Missouri Balance of State Continuum of Care Census Poverty Data by Region \& County
( Key: Number is represented by \# / Percent is represented by \% / Unsheltered is represented by UN )

| $\frac{\text { Region } 1}{\text { County }}$ | \# in Poverty Raw Data | \# in Poverty Percentile Rank | \% in Poverty Raw Data | \% in Poverty Percentile Rank | UN PIT Raw Data | UN PIT Percentile Rank | Overall PIT | All PIT Percentile Rank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bollinger | 1955 | 42\% | 16.3 | 67\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Cape Girardeau | 9009 | 95\% | 11.9 | 17\% | 22 | 93\% | 43 | 91\% |
| Crawford | 3805 | 66\% | 16.3 | 67\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Franklin | 9353 | 96\% | 9.1 | 6\% | 17 | 88\% | 17 | 77\% |
| Iron | 1913 | 40\% | 19.5 | 88\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Jefferson | 19991 | 99\% | 8.9 | 4\% | 15 | 87\% | 44 | 92\% |
| Madison | 1935 | 41\% | 16.3 | 67\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 33\% |
| Perry | 2212 | 45\% | 11.7 | 16\% | 0 | 0\% | 13 | 74\% |
| St. Francois | 9762 | 97\% | 16.2 | 66\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Ste. Genevieve | 1582 | 28\% | 9 | 5\% | 3 | 69\% | 3 | 46\% |
| Washington | 4559 | 72\% | 19.4 | 86\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |

## Missouri Balance of State Continuum of Care Census Poverty Data by Region \& County

( Key: Number is represented by \# / Percent is represented by \% / Unsheltered is represented by UN )

| $\frac{\text { Region } 2}{\text { County }}$ | \# in Poverty Raw Data | \# in Poverty Percentile Rank | \% in Poverty Raw Data | \% in Poverty Percentile Rank | UN <br> PIT <br> Raw <br> Data | UN PIT Percentile Rank | Overall PIT | All PIT Percentile Rank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lewis | 1307 | 24\% | 14.4 | 47\% | 1 | 53\% | 1 | 33\% |
| Marion | 3890 | 67\% | 14.3 | 45\% | 9 | 81\% | 38 | 88\% |
| Monroe | 1115 | 15\% | 13 | 29\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Pike | 2384 | 51\% | 14.9 | 52\% | 2 | 64\% | 10 | 68\% |
| Ralls | 1167 | 20\% | 11.4 | 13\% | 2 | 64\% | 3 | 46\% |
| Shelby | 834 | 10\% | 14.6 | 48\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |

Missouri Balance of State Continuum of Care Census Poverty Data by Region \& County
( Key: Number is represented by \# / Percent is represented by \% / Unsheltered is represented by UN )

| $\frac{\text { Region } 3}{\text { County }}$ | \# in Poverty Raw Data | \# in Poverty Percentile Rank | \% in Poverty Raw Data | \% in Poverty Percentile Rank | UN <br> PIT <br> Raw <br> Data | UN PIT <br> Percentile Rank | Overall PIT | All PIT Percentile Rank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adair | 4026 | 69\% | 17.9 | 79\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Chariton | 854 | 11\% | 12 | 18\% | 1 | 53\% | 1 | 33\% |
| Clark | 827 | 9\% | 12.3 | 22\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Knox | 548 | 3\% | 14.2 | 43\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Linn | 1707 | 32\% | 14.7 | 50\% | 8 | 78\% | 8 | 62\% |
| Macon | 1912 | 39\% | 13 | 29\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Putnam | 702 | 7\% | 15.2 | 57\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Randolph | 2676 | 53\% | 12.1 | 20\% | 0 | 0\% | 9 | 65\% |
| Schuyler | 675 | 5\% | 15.2 | 57\% | 1 | 53\% | 82 | 98\% |
| Scotland | 683 | 6\% | 14.3 | 45\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Sullivan | 927 | 12\% | 15.6 | 62\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |

Missouri Balance of State Continuum of Care Census Poverty Data by Region \& County
( Key: Number is represented by \# / Percent is represented by \% / Unsheltered is represented by UN )

| $\frac{\text { Region } 4}{\text { County }}$ | \# in Poverty Raw Data | \# in Poverty Percentile Rank | \% in Poverty Raw Data | \% in Poverty Percentile Rank | UN <br> PIT <br> Raw <br> Data | UN PIT Percentile Rank | Overall PIT | All PIT Percentile Rank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Atchison | 600 | 4\% | 12 | 18\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Caldwell | 1096 | 14\% | 12.4 | 23\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Carroll | 1130 | 18\% | 13.4 | 34\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Clay | 19299 | 98\% | 7.7 | 2\% | 36 | 98\% | 140 | 99\% |
| Clinton | 1902 | 38\% | 9.5 | 8\% | 0 | 0\% | 4 | 53\% |
| Daviess | 1141 | 19\% | 14.1 | 41\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Gentry | 821 | 8\% | 13 | 29\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Grundy | 1398 | 25\% | 15.1 | 55\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 42\% |
| Harrison | 1455 | 27\% | 17.8 | 76\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Holt | 501 | 2\% | 12.2 | 21\% | 0 | 0\% | 11 | 70\% |
| Livingston | 1684 | 30\% | 12.8 | 26\% | 17 | 88\% | 25 | 82\% |
| Mercer | 477 | 1\% | 13.6 | 35\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Nodaway | 2785 | 54\% | 15.2 | 57\% | 2 | 64\% | 3 | 46\% |
| Platte | 5704 | 84\% | 5.4 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 28 | 83\% |
| Ray | 2261 | 47\% | 10 | 9\% | 4 | 75\% | 4 | 53\% |
| Worth | 262 | 0\% | 13.8 | 38\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |

## Missouri Balance of State Continuum of Care Census Poverty Data by Region \& County

( Key: Number is represented by \# / Percent is represented by \% / Unsheltered is represented by UN )

| $\frac{\text { Region } 5}{\text { County }}$ | \# in Poverty Raw Data | \# in Poverty Percentile Rank | \% in Poverty Raw Data | \% in Poverty Percentile Rank | UN PIT Raw Data | UN PIT <br> Percentile Rank | Overall PIT | All PIT Percentile Rank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Audrain | 4132 | 71\% | 17.8 | 76\% | 0 | 0\% | 22 | 80\% |
| Boone | 22178 | 100\% | 12.8 | 26\% | 55 | 100\% | 336 | 100\% |
| Callaway | 8777 | 94\% | 21.2 | 94\% | 19 | 91\% | 66 | 97\% |
| Camden | 6407 | 87\% | 14 | 40\% | 2 | 64\% | 18 | 78\% |
| Cole | 6649 | 89\% | 9.3 | 7\% | 11 | 84\% | 65 | 96\% |
| Cooper | 2189 | 44\% | 13.6 | 35\% | 0 | 0\% | 3 | 46\% |
| Gasconade | 1602 | 29\% | 11.2 | 12\% | 1 | 53\% | 1 | 33\% |
| Howard | 1181 | 22\% | 12.7 | 25\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Maries | 1121 | 17\% | 12.9 | 28\% | 1 | 53\% | 1 | 33\% |
| Miller | 3542 | 65\% | 13.9 | 39\% | 0 | 0\% | 4 | 53\% |
| Moniteau | 1699 | 31\% | 11.6 | 15\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Montgomery | 1415 | 26\% | 13 | 29\% | 2 | 64\% | 2 | 42\% |
| Morgan | 3061 | 60\% | 15 | 53\% | 1 | 53\% | 1 | 33\% |
| Osage | 1115 | 15\% | 8.5 | 3\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Phelps | 7249 | 91\% | 17.5 | 74\% | 1 | 53\% | 60 | 95\% |
| Pulaski | 5311 | 78\% | 12.5 | 24\% | 0 | 0\% | 5 | 58\% |

Missouri Balance of State Continuum of Care Census Poverty Data by Region \& County
( Key: Number is represented by \# / Percent is represented by \% / Unsheltered is represented by UN )

| Region 6 County <br> \# in <br> Paw Data <br> Raw in Poverty <br> Percentile <br> Rank | \% in <br> Poverty <br> Raw Data | \% in Poverty <br> Percentile Rank | UN <br> PIT <br> Raw <br> Data | UN PIT <br> Percentile Rank | Overall PIT | All PIT <br> Percentile <br> Rank |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dunklin | 5682 | $83 \%$ | 20.2 | $90 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 8 | $62 \%$ |
| Mississippi | 2148 | $43 \%$ | 19.1 | $85 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 9 | $65 \%$ |
| New Madrid | 3080 | $61 \%$ | 18.9 | $84 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| Pemiscot | 5429 | $79 \%$ | 35.3 | $100 \%$ | 44 | $99 \%$ | 50 | $94 \%$ |
| Scott | 5488 | $81 \%$ | 14.6 | $48 \%$ | 17 | $88 \%$ | 24 | $81 \%$ |
| Stoddard | 4787 | $76 \%$ | 16.8 | $72 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |

Missouri Balance of State Continuum of Care Census Poverty Data by Region \& County
( Key: Number is represented by \# / Percent is represented by \% / Unsheltered is represented by UN )

| Region 7 | \# in <br> Poverty <br> Raw Data | \# in Poverty <br> Percentile <br> Rank | \% in <br> Poverty <br> Raw Data | \% in Poverty <br> Percentile Rank | UN <br> PIT <br> Raw <br> Data | UN PIT <br> Percentile Rank | Overall PIT | All PIT <br> Percentile <br> Rank |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Butler | 8777 | $94 \%$ | 21.2 | $94 \%$ | 19 | $91 \%$ | 66 | $97 \%$ |
| Carter | 1205 | $23 \%$ | 20.3 | $91 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| Reynolds | 1177 | $21 \%$ | 19.4 | $86 \%$ | 1 | $53 \%$ | 4 | $53 \%$ |
| Ripley | 2808 | $56 \%$ | 21.3 | $95 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 5 | $5 \%$ |
| Wayne | 2927 | $57 \%$ | 23.2 | $98 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |

Missouri Balance of State Continuum of Care Census Poverty Data by Region \& County
( Key: Number is represented by \# / Percent is represented by \% / Unsheltered is represented by UN )

| Region 8 <br> County | \# in <br> Poverty <br> Raw Data | \# in Poverty <br> Percentile <br> Rank | \% in <br> Poverty <br> Raw Data | \% in Poverty <br> Percentile Rank | UN <br> PIT <br> Raw <br> Data | UN PIT <br> Percentile Rank | Overall PIT <br> Percentile <br> Rank |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dent | 2309 | $48 \%$ | 15.1 | $55 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 1 | $33 \%$ |
| Douglas | 2349 | $49 \%$ | 17.8 | $76 \%$ | 6 | $77 \%$ | 6 | $61 \%$ |
| Howell | 7285 | $92 \%$ | 18.4 | $81 \%$ | 34 | $97 \%$ | 38 | $88 \%$ |
| Laclede | 5778 | $86 \%$ | 16.3 | $67 \%$ | 26 | $95 \%$ | 41 | $90 \%$ |
| Oregon | 2249 | $46 \%$ | 22 | $97 \%$ | 11 | $84 \%$ | 11 | $70 \%$ |
| Ozark | 1828 | $35 \%$ | 20.3 | $91 \%$ | 8 | $78 \%$ | 8 | $62 \%$ |
| Shannon | 1771 | $34 \%$ | 21.8 | $96 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| Texas | 4744 | $75 \%$ | 20.3 | $91 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 4 | $53 \%$ |
| Wright | 3296 | $63 \%$ | 18.3 | $80 \%$ | 9 | $81 \%$ | 9 | $65 \%$ |

Missouri Balance of State Continuum of Care Census Poverty Data by Region \& County
( Key: Number is represented by \# / Percent is represented by \% / Unsheltered is represented by UN )

| $\frac{\text { Region } 9}{\text { County }}$ | \# in Poverty Raw Data | \# in Poverty Percentile Rank | \% in Poverty Raw Data | \% in Poverty Percentile Rank | UN <br> PIT <br> Raw <br> Data | UN PIT <br> Percentile Rank | Overall PIT | All PIT Percentile Rank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Barry | 5675 | 82\% | 16 | 65\% | 10 | 83\% | 10 | 68\% |
| Barton | 1898 | 37\% | 16.6 | 71\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Cedar | 2603 | 52\% | 18.5 | 82\% | 3 | 69\% | 3 | 46\% |
| Dade | 1043 | 13\% | 14.1 | 41\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Dallas | 4092 | 70\% | 24.1 | 99\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Hickory | 1862 | 36\% | 19.6 | 89\% | 1 | 53\% | 1 | 33\% |
| Lawrence | 5712 | 85\% | 15.2 | 57\% | 3 | 69\% | 3 | 46\% |
| McDonald | 3996 | 68\% | 17.6 | 75\% | 5 | 76\% | 5 | 58\% |
| Polk | 4830 | 77\% | 15.6 | 62\% | 0 | 0\% | 32 | 85\% |
| Stone | 4573 | 73\% | 14.2 | 43\% | 0 | 0\% | 13 | 74\% |
| Taney | 8497 | 93\% | 15.6 | 62\% | 3 | 69\% | 46 | 93\% |
| Vernon | 2933 | 58\% | 15 | 53\% | 8 | 78\% | 11 | 70\% |

Missouri Balance of State Continuum of Care Census Poverty Data by Region \& County
( Key: Number is represented by \# / Percent is represented by \% / Unsheltered is represented by UN )

| Region 10 <br> County | \# in <br> Poverty <br> Raw Data | \# in Poverty <br> Percentile <br> Rank | \% in <br> Poverty <br> Raw Data | \% in Poverty <br> Percentile Rank | UN <br> PIT <br> Raw <br> Data | UN PIT <br> Percentile Rank | Overall PIT | All PIT <br> Percentile <br> Rank |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bates | 2351 | $50 \%$ | 14.8 | $51 \%$ | 1 | $53 \%$ | 1 | $33 \%$ |
| Benton | 3260 | $62 \%$ | 16.8 | $72 \%$ | 1 | $53 \%$ | 2 | $42 \%$ |
| Cass | 7182 | $90 \%$ | 6.8 | $1 \%$ | 33 | $96 \%$ | 33 | $86 \%$ |
| Henry | 2960 | $59 \%$ | 13.6 | $35 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 2 | $42 \%$ |
| Johnson | 5453 | $80 \%$ | 10.8 | $11 \%$ | 24 | $94 \%$ | 35 | $87 \%$ |
| Lafayette | 3352 | $64 \%$ | 10.4 | $10 \%$ | 3 | $69 \%$ | 12 | $73 \%$ |
| Pettis | 6452 | $88 \%$ | 15.5 | $61 \%$ | 21 | $92 \%$ | 21 | $79 \%$ |
| Saline | 2807 | $55 \%$ | 13.1 | $33 \%$ | 3 | $69 \%$ | 3 | $46 \%$ |
| St. Clair | 1770 | $33 \%$ | 18.7 | $83 \%$ | 12 | $86 \%$ | 29 | $84 \%$ |

