Provider

- Organization's Anti-discrimination Policy for clients receiving services, ensuring that all individuals and families receive supportive services, shelter and housing free of discrimination. Policies should address both BIPOC and LGBTQ+ individuals.
- Safety Plan for Victims of Domestic Violence
- Organization's Conflict of Interest policy for internal leadership.
- Housing First Assessment Tool, required for all renewals except for HMIS.
- Organizations that use a comparable database must submit a PDF APR for the most recent Federal Fiscal Year (10/1 - 9/30).
- Complete PDF export of ESNAPS Project. (Agencies are not recommended to submit to HUD prior to project review. PDF export alone is used for review purposes.)

Data Collected through other resources:
- Organizations will have performance data reported from HMIS for the last Federal Fiscal Year (10/1 - 9/30).
- Project Narrative scores will refer to Section 3B of the project ESNAPS pdf export.
- HMIS Lead Agency may verify HMIS usage and Comparable Database usage and submit that to the panel for review.

Other scoring items will be submitted via response to the online form. Agencies that are not able to use the online form can review a pdf version of the form and submit relevant items via email to CollaborativeApplicant@moboscoc.org.

MAX POINTS	SCORING SECTIONS
HUD Standards	
Pass/Fail	Board of Directors – Lived Experience of Homelessness
Mo BoS CoC Participation	
10	Participation in Coordinated Entry
10	Participation in HMIS or Comparable Database
10	CoC Meeting Attendance
5	Point in Time Count (PIT) Participation
5	CoC Planning and Operations Activities
Descriptions	
10	Experience of Applicant
3	Outreach Efforts
3	Equity
Attachments	
Pass/Fail	ESNAPS PDF Export
Pass/Fail	HUD Monitoring Visit
Pass/Fail	Financial Audit
10	Project Participation with a Healthcare Entity or Housing Resource provider
5	Anti Discrimination Policy & Conflict of Interest
5	DV Safety Plan
10	Housing First Assessment
Embedded in ESNAPS Export	
Pass/Fail	HUD Eligibility and Threshold Requirements
Pass/Fail	Documentation of Minimum Match
5	Cost Effectiveness
10	Performance Capacity: System Performance Measures
10	Project Narrative Description: Clarity
10	Project Narrative Description: Completeness
121	**TOTAL SCORE**

HUD Standards	
Organization's board of directors includes at least one homeless or formerly homeless individual.	**PASS** – Provides certification of at least one homeless or formerly homeless individual on the organization's board of directors or equivalent entity or provides a waiver.
Material for Review:	
- Certification of representation of persons with lived experience of homelessness on applicant's board of directors or equivalent decision-making entity.	**PASS WITH FINDINGS** – Provides information causing concern that the organization does not include at least one homeless or formerly homeless individual on the organization's board of directors or equivalent entity
- Attachment of waiver or exemption from regulatory requirement, if applicable.	
Criteria for Rank and Review Committee to consider:	**FAIL** – Provides information that confirms the organization does not include at least one homeless or formerly homeless individual on the organization's board of directors or equivalent entity.
- The CoC Program Interim Rule (24 CFR Part 578) requires all recipients and subrecipients to provide for the participation of one homeless or formerly homeless individual on the board of directors or other equivalent policy making entity, to the extent that each entity considers and makes policies and decisions regarding any project, supportive services, or assistance provided with CoC Program funding.	
- Certification response.	

Mo BoS CoC Participation	
Participation in Coordinated Entry and HMIS Material for Review: - Certification that the project will use the coordinated entry system established by the Mo BoS CoC and will follow all coordinated entry policies, procedures, and written standards established by the Mo BoS CoC. - Description of any reasons the project will not use the coordinated entry system. Criteria for Rank and Review Committee to consider: - Certification of use of the coordinated entry system. - Mo BoS CoC compliance documentation for coordinated entry and HMIS/comparable database.	**10 -** Applicant is an access point, **and** accepts referrals from the Prioritization List, **and** holds a leadership role in Coordinated Entry (either regionally [primary/secondary] or CoC wide) **5 -** Applicant is an access point, **or** accepts referrals from the Prioritization List, **or** holds a leadership role in Coordinated Entry (either regionally [primary/secondary] or CoC wide) **0 -** Applicant is not an access point, does not accept referrals from the Prioritization list, and is not in leadership for Coordinated Entry. **Project fails eligibility** – Applicant organization does not plan to participate in the Mo BoS CoC coordinated entry system.
Participation in HMIS or Comparable Database Material for Review: - Certification that the organization currently participates in MO BoS CoC HMIS or, for victim services providers, a comparable database; or - Description of any reasons the project will not use the HMIS or comparable database. Criteria for Rank and Review Committee to consider: - Certification of current use of the Mo BoS CoC HMIS or comparable database. - Certification of intent to use the Mo BoS CoC HMIS or comparable database.	**10** – Project certified participation in the HMIS established by the Mo BoS CoC or a comparable database. **5** – Project provided written certification of intent to use the HMIS or comparable database, but project has history of HMIS noncompliance as documented by the Mo BoS CoC. **0** – Project did not provide written certification of intent to use the coordinated entry system or HMIS/comparable database.
CoC Meeting Attendance Material for Review: - None. Criteria for Rank and Review Committee to consider: - Attendance at the two meetings of the full Mo BoS CoC membership directly prior to	**10** – Organization staff attended both meetings of the full Mo BoS CoC membership. **5** – Organization staff attended only one meeting of the full Mo BoS CoC membership.

Mo BoS CoC Participation	
submission of the project application as reflected by CoC attendance records.	**0** – Organization staff did not attend either meeting of the full Mo BoS CoC membership.
Point-in-Time (PIT) Count Participation Material for Review: - Certification of participation in the most recent Mo BoS CoC PIT Count. - Description of PIT Count role. Criteria for Rank and Review Committee to consider: - Degree of participation in the PIT Count.	**5 Points** – Organization staff participated as a leader of one or more counties or in an equivalent leadership and planning role. **2.5 Points** – Organization staff participated in a planning or leadership role other than as a county leader OR participated as a volunteer on the day of the count. **0 Points** – Organization staff did not participate in the PIT Count.
CoC Planning and Operations Activities Material for Review: - Certification of participation in one or more MO BoS CoC board, committee, workgroup, regional meeting, or other entity. - Description of role and activities in relevant committee(s), workgroup(s), or meetings, including tasks or projects to which organization staff contributed directly. Criteria for Rank and Review Committee to consider: - Leadership positions and degree of participation in Mo BoS CoC committees or workgroups.	**5 Points** – Organization staff held a leadership role (e.g. chair, co-chair/vice chair, secretary, regional lead) in one or more committee, workgroup, or region. **2.5 Points** – Organization staff participated in the majority of meetings of one or more committee or workgroup. **0 Points** – Organization staff did not participate in the majority of meetings for any committees or workgroups. Participation in regional meetings as a regular member will not be considered for scoring purposes. Regional meetings often occur for coordinated entry purposes, and coordinated entry participation is a requirement of the CoC Program.

Descriptions	
Experience of Applicant Describe the organization's experience: - working with and addressing the target population's housing and supportive services needs; - developing and implementing relevant program systems and services; - identifying and securing matching funds; - managing basic organization operations including financial accounting systems; - leveraging federal, state, local, and private sector funds.	**10 Points** – Organization has experience with all items. **5 Points** – Organization has experience with some but not all required items. **0 Points** – Organization does not have experience with any required items.
Outreach Efforts Criteria for meeting expectations: - Answers all parts of the question - Frequency of street outreach - Methods of engagements of persons experiencing homelessness	**3 Points** – Description completely addresses all required items. **1 Points** – Description addresses some of all required items. **0 Points** – Description does not address any required items
Equity Criteria for meeting expectations: - Answers all parts of the question - Identification of disparities - Methods of improving racial equity	**3 Points** – Description completely addresses all required items. **1 Points** – Description addresses some of all required items. **0 Points** – Description does not address any required items

Attachments	
ESNAPS PDF Export	**Pass/Fail**
Material for Review: - Completed ESNAPS pdf export - If ESNAPS is not functional the agency must submit documentation that contains the project description, complete budget with details, and households and populations served by the project.	Did the agency submit a complete ESNAPS PDF export for review, or submit relevant documents as requested in the event that ESNAPS is not operating correctly for project entry.
HUD Monitoring Visit Material for Review: - Certification that a HUD monitoring visit has not occurred within the previous two years; OR - Certification that HUD monitoring occurred within the previous two year and the monitoring included no findings that resulted in sanctions or required corrective action; OR - Description of the HUD monitoring findings that resulted in sanctions or required corrective action AND documentation indicating resolution of findings. Criteria for Rank and Review Committee to consider: - No monitoring or findings - Findings that were resolved. - Findings that were not resolved within timeframe established by the HUD monitoring letter. - Organization does not provide documentation of resolution through official HUD letter or other notice. - Project did not provide complete Material for Review.	**PASS** – Project did not receive a monitoring visit in the prior two years or received a monitoring visit in the prior two years that did not result in any findings or the findings were resolved in compliance with HUD requirements. **PASS WITH FINDINGS** – Project received findings through a HUD monitoring visit in the prior two years and organization states findings were resolved but provides no documentation of resolution from HUD. **FAIL** – Project received findings through a HUD monitoring visit in the prior two years but provides no documentation of resolution.
Financial Audit Material for Review: - Certification that an independent financial audit has occurred within the past two years OR certification that no financial audit occurred during the past two years. - When an audit has occurred, certification that the independent financial audit issued an unqualified or "clean" opinion in which the organization's financial statements and practices were prepared and conducted using Generally Accepted Accounting Principles.	**PASS** – No concerns about project or organizational solvency or capacity. **PASS W/ FINDINGS** – Information provided causes concern that the project lacks capacity to financially administer HUD funds and/or is not eligible for HUD funding. **FAIL** – Information provided confirms that project lacks capacity to financially administer HUD funds and/or is not eligible for HUD funding.

Attachments	
- A description of the audit's findings in instances when an unqualified opinion was not issued, including the auditor's report. Criteria for Rank and Review Committee to consider: - Opinion of the independent auditor. - Content of the independent auditor's report. - Audit findings for which a response is overdue or unsatisfactory.	
Project Participation with a Healthcare Entity and Housing Resource Provider Projects will describe how the project works with a Healthcare Entity to provide healthcare to participating clients. Explain how the Healthcare entity may: - Provide direct medical services to clients; or - Provide direct funding to access healthcare services; The agreement of the Healthcare entity must include the value of the services provided and the value should comprise of 25% of the project funding request. Project eligibility must follow HUD program and Fair Housing requirements and not be restricted by eligibility requirements of the health care service provider. Projects will describe how the project works to leverage housing subsidies. Leveraged funds should comprise of 25% of project funding request and may be provided by any of the following sources: - Private organizations; - State or local government, including through the use of HOME funding provided through the American Rescue Plan; - Public Housing Agencies, including through the use of a set aside or limited preference; - Faith-based organizations; or - Federal programs other than the CoC or ESG programs. Documentation for either partnership by MOU, LOA, or other contractual agreement must be included and detail values for support provided.	**10 points** – Organization is partnered with a healthcare entity to provide client healthcare services and a housing resource provider to provide housing support. Detailed values comprise 25% of project funding request for both partnerships **(5 points –** Organization is partnered with either a healthcare entity or a housing resource provider. Detailed values comprise 25% of project funding request for one partnership.) **0 Points** – Organization does not have a partnership with a participating healthcare entity or housing funding provider, or said partnerships do not meet comprise 25% of project funding request.

Attachments	
Housing First Tool Assessment Material for Review: - Completed Housing First Assessment Tool. Criteria for Rank and Review Committee to consider: - Degree of tool completion including additional notes and responses to all questions and fields. - Tool score.	**Completeness of Tool: Up to 5 Points** 5 Points – Project selected a response for every item. 2.5 Points – Project selected a response for some but not all items. 0 Points – Project did not select a response for any item or did not attach tool. Tool Score: (based off available points) 5 Points – 100% of points. 3.5 Points – 50% of points or more. 2 Points – 25% of points. or more 1 Point – 10% of points or more. 0 Points – less than 10% of available points.
Anti Discrimination and Conflict of Interest Policies Material for Review: - Organization's anti discrimination policy - Organization's Conflict of Interest Policy Criteria for Rank and Review Committee to consider: - If the policy ensures that BIPOC and LGBTQ+ individuals and families receive supportive services, shelter and housing free of discrimination - Conflict of Interest Policy ensures that the agency operates in an ethical and appropriate manner considering possible self interests.	**5 Points** – Policy completely addresses all required items. **3 Points** – Policy addresses some of all required items. **0 Points** – Policy does not address any required items
Safety Plan for Victims of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking. Attach: - Agency/project safety plan for survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking. Plans should include:	**5 Points** - Project description includes safety plan that addresses all applicable elements in project safety plan. **3 Points** – Project description includes safety plan that address some elements in project safety plan. **0 Points** – Project description does not address any required items or does not have a safety plan

Attachments	
- Information sharing policies that include de-identification for any client data that may be collected for Coordinated Entry efforts - Comparable database use for all programs where the target population is survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking. - Comparable database should include the ability to generate aggregate CAPER reports for the reporting of System Performance Measures within the data sharing policies for survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking.	

Embedded in ENSAPS Export	

HUD Eligibility and Threshold Requirements
 	
HUD establishes eligibility threshold requirements for applicants and projects. Renewal projects may be considered as having met eligibility threshold requirements through the previously approved grant application unless information to the contrary is received.
 	
Material for Review:
- Certification that organization and project meet HUD eligibility and threshold requirements.
- Certification Annual Performance Report (APR) for most recent completed grant operating year including project operating start and end dates and APR submission date.
- Certification of active registration in the System for Award Management (SAM).
Criteria for Rank and Review Committee to consider:
- Information that may indicate the project is not eligible or does not meet threshold requirements, including but not limited to
   o Information about any internal or external investigations or legal actions and outcomes.
   o Change to organization status (e.g. 501(c)3 incorporation).
   o Timeliness of Annual Performance Report submission.
   o Registration status in the SAM.
	PASS – Meets all criteria established in CoC Program NOFO.
	
	PASS WITH FINDINGS – Information provided that may affect project eligibility, including but not limited to applicant eligibility (e.g. 501(c)3 organizations and states or local governments), evidence of ongoing investigation, investigation results, failure to consistently draw down funding at least once per quarter, late Annual Performance Report submission, SAM registration is not active.
	
	FAIL - Information provided confirms that project is not eligible for HUD funding.

Minimum Match Material for Review: - Certification of minimum match requirements. Criteria for Rank and Review Committee to consider: - Match certification. - Description of match source(s) and amount.	**PASS** – Project certified it meets minimum match requirements for all grant funds except leasing funds. **PASS WITH FINDINGS** – Project provided incorrect match certification or match description explains lack of match. **FAIL** – Project did not provide certification or description does not explain lack of match.

Cost Effectiveness	5 points – Agency demonstrates cost effectiveness at more than 105% of average cost per room.
Using the following formula, assess the cost effectiveness of the project: $$\frac{(total\ CoC\ Request) \div (number\ of\ bedrooms\ served)}{(average\ cost\ per\ room\ among\ new\ projects)} \times 100$$ Project scores will be percentage values of average cost per room among new projects.	**3 Points** – Agency demonstrates projected cost effectiveness at 100% (±5%) of average cost per room. **0 Points** – Agency demonstrates projected cost effectiveness at less than 95% of average cost per room
Performance Capacity: System Performance Measures Attached: Report from HMIS, Comparable Database, or other SPM reporting database (e.g. CAPER, APR, etc.). If Agency has operated housing projects (from any funding source) describe the following System Performance Measures from those projects: - Percent returns to homelessness - Percent increase in all income - Percentage of successful exits to permanent housing For all agencies describe the following elements: - how participants will be assisted to obtain and remain in permanent housing (Successful exit to permanent housing); - how the project will help program participants obtain income (increase in employment and income); - how the supportive services provided will lead directly to program participants gaining employment, accessing SSI, SSDI, or other mainstream income streams (increase in employment and income); - how the requested CoC Program funds will contribute to program participants becoming more independent (e.g. accessing Medicare, Medicaid, early childhood education) (Client stability towards successful exit and reducing returns to homelessness); - how the project will ensure clients receive the appropriate support to retain stable housing (reducing returns to homelessness). An acceptable response will acknowledge the needs of the target population, include plans to address those needs through current and proposed case	**10 Points** – Project has a plan for addressing all elements and has demonstrated prior contributions to System Performance Measures by meeting at least two of the following goals: - at least 85% of participants have retained housing; - at least 25% of participants have increase income; or - at least 56% of clients have successful exits to permanent housing. **7 points** – Project has a plan for addressing all elements and has demonstrated prior contributions to at least one of the indicated goals above. **4 points** – Project has a plan for addressing all elements but does not have prior performance history to indicate performance capacity. **0 points** – Project has not adequately addressed all elements as listed

management activities, and describe the availability and accessibility of supportive services such as–housing search, primary health services, mental health services, educational services, employment services, life skills, child care services, etc. Example: A project that targets its housing and services to serving young parents might provide a specific service array of supportive services including parenting classes, education programing, and childcare services	

Project Description Narrative – Clarity and Consistency
 	
Criteria for meeting expectations:
- Description matches other details in project application, including:
   o Budget;
   o Project Type;
   o Housing First Assessment Tool.
- Rationale for funding and service design explain program strengths.
- Use of current data (e.g. PIT Count, system performance measures) for community need.
- Project outcomes are measurable.
- Clear explanation of all activities with specific details.
	5 to 10 Points – Project descriptions with good or exceptional clarity and consistency will be awarded up to 10 points. Exceptionally clear descriptions use direct, specific, and concise language.
	
	Up to 5 Points – Project descriptions with adequate clarity and consistency will be awarded up to 5 points. Adequately clear descriptions lack detail and specificity or may be overly repetitive.
	
	0 Points – Project descriptions that are confusing or incomprehensible may be awarded 0 points.

Project Description Narrative– Completeness Criteria addresses all required items. - Target populations to be served. - Plan for addressing the identified housing and supportive services needs. - Anticipated project outcomes. - Coordination with other organizations. - The reason CoC Program funding is required.	**10 Points** – Project description completely addresses all required items. **5 Points** – Project description addresses some of all required items. **0 Points** – Project description does not address any required items.

Dear Becky Poitras,

Thank you for your submission to the 2023 MO BoS CoC Annual NOFO. The Rank and Review Subcommittee has ranked the project submitted below:

Project Name:
MLM BoS CoC RRH

Review your individual scores below. If you would like to appeal or grievance, refer to our Appeals and Grievance Policy and Process. **Appeals must be submitted to the Lead Agency by 11:59 pm on Sept 6th 2023.**

Any "Fail" results must be resolved by appeal for projects to be considered for funding.

Review the Renewal Project Scorecard

SCORING SECTIONS	MAX POINTS	Rank and Review Scores
HUD Standards		
Board of Directors – Lived Experience of Homelessness	Pass/Fail	Pass
Mo BoS CoC Participation		
Participation in Coordinated Entry	10	10
Participation in HMIS or Comparable Database	Pass/Fail	Pass
CoC Meeting Attendance	Pass/Fail	Pass
Point in Time Count (PIT) Participation	5	5
CoC Planning and Operations Activities	5	5
Attachments		
ESNAPS PDF export	Pass/Fail	Pass
Grant Spending Timeliness	10	10
Unspent Grant Funds	20	20
HUD Monitoring Visit	Pass/Fail	Pass
Financial Audit	Pass/Fail	Pass
Anti-discrimination and Conflict of Interest Policies	5	5
Housing First Assessment	10	10
Embedded in ESNAPS Export		
HUD Eligibility and Threshold Requirements	Pass/Fail	Pass
Documentation of Minimum Match	Pass/Fail	Pass
Project Narrative Description: Clarity	10	8.5
Project Narrative Description: Completeness	10	10
Performance Scoring		
CoC Performance Review: Performance Improvement	30	n/a
TOTAL SCORE		83.5

Subject	Announcement: FY 2023 NOFO Final Priority List
From name	Missouri Balance of State Continuum of Care
Active	Since September 13th 2023 at 3:48 pm CDT
From Address	collaborativeapplicant@moboscoc.org
Reply to address	collaborativeapplicant@moboscoc.org
Lists	Board Members 2023, Full CoC Membership Registrant... Show more
Email link	https://conta.cc/3PbUetM

Missouri Balance of State Continuum of Care Final Priority List
MO-606 - FY2023

Rank value	Ranking Category	Project Name	Agency Name	Project Type	Project Score Total	Request Value	Cummulative total
1	1	BoS HMIS Project	Institute for Community Alliances	Other	68.5	$239,947	$239,947
2	2	MLM BoS CoC RRH	Metro Lutheran Ministry	RRH	83.5	$227,923	$467,870
3	2	SSO Coordinated Entry for DV	Community Partnership of SEMO	Other	77.0	$300,000	$767,870
4	2	Safe House Rapid Re-Housing for Victims of DV	Safe House for Women	RRH	62.5	$246,366	$1,014,236
5	2	Domestic Violence Center Housing Program DV Bonus Dollars	Synergy Services	RRH	62.5	$272,111	$1,286,347
6	3	2023 - SCP Shelter Plus Care	Missouri Department of Mental Health	PSH	112.6	$154,088	$1,440,435
7	3	2023 - SCW Shelter Plus Care	Missouri Department of Mental Health	PSH	111.9	$139,644	$1,580,079
8	3	2023 - SZH Shelter Plus Care	Missouri Department of Mental Health	PSH	111.5	$184,045	$1,764,124
9	3	2023 - SCH Shelter Plus Care	Missouri Department of Mental Health	PSH	111.0	$315,008	$2,079,132
10	3	SCB - Shelter Plus Care	Missouri Department of Mental Health	PSH	109.9	$333,170	$2,412,302
11	3	2023 - SZR Shelter Plus Care	Missouri Department of Mental Health	PSH	105.4	$79,429	$2,491,731
12	3	2023 SZI - Shelter Plus Care	Missouri Department of Mental Health	PSH	104.8	$404,794	$2,896,525
13	3	2023 - SCT Shelter Plus Care	Missouri Department of Mental Health	PSH	101.9	$201,818	$3,098,343
14	3	PFH Rapid Rehousing	Preferred Family Health Care	RRH	96.0	$98,018	$3,196,361
15	3	Northwest Permanent Supportive Housing	Catholic Charities of KC/SJ	PSH	92.6	$326,312	$3,522,673
16	3	SEMO SAFEHAVEN	FCC Behavioral Health	Safe Haven	87.0	$135,780	$3,658,453
17	3	Restoring Families	SEMO Christian Restoration Center	PSH	85.4	$129,900	$3,788,353
18	3	PERMANENT HOUSING FOR SEMO's HOMELESS AND DISABLED	FCC Behavioral Health	PSH	82.3	$148,496	$3,936,849
19	3	FOUNDATIONS FOR LIVING	SEMO Christian Restoration Center	PSH	80.2	$85,847	$4,022,696
20	3	BOS Youth and Families RRH	Hillcrest Ministries of MidAmerica	RRH	79.4	$325,328	$4,348,024
21	3	BoS HUD RRH CoC 2023	Catholic Charities of Southern Missouri	RRH	78.5	$187,697	$4,535,721
22	3	Northeast Metro Permanent Housing	Catholic Charities of KC/SJ	PSH	77.3	$226,417	$4,762,138
23	3	Bootheel House of Progress-PH	Delta Area Economic Opportunity Corporation	PSH	74.9	$111,208	$4,873,346
24	3	High Hope Supportive Housing-PSH	High Hope Employment Services Inc	PSH	73.6	$80,941	$4,954,287
25	3	CHA-COC PSH (356)	Columbia Housing Authority	PSH	73.5	$464,593	$5,418,880
26	3	CAPE WOMEN AND CHILDREN SHP	FCC Behavioral Health	PSH	68.1	$160,693	$5,579,573
27	3	HOME-Housing Opportunities Made Easy	Delta Area Economic Opportunity Corporation	RRH	58.5	$7,536	$5,587,109
		Tier One Threshold - $5,587,109					
27	3	HOME-Housing Opportunities Made Easy	Delta Area Economic Opportunity Corporation	RRH	58.5	$100,655	$100,655
28	3	2023 SZI - Shelter Plus Care Expansion	Missouri Department of Mental Health	PSH	50.5	$110,651	$211,306
29	4.1	BoS HMIS Expansion Project	Institute for Community Alliances	Other	82.0	$120,166	$331,472
30	4.2	MLM BoS CoC RRH Expansion	Metro Lutheran Ministry	RRH	101.0	$229,150	$560,622
31	4.2	High Hope Supportive Housing-P	High Hope Employment Services	PSH	66.3	$68,104	$628,726
32	4.9	Jefferson City Permanent Housing Program	The Salvation Army	PSH	37.8	$108,071	$736,797
33	4.9	Welcome Home, Inc. RRH	Welcome Home, Inc.	RRH	52.0	$101,158	$837,955
34	5	EMAA Houseless Outreach	East Missouri Action Agency	Other	71.0	$141,669	$979,624
	6	MLM BoS CoC RRH Expansion - DV	Metro Lutheran Ministry	DV - Bonus	100.3	$348,750	$348,750
		Tier Two Threshold - $979,624					
		DV Bonus Funds - $348,750					
Other		CoC Planning Grant	Community Partnership of SEMO		58.5	$399,350	
		Planning Grant: $399,350					
	5	EMAA	East Missouri Action Agency	Other	71.0	$53,235	
	5	HOPE	Callaway Cares	Other	69.3	$757,581	
	5	Housing First	Elevate Lebanon	Other	57.0	$263,699	
NOT RANK		Johnson County Resource Center	Journey Home of JOCO	Other	84.0	$566,709	
NOT RANK		Elevating Community through Permanent Housing and Supportive Services	Elevate Branson	PSH	73.0	$2,200,000	
		UNCLAIMED DV BONUS FUNDS				$449,949	
		Projects in red box were not considered for funding.					

Subject	Announcement: FY 2023 NOFO Final Priority List
From name	Missouri Balance of State Continuum of Care
Active	Since September 13th 2023 at 3:48 pm CDT
From Address	collaborativeapplicant@moboscoc.org
Reply to address	collaborativeapplicant@moboscoc.org
Lists	Board Members 2023, Full CoC Membership Registrant... Show more
Email link	https://conta.cc/3PbUetM

Missouri Balance of State Continuum of Care Final Priority List
MO-606 - FY2023

Rank value	Ranking Category	Project Name	Agency Name	Project Type	Project Score Total	Request Value	Cummulative total
1	1	BoS HMIS Project	Institute for Community Alliances	Other	68.5	$239,947	$239,947
2	2	MLM BoS CoC RRH	Metro Lutheran Ministry	RRH	83.5	$227,923	$467,870
3	2	SSO Coordinated Entry for DV	Community Partnership of SEMO	Other	77.0	$300,000	$767,870
4	2	Safe House Rapid Re-Housing for Victims of DV	Safe House for Women	RRH	62.5	$246,366	$1,014,236
5	2	Domestic Violence Center Housing Program DV Bonus Dollars	Synergy Services	RRH	62.5	$272,111	$1,286,347
6	3	2023 - SCP Shelter Plus Care	Missouri Department of Mental Health	PSH	112.6	$154,088	$1,440,435
7	3	2023 - SCW Shelter Plus Care	Missouri Department of Mental Health	PSH	111.9	$139,644	$1,580,079
8	3	2023 - SZH Shelter Plus Care	Missouri Department of Mental Health	PSH	111.5	$184,045	$1,764,124
9	3	2023 - SCH Shelter Plus Care	Missouri Department of Mental Health	PSH	111.0	$315,008	$2,079,132
10	3	SCB - Shelter Plus Care	Missouri Department of Mental Health	PSH	109.9	$333,170	$2,412,302
11	3	2023 - SZR Shelter Plus Care	Missouri Department of Mental Health	PSH	105.4	$79,429	$2,491,731
12	3	2023 SZI - Shelter Plus Care	Missouri Department of Mental Health	PSH	104.8	$404,794	$2,896,525
13	3	2023 - SCT Shelter Plus Care	Missouri Department of Mental Health	PSH	101.9	$201,818	$3,098,343
14	3	PFH Rapid Rehousing	Preferred Family Health Care	RRH	96.0	$98,018	$3,196,361
15	3	Northwest Permanent Supportive Housing	Catholic Charities of KC/SJ	PSH	92.6	$326,312	$3,522,673
16	3	SEMO SAFEHAVEN	FCC Behavioral Health	Safe Haven	87.0	$135,780	$3,658,453
17	3	Restoring Families	SEMO Christian Restoration Center	PSH	85.4	$129,900	$3,788,353
18	3	PERMANENT HOUSING FOR SEMO's HOMELESS AND DISABLED	FCC Behavioral Health	PSH	82.3	$148,496	$3,936,849
19	3	FOUNDATIONS FOR LIVING	SEMO Christian Restoration Center	PSH	80.2	$85,847	$4,022,696
20	3	BOS Youth and Families RRH	Hillcrest Ministries of MidAmerica	RRH	79.4	$325,328	$4,348,024
21	3	BoS HUD RRH CoC 2023	Catholic Charities of Southern Missouri	RRH	78.5	$187,697	$4,535,721
22	3	Northeast Metro Permanent Housing	Catholic Charities of KC/SJ	PSH	77.3	$226,417	$4,762,138
23	3	Bootheel House of Progress-PH	Delta Area Economic Opportunity Corporation	PSH	74.9	$111,208	$4,873,346
24	3	High Hope Supportive Housing-PSH	High Hope Employment Services Inc	PSH	73.6	$80,941	$4,954,287
25	3	CHA-COC PSH (356)	Columbia Housing Authority	PSH	73.5	$464,593	$5,418,880
26	3	CAPE WOMEN AND CHILDREN SHP	FCC Behavioral Health	PSH	68.1	$160,693	$5,579,573
27	3	HOME-Housing Opportunities Made Easy	Delta Area Economic Opportunity Corporation	RRH	58.5	$7,536	$5,587,109

		Tier One Threshold - $5,587,109					
27	3	HOME-Housing Opportunities Made Easy	Delta Area Economic Opportunity Corporation	RRH	58.5	$100,655	$100,655
28	3	2023 SZI - Shelter Plus Care Expansion	Missouri Department of Mental Health	PSH	50.5	$110,651	$211,306
29	4.1	BoS HMIS Expansion Project	Institute for Community Alliances	Other	82.0	$120,166	$331,472
30	4.2	MLM BoS CoC RRH Expansion	Metro Lutheran Ministry	RRH	101.0	$229,150	$560,622
31	4.2	High Hope Supportive Housing-P	High Hope Employment Services	PSH	66.3	$68,104	$628,726
32	4.9	Jefferson City Permanent Housing Program	The Salvation Army	PSH	37.8	$108,071	$736,797
33	4.9	Welcome Home, Inc. RRH	Welcome Home, Inc.	RRH	52.0	$101,158	$837,955
34	5	EMAA Houseless Outreach	East Missouri Action Agency	Other	71.0	$141,669	$979,624
	6	MLM BoS CoC RRH Expansion - DV	Metro Lutheran Ministry	DV - Bonus	100.3	$348,750	$348,750

		Tier Two Threshold - $979,624					
		DV Bonus Funds - $348,750					
Other		CoC Planning Grant	Community Partnership of SEMO		58.5	$399,350	

		Planning Grant: $399,350					
	5	EMAA	East Missouri Action Agency	Other	71.0	$53,235	
	5	HOPE	Callaway Cares	Other	69.3	$757,581	
	5	Housing First	Elevate Lebanon	Other	57.0	$263,699	
NOT RAN		Johnson County Resource Center	Journey Home of JOCO	Other	84.0	$566,709	
NOT RAN		Elevating Community through Permanent Housing and Supportive Services	Elevate Branson	PSH	73.0	$2,200,000	
		UNCLAIMED DV BONUS FUNDS				$449,949	

Projects in red box were not considered for funding.

Subject	Announcement: FY 2023 NOFO Final Priority List
From name	Missouri Balance of State Continuum of Care
Active	Since September 13th 2023 at 3:48 pm CDT
From Address	collaborativeapplicant@moboscoc.org
Reply to address	collaborativeapplicant@moboscoc.org
Lists	Board Members 2023, Full CoC Membership Registrant... Show more
Email link	https://conta.cc/3PbUetM

Missouri Balance of State Continuum of Care Final Priority List
MO-606 - FY2023

Rank value	Ranking Category	Project Name	Agency Name	Project Type	Project Score Total	Request Value	Cummulative total
1	1	BoS HMIS Project	Institute for Community Alliances	Other	68.5	$239,947	$239,947
2	2	MLM BoS CoC RRH	Metro Lutheran Ministry	RRH	83.5	$227,923	$467,870
3	2	SSO Coordinated Entry for DV	Community Partnership of SEMO	Other	77.0	$300,000	$767,870
4	2	Safe House Rapid Re-Housing for Victims of DV	Safe House for Women	RRH	62.5	$246,366	$1,014,236
5	2	Domestic Violence Center Housing Program DV Bonus Dollars	Synergy Services	RRH	62.5	$272,111	$1,286,347
6	3	2023 - SCP Shelter Plus Care	Missouri Department of Mental Health	PSH	112.6	$154,088	$1,440,435
7	3	2023 - SCW Shelter Plus Care	Missouri Department of Mental Health	PSH	111.9	$139,644	$1,580,079
8	3	2023 - SZH Shelter Plus Care	Missouri Department of Mental Health	PSH	111.5	$184,045	$1,764,124
9	3	2023 - SCH Shelter Plus Care	Missouri Department of Mental Health	PSH	111.0	$315,008	$2,079,132
10	3	SCB - Shelter Plus Care	Missouri Department of Mental Health	PSH	109.9	$333,170	$2,412,302
11	3	2023 - SZR Shelter Plus Care	Missouri Department of Mental Health	PSH	105.4	$79,429	$2,491,731
12	3	2023 SZI - Shelter Plus Care	Missouri Department of Mental Health	PSH	104.8	$404,794	$2,896,525
13	3	2023 - SCT Shelter Plus Care	Missouri Department of Mental Health	PSH	101.9	$201,818	$3,098,343
14	3	PFH Rapid Rehousing	Preferred Family Health Care	RRH	96.0	$98,018	$3,196,361
15	3	Northwest Permanent Supportive Housing	Catholic Charities of KC/SJ	PSH	92.6	$326,312	$3,522,673
16	3	SEMO SAFEHAVEN	FCC Behavioral Health	Safe Haven	87.0	$135,780	$3,658,453
17	3	Restoring Families	SEMO Christian Restoration Center	PSH	85.4	$129,900	$3,788,353
18	3	PERMANENT HOUSING FOR SEMO's HOMELESS AND DISABLED	FCC Behavioral Health	PSH	82.3	$148,496	$3,936,849
19	3	FOUNDATIONS FOR LIVING	SEMO Christian Restoration Center	PSH	80.2	$85,847	$4,022,696
20	3	BOS Youth and Families RRH	Hillcrest Ministries of MidAmerica	RRH	79.4	$325,328	$4,348,024
21	3	BoS HUD RRH CoC 2023	Catholic Charities of Southern Missouri	RRH	78.5	$187,697	$4,535,721
22	3	Northeast Metro Permanent Housing	Catholic Charities of KC/SJ	PSH	77.3	$226,417	$4,762,138
23	3	Bootheel House of Progress-PH	Delta Area Economic Opportunity Corporation	PSH	74.9	$111,208	$4,873,346
24	3	High Hope Supportive Housing-PSH	High Hope Employment Services Inc	PSH	73.6	$80,941	$4,954,287
25	3	CHA-COC PSH (356)	Columbia Housing Authority	PSH	73.5	$464,593	$5,418,880
26	3	CAPE WOMEN AND CHILDREN SHP	FCC Behavioral Health	PSH	68.1	$160,693	$5,579,573
27	3	HOME-Housing Opportunities Made Easy	Delta Area Economic Opportunity Corporation	RRH	58.5	$7,536	$5,587,109
		Tier One Threshold - $5,587,109					
27	3	HOME-Housing Opportunities Made Easy	Delta Area Economic Opportunity Corporation	RRH	58.5	$100,655	$100,655
28	3	2023 SZI - Shelter Plus Care Expansion	Missouri Department of Mental Health	PSH	50.5	$110,651	$211,306
29	4.1	BoS HMIS Expansion Project	Institute for Community Alliances	Other	82.0	$120,166	$331,472
30	4.2	MLM BoS CoC RRH Expansion	Metro Lutheran Ministry	RRH	101.0	$229,150	$560,622
31	4.2	High Hope Supportive Housing-P	High Hope Employment Services	PSH	66.3	$68,104	$628,726
32	4.9	Jefferson City Permanent Housing Program	The Salvation Army	PSH	37.8	$108,071	$736,797
33	4.9	Welcome Home, Inc. RRH	Welcome Home, Inc.	RRH	52.0	$101,158	$837,955
34	5	EMAA Houseless Outreach	East Missouri Action Agency	Other	71.0	$141,669	$979,624
	6	MLM BoS CoC RRH Expansion - DV	Metro Lutheran Ministry	DV - Bonus	100.3	$348,750	$348,750
		Tier Two Threshold - $979,624					
		DV Bonus Funds - $348,750					
Other		CoC Planning Grant	Community Partnership of SEMO		58.5	$399,350	
		Planning Grant: $399,350					
	5	EMAA	East Missouri Action Agency	Other	71.0	$53,235	
	5	HOPE	Callaway Cares	Other	69.3	$757,581	
	5	Housing First	Elevate Lebanon	Other	57.0	$263,699	
NOT RANK		Johnson County Resource Center	Journey Home of JOCO	Other	84.0	$566,709	
NOT RANK		Elevating Community through Permanent Housing and Supportive Services	Elevate Branson	PSH	73.0	$2,200,000	
		UNCLAIMED DV BONUS FUNDS				$449,949	
		Projects in red box were not considered for funding.					

2023 HDX Competition Report

PIT Count Data for MO-606 - Missouri Balance of State CoC

Total Population PIT Count Data

	2020 PIT	2021 PIT *	2022 PIT	2023 PIT
Total Sheltered and Unsheltered Count	1569	1416	1571	1792
Emergency Shelter Total	753	528	906	892
Safe Haven Total	8	6	11	6
Transitional Housing Total	156	230	134	204
Total Sheltered Count	917	764	1051	1102
Total Unsheltered Count	652	652	520	690

Chronically Homeless PIT Counts

	2020 PIT	2021 PIT *	2022 PIT	2023 PIT
Total Sheltered and Unsheltered Count of Chronically Homeless Persons	260	248	378	599
Sheltered Count of Chronically Homeless Persons	150	138	205	277
Unsheltered Count of Chronically Homeless Persons	110	110	173	322

2023 HDX Competition Report
PIT Count Data for MO-606 - Missouri Balance of State CoC

Homeless Households with Children PIT Counts

	2020 PIT	2021 PIT *	2022 PIT	2023 PIT
Total Sheltered and Unsheltered Count of the Number of Homeless Households with Children	**163**	**131**	**143**	**164**
Sheltered Count of Homeless Households with Children	139	107	135	148
Unsheltered Count of Homeless Households with Children	24	24	8	16

Homeless Veteran PIT Counts

	2011 PIT	2020 PIT	2021 PIT *	2022 PIT	2023 PIT
Total Sheltered and Unsheltered Count of the Number of Homeless Veterans	**190**	**89**	**66**	**113**	**144**
Sheltered Count of Homeless Veterans	157	72	49	92	85
Unsheltered Count of Homeless Veterans	33	17	17	21	59

*For CoCs that did not conduct an unsheltered count in 2021, 2020 data were used.

2023 HDX Competition Report

HIC Data for MO-606 - Missouri Balance of State CoC

HMIS Bed Coverage Rates

Project Type	Total Year-Round, Current Beds	Total Current, Year-Round, HMIS Beds	Total Year-Round, Current, Non-VSP Beds*	HMIS Bed Coverage Rate for Year-Round Beds	Total Year-Round, Current VSP Beds in an HMIS Comparable Database	Total Year-Round, Current, VSP Beds**	HMIS Comparable Bed Coverage Rate for VSP Beds	Total Current, Year-Round, HMIS Beds and VSP Beds in an HMIS Comparable Database	HMIS and Comparable Database Coverage Rate
ES Beds	1,196	419	549	76.32%	519	647	80.22%	938	78.43%
SH Beds	8	8	8	100.00%	0	0	NA	8	100.00%
TH Beds	364	70	281	24.91%	71	83	85.54%	141	38.74%
RRH Beds	352	296	314	94.27%	38	38	100.00%	334	94.89%
PSH Beds	1,105	715	1,105	64.71%	0	0	NA	715	64.71%
OPH Beds	330	0	0	NA	0	0	NA	0	0.00%
Total Beds	3,355	1,508	2,257	66.81%	628	768	81.77%	2,136	63.67%

2023 HDX Competition Report

HIC Data for MO-606 - Missouri Balance of State CoC

Notes
*For OPH Beds, this does NOT include any beds that are Current, Non-VSP, Non-HMIS, and EHV-funded.
**For OPH Beds, this does NOT include any beds that are Current, VSP, Non-HMIS, and EHV-funded.
In the HIC, "Year-Round Beds" is the sum of "Beds HH w/o Children", "Beds HH w/ Children", and "Beds HH w/ only Children". This does not include Overflow ("O/V Beds") or Seasonal Beds ("Total Seasonal Beds").
In the HIC, Current beds are beds with an "Inventory Type" of "C" and not beds that are Under Development ("Inventory Type" of "U").

PSH Beds Dedicated to Persons Experiencing Chronic Homelessness

Chronically Homeless Bed Counts	2020 HIC	2021 HIC	2022 HIC	2023 HIC
Number of CoC Program and non-CoC Program funded PSH beds dedicated for use by chronically homeless persons identified on the HIC	799	906	822	1029

Rapid Rehousing (RRH) Units Dedicated to Persons in Household with Children

Households with Children	2020 HIC	2021 HIC	2022 HIC	2023 HIC
RRH units available to serve families on the HIC	65	88	66	71

Rapid Rehousing Beds Dedicated to All Persons

All Household Types	2020 HIC	2021 HIC	2022 HIC	2023 HIC
RRH beds available to serve all populations on the HIC	340	419	316	352

2023 HDX Competition Report

HIC Data for MO-606 - Missouri Balance of State CoC

2023 HDX Competition Report

FY2022 - Performance Measurement Module (Sys PM)

Summary Report for MO-606 - Missouri Balance of State CoC

Measure 1: Length of Time Persons Remain Homeless

This measures the number of clients active in the report date range across ES, SH (Metric 1.1) and then ES, SH and TH (Metric 1.2) along with their average and median length of time homeless. This includes time homeless during the report date range as well as prior to the report start date, going back no further than October, 1, 2012.

Metric 1.1: Change in the average and median length of time persons are homeless in ES and SH projects.
Metric 1.2: Change in the average and median length of time persons are homeless in ES, SH, and TH projects.

a. This measure is of the client's entry, exit, and bed night dates strictly as entered in the HMIS system.

	Universe (Persons)		Average LOT Homeless (bed nights)				Median LOT Homeless (bed nights)			
	Revised FY 2021	FY 2022	Submitted FY 2021	Revised FY 2021	FY 2022	Difference	Submitted FY 2021	Revised FY 2021	FY 2022	Difference
1.1 Persons in ES and SH	1892	2386	46	53	68	15	28	28	36	8
1.2 Persons in ES, SH, and TH	1944	2436	60	60	82	22	30	29	37	8

b. This measure is based on data element 3.17.

This measure includes data from each client's Living Situation (Data Standards element 3.917) response as well as time spent in permanent housing projects between Project Start and Housing Move-In. This information is added to the client's entry date, effectively extending the client's entry date backward in time. This "adjusted entry date" is then used in the calculations just as if it were the client's actual entry date.

2023 HDX Competition Report

FY2022 - Performance Measurement Module (Sys PM)

	Universe (Persons)		Average LOT Homeless (bed nights)				Median LOT Homeless (bed nights)			
	Revised FY 2021	FY 2022	Submitted FY 2021	Revised FY 2021	FY 2022	Difference	Submitted FY 2021	Revised FY 2021	FY 2022	Difference
1.1 Persons in ES, SH, and PH (prior to "housing move in")	2688	3294	419	416	428	12	120	117	156	39
1.2 Persons in ES, SH, TH, and PH (prior to "housing move in")	2740	3343	420	417	428	11	135	119	156	37

2023 HDX Competition Report

FY2022 - Performance Measurement Module (Sys PM)

Measure 2: The Extent to which Persons who Exit Homelessness to Permanent Housing Destinations Return to Homelessness

This measures clients who exited SO, ES, TH, SH or PH to a permanent housing destination in the date range two years prior to the report date range.Of those clients, the measure reports on how many of them returned to homelessness as indicated in the HMIS for up to two years after their initial exit.

	Total # of Persons who Exited to a Permanent Housing Destination (2 Years Prior)		Returns to Homelessness in Less than 6 Months			Returns to Homelessness from 6 to 12 Months			Returns to Homelessness from 13 to 24 Months			Number of Returns in 2 Years	
	Revised FY 2021	FY 2022	Revised FY 2021	FY 2022	% of Returns	Revised FY 2021	FY 2022	% of Returns	Revised FY 2021	FY 2022	% of Returns	FY 2022	% of Returns
Exit was from SO	69	33	5	3	9%	1	0	0%	2	3	9%	6	18%
Exit was from ES	647	520	74	57	11%	34	23	4%	42	35	7%	115	22%
Exit was from TH	43	25	2	1	4%	0	0	0%	2	1	4%	2	8%
Exit was from SH	1	2	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0%
Exit was from PH	742	774	17	14	2%	4	15	2%	21	19	2%	48	6%
TOTAL Returns to Homelessness	1502	1354	98	75	6%	39	38	3%	67	58	4%	171	13%

Measure 3: Number of Homeless Persons

Metric 3.1 – Change in PIT Counts

2023 HDX Competition Report
FY2022 - Performance Measurement Module (Sys PM)

This measures the change in PIT counts of sheltered and unsheltered homeless person as reported on the PIT (not from HMIS).

	January 2021 PIT Count	January 2022 PIT Count	Difference
Universe: Total PIT Count of sheltered and unsheltered persons		1571	
Emergency Shelter Total	528	906	378
Safe Haven Total	6	11	5
Transitional Housing Total	230	134	-96
Total Sheltered Count	764	1051	287
Unsheltered Count		520	

Metric 3.2 – Change in Annual Counts

This measures the change in annual counts of sheltered homeless persons in HMIS.

	Submitted FY 2021	Revised FY 2021	FY 2022	Difference
Universe: Unduplicated Total sheltered homeless persons	2035	1991	2481	490
Emergency Shelter Total	1973	1926	2419	493
Safe Haven Total	14	14	10	-4
Transitional Housing Total	58	60	54	-6

2023 HDX Competition Report
FY2022 - Performance Measurement Module (Sys PM)

Measure 4: Employment and Income Growth for Homeless Persons in CoC Program-funded Projects

Metric 4.1 – Change in earned income for adult system stayers during the reporting period

	Submitted FY 2021	Revised FY 2021	FY 2022	Difference
Universe: Number of adults (system stayers)	377	401	374	-27
Number of adults with increased earned income	34	26	19	-7
Percentage of adults who increased earned income	9%	6%	5%	-1%

Metric 4.2 – Change in non-employment cash income for adult system stayers during the reporting period

	Submitted FY 2021	Revised FY 2021	FY 2022	Difference
Universe: Number of adults (system stayers)	377	401	374	-27
Number of adults with increased non-employment cash income	97	95	65	-30
Percentage of adults who increased non-employment cash income	26%	24%	17%	-7%

Metric 4.3 – Change in total income for adult system stayers during the reporting period

	Submitted FY 2021	Revised FY 2021	FY 2022	Difference
Universe: Number of adults (system stayers)	377	401	374	-27
Number of adults with increased total income	116	113	83	-30
Percentage of adults who increased total income	31%	28%	22%	-6%

2023 HDX Competition Report
FY2022 - Performance Measurement Module (Sys PM)

Metric 4.4 – Change in earned income for adult system leavers

	Submitted FY 2021	Revised FY 2021	FY 2022	Difference
Universe: Number of adults who exited (system leavers)	229	237	264	27
Number of adults who exited with increased earned income	25	26	41	15
Percentage of adults who increased earned income	11%	11%	16%	5%

Metric 4.5 – Change in non-employment cash income for adult system leavers

	Submitted FY 2021	Revised FY 2021	FY 2022	Difference
Universe: Number of adults who exited (system leavers)	229	237	264	27
Number of adults who exited with increased non-employment cash income	45	46	25	-21
Percentage of adults who increased non-employment cash income	20%	19%	9%	-10%

Metric 4.6 – Change in total income for adult system leavers

	Submitted FY 2021	Revised FY 2021	FY 2022	Difference
Universe: Number of adults who exited (system leavers)	229	237	264	27
Number of adults who exited with increased total income	69	71	61	-10
Percentage of adults who increased total income	30%	30%	23%	-7%

2023 HDX Competition Report
FY2022 - Performance Measurement Module (Sys PM)

Measure 5: Number of persons who become homeless for the 1st time

Metric 5.1 – Change in the number of persons entering ES, SH, and TH projects with no prior enrollments in HMIS

	Submitted FY 2021	Revised FY 2021	FY 2022	Difference
Universe: Person with entries into ES, SH or TH during the reporting period.	1907	1845	2235	390
Of persons above, count those who were in ES, SH, TH or any PH within 24 months prior to their entry during the reporting year.	308	309	355	46
Of persons above, count those who did not have entries in ES, SH, TH or PH in the previous 24 months. (i.e. Number of persons experiencing homelessness for the first time)	1599	1536	1880	344

Metric 5.2 – Change in the number of persons entering ES, SH, TH, and PH projects with no prior enrollments in HMIS

	Submitted FY 2021	Revised FY 2021	FY 2022	Difference
Universe: Person with entries into ES, SH, TH or PH during the reporting period.	2784	2747	3292	545
Of persons above, count those who were in ES, SH, TH or any PH within 24 months prior to their entry during the reporting year.	440	407	452	45
Of persons above, count those who did not have entries in ES, SH, TH or PH in the previous 24 months. (i.e. Number of persons experiencing homelessness for the first time.)	2344	2340	2840	500

FY2022 - Performance Measurement Module (Sys PM)

Measure 6: Homeless Prevention and Housing Placement of Persons defined by category 3 of HUD's Homeless Definition in CoC Program-funded Projects

This Measure is not applicable to CoCs in FY2022 (Oct 1, 2021 - Sept 30, 2022) reporting period.

Measure 7: Successful Placement from Street Outreach and Successful Placement in or Retention of Permanent Housing

Metric 7a.1 – Change in exits to permanent housing destinations

	Submitted FY 2021	Revised FY 2021	FY 2022	Difference
Universe: Persons who exit Street Outreach	249	132	146	14
Of persons above, those who exited to temporary & some institutional destinations	41	36	40	4
Of the persons above, those who exited to permanent housing destinations	41	44	70	26
% Successful exits	33%	61%	75%	14%

Metric 7b.1 – Change in exits to permanent housing destinations

2023 HDX Competition Report
FY2022 - Performance Measurement Module (Sys PM)

	Submitted FY 2021	Revised FY 2021	FY 2022	Difference
Universe: Persons in ES, SH, TH and PH-RRH who exited, plus persons in other PH projects who exited without moving into housing	2312	2391	2752	361
Of the persons above, those who exited to permanent housing destinations	978	1023	1190	167
% Successful exits	42%	43%	43%	0%

Metric 7b.2 – Change in exit to or retention of permanent housing

	Submitted FY 2021	Revised FY 2021	FY 2022	Difference
Universe: Persons in all PH projects except PH-RRH	785	805	835	30
Of persons above, those who remained in applicable PH projects and those who exited to permanent housing destinations	741	761	794	33
% Successful exits/retention	94%	95%	95%	0%

2023 HDX Competition Report
FY2022 - SysPM Data Quality

MO-606 - Missouri Balance of State CoC

	All ES, SH			All TH			All PSH, OPH			All RRH			All Street Outreach		
	Submitted FY2020	Submitted FY2021	FY2022	Submitted FY2020	Submitted FY2021	FY2022	Submitted FY2020	Submitted FY2021	FY2022	Submitted FY2020	Submitted FY2021	FY2022	Submitted FY2020	Submitted FY2021	FY2022
1. Number of non-DV Beds on HIC	342	303	609	224	249	219	1009	1121	1272	313	391	299			
2. Number of HMIS Beds	293	235	547	48	39	27	709	775	653	296	389	299			
3. HMIS Participation Rate from HIC (%)	85.67	77.56	89.82	21.43	15.66	12.33	70.27	69.13	51.34	94.57	99.49	100.00			
4. Unduplicated Persons Served (HMIS)	1736	1947	2397	79	57	54	971	915	1030	1375	1324	1522	388	348	503
5. Total Leavers (HMIS)	1593	1690	2078	53	37	29	197	198	265	920	909	1005	335	256	273
6. Destination of Don't Know, Refused, or Missing (HMIS)	40	108	278	2	1	1	7	28	36	14	48	83	88	49	23
7. Destination Error Rate (%)	2.51	6.39	13.38	3.77	2.70	3.45	3.55	14.14	13.58	1.52	5.28	8.26	26.27	19.14	8.42

FY2022 - SysPM Data Quality

2023 HDX Competition Report
Submission and Count Dates for MO-606 - Missouri Balance of State CoC

Date of PIT Count

	Date	Received HUD Waiver
Date CoC Conducted 2023 PIT Count	1/25/2023	

Report Submission Date in HDX

	Submitted On	Met Deadline
2023 PIT Count Submittal Date	4/28/2023	Yes
2023 HIC Count Submittal Date	4/28/2023	Yes
2022 System PM Submittal Date	2/24/2023	Yes