Before Starting the CoC Application

You must submit all three of the following parts in order for us to consider your Consolidated Application complete:

 1. the CoC Application,
 2. the CoC Priority Listing, and
 3. all the CoC's project applications that were either approved and ranked, or rejected.

 As the Collaborative Applicant, you are responsible for reviewing the following:

 1. The FY 2022 CoC Program Competition Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for specific application and program requirements.
 2. The FY 2022 CoC Application Detailed Instructions which provide additional information and guidance for completing the application.
 3. All information provided to ensure it is correct and current.
 4. Responses provided by project applicants in their Project Applications.
 5. The application to ensure all documentation, including attachment are provided.

 Your CoC Must Approve the Consolidated Application before You Submit It
 - 24 CFR 578.9 requires you to compile and submit the CoC Consolidated Application for the FY 2022 CoC Program Competition on behalf of your CoC.
 - 24 CFR 578.9(b) requires you to obtain approval from your CoC before you submit the Consolidated Application into e-snaps.
 Answering Multi-Part Narrative Questions
 Many questions require you to address multiple elements in a single text box. Number your responses to correspond with multi-element questions using the same numbers in the question. This will help you organize your responses to ensure they are complete and help us to review and score your responses.

 Attachments
 Questions requiring attachments to receive points state, "You Must Upload an Attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen." Only upload documents responsive to the questions posed–including other material slows down the review process, which ultimately slows down the funding process. Include a cover page with the attachment name.
 - Attachments must match the questions they are associated with–if we do not award points for evidence you upload and associate with the wrong question, this is not a valid reason for you to appeal HUD's funding determination.
 - We must be able to read the date and time on attachments requiring system-generated dates and times, (e.g., a screenshot displaying the time and date of the public posting using your desktop calendar; screenshot of a webpage that indicates date and time).

1A. Continuum of Care (CoC) Identification

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
 - 24 CFR part 578;
  - FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
 - Section 3 Resources;
 - PHA Crosswalk; and
  - Frequently Asked Questions

1A-1. CoC Name and Number: MO-606 - Missouri Balance of State CoC

1A-2. Collaborative Applicant Name: Community Partnership of Southeast Missouri

1A-3. CoC Designation: CA

1A-4. HMIS Lead: Institute for Community Alliances

1B. Coordination and Engagement–Inclusive Structure and Participation

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
  - 24 CFR part 578;
    - FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
  - Section 3 Resources;
  - PHA Crosswalk; and
   - Frequently Asked Questions

1B-1.	Inclusive Structure and Participation–Participation in Coordinated Entry.	
	NOFO Sections VII.B.1.a.(1), VII.B.1.e., VII.B.1.p., and VII.B.1.r.	

	In the chart below for the period from May 1, 2021 to April 30, 2022:
1.	select yes or no in the chart below if the entity listed participates in CoC meetings, voted–including selecting CoC Board members, and participated in your CoC's coordinated entry system; or
2.	select Nonexistent if the organization does not exist in your CoC's geographic area:

	Organization/Person	Participated in CoC Meetings	Voted, Including Electing CoC Board Members	Participated in CoC's Coordinated Entry System
1.	Affordable Housing Developer(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
2.	Agencies serving survivors of human trafficking	Yes	Yes	Yes
3.	CDBG/HOME/ESG Entitlement Jurisdiction	Yes	Yes	Yes
4.	Disability Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
5.	Disability Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
6.	EMS/Crisis Response Team(s)	No	No	Yes
7.	Homeless or Formerly Homeless Persons	Yes	Yes	Yes
8.	Hospital(s)	Yes	No	Yes
9.	Indian Tribes and Tribally Designated Housing Entities (TDHEs) (Tribal Organizations)	No	No	No
10.	Law Enforcement	Yes	No	Yes
11.	Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender (LGBTQ+) Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
12.	LGBTQ+ Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
13.	Local Government Staff/Officials	Yes	Yes	Yes
14.	Local Jail(s)	No	No	No
15.	Mental Health Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
16.	Mental Illness Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes

17.	Organizations led by and serving Black, Brown, Indigenous and other People of Color	Yes	No	No
18.	Organizations led by and serving LGBTQ+ persons	Yes	No	No
19.	Organizations led by and serving people with disabilities	No	No	No
20.	Other homeless subpopulation advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
21.	Public Housing Authorities	Yes	Yes	Yes
22.	School Administrators/Homeless Liaisons	Yes	No	Yes
23.	State Domestic Violence Coalition	No	No	No
24.	State Sexual Assault Coalition	No	No	No
25.	Street Outreach Team(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
26.	Substance Abuse Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
27.	Substance Abuse Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
28.	Victim Service Providers	Yes	Yes	Yes
29.	Domestic Violence Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
30.	Other Victim Service Organizations	Yes	No	Yes
31.	Youth Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
32.	Youth Homeless Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
33.	Youth Service Providers	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Other: (limit 50 characters)			
34.	Food Pantry Organizations	Yes	No	No
35.				

1B-2.	Open Invitation for New Members.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.a.(2)	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC:	
	1.	communicated a transparent invitation process annually (e.g., communicated to the public on the CoC's website) to solicit new members to join the CoC;
	2.	ensured effective communication with individuals with disabilities, including the availability of accessible electronic formats;
	3.	invited organizations serving culturally specific communities experiencing homelessness in the geographic area to address equity (e.g., Black, Latino, Indigenous, LGBTQ+, and persons with disabilities).

(limit 2,500 characters)

1.THE MISSOURI BALANCE OF STATE CONTINUUM OF CARE(MO BOS COC) DEMONSTRATES A TRANSPARENT INVITATION PROCESS FOR NEW MEMBER SOLICITATION AND RECRUITMENT THROUGH A VARIETY OF WAYS.New member solicitation within the MO BoS CoC is an ongoing process and occurs at least ten times a month at the 10 Regional Business Meetings held throughout the 10 Regions of the MO BoS CoC, twice annually during the full MO BoS CoC Membership Meetings, and is continuously communicated to the public via our website. Any individual or organization interested in membership is encouraged to join via any of these invitation platforms and may occur more frequently through official CoC emails as well. Membership to the MO BoS CoC is free and can be completed through the electronic form on our website or, upon request, a paper form. Members of the MO BoS CoC, along with Regional Leaders, make presentations at local community events about the benefits of participating and joining the CoC and our Membership Committee also works to identify membership gaps and create strategies for recruiting members to fill those gaps. Several of our 10 Regions host community and educational events to help recruit members as well. Since transitioning to virtual meetings due to COVID-19 restrictions, agencies have been invited and encouraged to send representatives to our online meetings and membership recruitment has increased due to this tactic. Invitations to become a member of the MO BoS CoC are sent through our website and listserv email. 2.THE MO BOS COC ENSURES EFFECTIVE COMMUNICATION WITH INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES THROUGH SCREEN READER COMPATIBLE DIGITAL FORMATS, SUCH AS WEBSITE AND EMAIL. Virtual meetings are held via the Google Meet platform and closed- captioning is provided to increase accessibility for those with hearing impairments. If other accessibility options are needed, such as reading services, ASL, large print, or meeting transcripts, they are provided upon request. 3.THE MO BOS COC'S RACIAL AND GENDER INEQUITIES(RGI) COMMITTEE, WE HAVE SOLICITED MANY NEW ORGANIZATIONS THAT WORK TO ADDRESS INEQUITIES WITHIN OUR COMMUNITIES. We have successfully included representation from a Work-Life Organization with a focus on inequalities affecting BIPOC, and have recently gained membership from local LGBTQ+ organizations. Our Membership Committee's most recent project has been inviting organizations serving culturally specific communities such as, BIPOC, LGBTQ+, Youth populations.

1B-3.	CoC's Strategy to Solicit/Consider Opinions on Preventing and Ending Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.a.(3)	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC:
1.	solicited and considered opinions from a broad array of organizations and individuals that have knowledge of homelessness, or an interest in preventing and ending homelessness;
2.	communicated information during public meetings or other forums your CoC uses to solicit public information; and
3.	took into consideration information gathered in public meetings or forums to address improvements or new approaches to preventing and ending homelessness.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. THE MO BOS COC SOLICITS FEEDBACK FROM KNOWLEDGEABLE INDIVIDUALS AND ORGANIZATIONS THROUGH THE COC WEBSITE, EMAIL LISTSERV, FULL COC MEETINGS, OPEN COMMITTEE AND BOARD MEETINGS, AND REGIONAL MEETINGS. The CoC is divided into 10 regions, each with one representative on the CoC Board, and 9 additional board seats to encourage participation from a broad array of stakeholders. No more than half of the Board can be composed of members who receive CoC Program funding. The CoC participates in state-wide meetings including Missouri Interagency Council on Homelessness (MICH - formerly known as the Governor's Committee to End Homelessness), outreaches to organizations during the annual PIT Count, and for coordinated entry purposes. CoC members include over 75 agencies providing a wide variety of services, mental health providers, victim service providers, youth providers, individuals, PHAs, substance use providers, academics, and others interested in ending homelessness. A contact form is available on the CoC's website. 2.THE COC BOARD HOLDS OPEN MEETINGS. IN 2020-2021 MEETINGS WERE HELD VIRTUALLY, WITH PROVIDED CLOSED-CAPTIONING. Meeting dates and agendas are published on the CoC's website. The CoC publishes policies, procedures, and other information on the MO BoS CoC website. Information is also shared through a Constant Contact email list. The CoC website prompts website viewers to sign up for CoC emails. 3.THE COC BOARD REVIEWS AND DISCUSSES REPORTS FROM ALL COC ENTITIES AND OTHER MEETINGS IN WHICH THE COC SENDS A REPRESENTATIVE. This includes a statewide assembly of homelessness providers including other CoC's in the state, development entities, and advocacy groups. The Board delegates tasks to the appropriate CoC committee, subcommittee, or workgroup. Completed tasks and relevant information is shared with CoC members during full CoC meetings and is posted on the CoC website. The MO BoS CoC has been actively participating in and attending public meetings and forums including the HUD community Workshops, NLIHC's Housing First Series and various NAEH Webinars. Throughout the year, our CoC has taken into consideration information gathered in these spaces to address improvements and new approaches to prevent and end homelessness that have been implemented as a CoC.

1B-4.	Public Notification for Proposals from Organizations Not Previously Awarded CoC Program Funding.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.a.(4)	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC notified the public:
1.	that your CoC will consider project applications from organizations that have not previously received CoC Program funding;
2.	about how project applicants must submit their project applications–the process;
3.	about how your CoC would determine which project applications it would submit to HUD for funding; and
4.	how your CoC effectively communicated with individuals with disabilities, including making information accessible in electronic formats.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. THE MISSOURI BALANCE OF STATE CONTINUUM OF CARE (MOBOSCOC) NOTIFIED THE PUBLIC ON AUGUST 19 2022 THAT THE COC WAS ACCEPTING APPLICATIONS FROM ALL ELIGIBLE ORGANIZATIONS INCLUDING THOSE NOT PREVIOUSLY FUNDED. Funding was discussed at public meetings both CoC wide and regional. The CoC works to maintain a stakeholder distribution list to ensure effective communication to agencies that may not be funded or active members of the CoC. All communication was duplicated in many formats including webpage, Email, email archive hosted on website, and Social Media Postings to public pages (Including facebook, twitter, and instagram). 2. APPLICANT DETAILS WERE PROVIDED THROUGH AN APPLICANT WORKSHOP EVENT AND SUBSEQUENT FAQ DOCUMENT. The workshop and FAQ covered all steps involved in the application process and references to use. Participants were able to ask questions as needed. After the workshop completed a digital recording was provided and hosted on the website for Review. A printed FAQ document was made available from all questions asked in the workshop and other questions that were addressed by projects were added to the FAQ during the competition period. 3. THE COC MADE CLEAR THROUGH THE APPLICANT WORKSHOP, PUBLICLY POSTED POLICIES, AND THE DETAILS IN THE SCORECARD DOCUMENTS HOW AGENCIES WOULD BE CONSIDERED ELIGIBLE AND HOW THEY WOULD BE RANKED. Agencies are provided a technical review to confirm eligibility. Submissions are ranked by the Non-Conflicted Rank and Review Panel and provided scores to determine priority and inclusion. 4. ALL COMMUNICATION WAS AVAILABLE IN MULTIPLE DIGITAL FORMATS AND DIRECT CONSULTATION WITH THE LEAD AGENCY ALLOWED FOR DETAILED REVIEW OF DOCUMENTATION AND POLICY. Between recorded video, written text, and multiple text platforms with proven screen reader compatibility (such as email and social media) the CoC was able to provide access to information and clear routes to receive technical assistance or accommodations as needed.

1C. Coordination and Engagement

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
  - 24 CFR part 578;
   - FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
  - Section 3 Resources;
  - PHA Crosswalk; and
   - Frequently Asked Questions

1C-1.	Coordination with Federal, State, Local, Private, and Other Organizations.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.b.	

	In the chart below:	
	1.	select yes or no for entities listed that are included in your CoC's coordination, planning, and operations of projects that serve individuals, families, unaccompanied youth, persons who are fleeing domestic violence who are experiencing homelessness, or those at risk of homelessness; or
	2.	select Nonexistentif the organization does not exist within your CoC's geographic area.

	Entities or Organizations Your CoC Coordinates with for Planning or Operations of Projects	Coordinates with the Planning or Operations of Projects?
1.	Funding Collaboratives	Yes
2.	Head Start Program	Yes
3.	Housing and services programs funded through Local Government	Yes
4.	Housing and services programs funded through other Federal Resources (non-CoC)	Yes
5.	Housing and services programs funded through private entities, including Foundations	Yes
6.	Housing and services programs funded through State Government	Yes
7.	Housing and services programs funded through U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)	Nonexistent
8.	Housing and services programs funded through U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ)	Yes
9.	Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA)	Nonexistent
10.	Indian Tribes and Tribally Designated Housing Entities (TDHEs) (Tribal Organizations)	Nonexistent
11.	Organizations led by and serving Black, Brown, Indigenous and other People of Color	Yes
12.	Organizations led by and serving LGBTQ+ persons	Yes
13.	Organizations led by and serving people with disabilities	No
14.	Private Foundations	No
15.	Public Housing Authorities	Yes
16.	Runaway and Homeless Youth (RHY)	Yes
17.	Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF)	Yes
	Other:(limit 50 characters)	

18.	Local and State Homelessness Advocacy groups and Tenant Unions	Yes

	1C-2.	CoC Consultation with ESG Program Recipients.	
		NOFO Section VII.B.1.b.	

		Describe in the field below how your CoC:
	1.	consulted with ESG Program recipients in planning and allocating ESG and ESG-CV funds;
	2.	participated in evaluating and reporting performance of ESG Program recipients and subrecipients;
	3.	provided Point-in-Time (PIT) count and Housing Inventory Count (HIC) data to the Consolidated Plan jurisdictions within its geographic area; and
	4.	provided information to Consolidated Plan Jurisdictions within your CoC's geographic area so it could be addressed in Consolidated Plan update.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1.The CoC consults MHDC, the state recipient of ESG and ESG-CV funds, by providing information to the consolidated plan, and the Missouri Interagency Council on Homelessness (MICH -previously known as the governor's committee to end homelessness). The COC is an active member in MICH. MHDC works with MICH as one of the four agencies that present the consolidated Plan. The CoC also provides CoC feedback on agencies that seek ESG funding. ESG funding follows the policies adopted by MICH in consultation with the CoC as well as other CoC's in Missouri. 2.The CoC evaluates the performance of ESG program applicants on a scale of 0-5 based on the applicant's activity within coordinated entry, Point In Time Count(PITC), and CoC planning processes for funding allocation. ESG sub-recipients submit quarterly CAPERS to monitor project performance and receive HMIS compliance reports from the HMIS Lead Agency and/or comparable database providers to help monitor data quality and HMIS participation. The Mo BoS CoC implemented a performance improvement policy that applies to ESG funded organizations. 3.The CoC provides PIT and HIC data to Consolidated Plan Jurisdictions within its geographic area. The PITC committee met multiple times to discuss PITC data and improvements that can be made for the next year's count. Multiple iterations of the data were presented to the Board of Directors for the CoC. The CoC conducted a PITC on February 23th, 2022 (HUD exception granted). Final sheltered PITC data, with geographic breakdown, will be published prior to the end of 2022. 4.The CoC has communicated with Consolidated Plan jurisdictions through the provision of CES policies and discharge policies. Direct communication and Public publishing make these policies available for Consolidated Plan updates.

1C-3.		Ensuring Families are not Separated.	
		NOFO Section VII.B.1.c.	

	Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate how your CoC ensures emergency shelter, transitional housing, and permanent housing (PSH and RRH) do not deny admission or separate family members regardless of each family member's self-reported sexual orientation and gender identity:

1.	Conducted mandatory training for all CoC- and ESG-funded service providers to ensure families are not separated.	No
2.	Conducted optional training for all CoC- and ESG-funded service providers to ensure families are not separated.	No
3.	Worked with ESG recipient(s) to adopt uniform anti-discrimination policies for all subrecipients.	Yes
4.	Worked with ESG recipient(s) to identify both CoC- and ESG-funded facilities within your CoC's geographic area that might be out of compliance and took steps to work directly with those facilities to bring them into compliance.	Yes
5.	Sought assistance from HUD by submitting AAQs or requesting technical assistance to resolve noncompliance of service providers.	No
6.	Other. (limit 150 characters)	

1C-4.	CoC Collaboration Related to Children and Youth–SEAs, LEAs, School Districts.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.d.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate the entities your CoC collaborates with:

1.	Youth Education Provider	Yes
2.	State Education Agency (SEA)	Yes
3.	Local Education Agency (LEA)	Yes
4.	School Districts	Yes

1C-4a.	Formal Partnerships with Youth Education Providers, SEAs, LEAs, School Districts.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.d.	

Describe in the field below the formal partnerships your CoC has with at least one of the entities where you responded yes in question 1C-4.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The CoC collaboration with YEP: CoC member agencies run Head Start programs in multiple counties. Multiple McKinney Vento Liaisons (MKVL) are CoC members and participate in local CoC meetings as per our Regional Structure for CES. Other youth education providers that CoC member agencies support include local Boy's and Girl's Clubs, Nurses for Newborns, and local schools (through Covid Quarantine support and onsite services). 2.The CoC formal partnerships include multiple MKVL in COC membership and Co-Membership in the Missouri Interagency Council on Homelessness (MICH - formerly known as the Governor's Committee to End Homelessness), with MO Department of Elementary and Secondary Education (DESE). Other Formal partnerships include CoC member Agencies that act as, or in support of Youth Education providers as listed above. 3.COLLABORATION WITH SEA/LEA: The CoC has a sitting member on MICH (Missouri Interagency Council on Homelessness). MICH is a collaboration of CoCs from the State of Missouri, as well as other state agencies including DESE. Collaboration includes policymaking, resource sharing, and instructing statewide strategies. DESE has provided training to CoC members regarding educational rights of children who are homeless and allowed the CoC to use the DESE HomelessLiaison list-serv to communicate vital topics related to serving youth and children through the PIT Count. 4.The MO BoS CoC's formal partnership with SEAs is the connection through MICH and DESE. LEA partnerships include MKVL membership in CoC. The CoC collaborates with many school districts throughout the state of Mo. Agencies of the CoC participate with school districts to advocate for homeless students regarding attendance and transportation issues. FORMAL PARTNERSHIPS WITH SCHOOLS: COC members have many formal partnerships throughout the CoC coverage area. Clarity Healthcare worked with multiple school districts to provide therapy/nursing staff onsite. Ozark Action Agency has an agreement with the local school district to help provide services to students. Journey Home of Johnson county utilized space to provide quarantine for students with Covid-19.

1C-4b.	Informing Individuals and Families Experiencing Homelessness about Eligibility for Educational Services.		
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.d.		

Describe in the field below written policies and procedures your CoC adopted to inform individuals and families who become homeless of their eligibility for educational services.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The adopted Educational Rights policy of the MO BoS CoC states, "MO BoS CoC grantees and sub-recipients must provide information for accessing appropriate education services to homeless families with children and unaccompanied youth. This may include, but is not limited to, referral information about enrollment in school, early childhood programs, or other relevant education programs within the community. It is recommended that there be a designated staff member available to those experiencing homeless to help them understand the implications of this policy and their decision-making rights under it. Ideally, the agency representative should maintain regular contact with local school liaisons and other community education representatives to ensure that their agency can offer immediate and balanced services to homeless families and connect the family to the breadth of resources outside of the agency's services."

1C-4c.	Written/Formal Agreements or Partnerships with Early Childhood Services Providers.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.d.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate whether your CoC has written formal agreements or partnerships with the listed providers of early childhood services:

		MOU/MOA	Other Formal Agreement
1.	Birth to 3 years	No	Yes
2.	Child Care and Development Fund	No	No
3.	Early Childhood Providers	No	No
4.	Early Head Start	No	No
5.	Federal Home Visiting Program—(including Maternal, Infant and Early Childhood Home and Visiting or MIECHV)	No	No
6.	Head Start	No	Yes
7.	Healthy Start	No	No
8.	Public Pre-K	No	Yes
9.	Tribal Home Visiting Program	No	No
	Other (limit 150 characters)		
10.			

1C-5.	Addressing Needs of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors–Collaborating with Victim Service Providers.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.e.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC regularly collaborates with organizations who help provide housing and services to survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking to:
1.	update CoC-wide policies; and
2.	ensure all housing and services provided in the CoC are trauma-informed and can meet the needs of survivors.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The CoC regularly collaborates with organizations that provide housing and services to survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault and more in a variety of ways. Currently, there are two members of the Board of Directors that run DV shelters in two different regions of the CoC. Their input on policies takes into consideration the specific elements of DV survivors and how changes can affect these individuals. The CoC ensures that each of the 10 regions of the CoC has an elected "Paper-List Holder." These elected organizations work with DV affected individuals and speak at the regional level about any potential issues or changes. Additionally, Missouri Coalition Against Domestic and Sexual Violence (MCADSV) partners with Missouri Secretary of State's office to advertise the Safe At Home program to ensure client addresses are protected. The Mo BoS CoC has adopted the identical prioritization list which is kept external to HMIS to ensure client confidentiality and safety. Finally, the CoC has established a standing committee dedicated to Victim Service. Attendance is focused on member Victim Services Agencies and local community stakeholders. 2. In the last year, the CoC contracted the National Alliance for Ending Homelessness to provide an online training course, titled: Missouri Balance of State: Providing Trauma Informed Care for People Experiencing Homelessness. This training was a requirement for CoC membership to remain in Good Standing and was available until March 2022.

| | 1C-5a. | Annual Training on Safety and Best Practices to Address the Needs of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors. | |
| | | NOFO Section VII.B.1.e. | |

		Describe in the field below how your CoC coordinates to provide training for:
	1.	project staff that addresses best practices (e.g., trauma-informed, victim-centered) on safety and planning protocols in serving survivors of domestic violence and indicate the frequency of the training in your response (e.g., monthly, semi-annually); and
	2.	Coordinated Entry staff that addresses best practices (e.g., trauma informed care) on safety and planning protocols in serving survivors of domestic violence and indicate the frequency of the training in your response (e.g., monthly, semi-annually).

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The project staff within the MO BoS CoC are expected to provide their own Trauma-Informed Care training as required by policies within the agency. The MO BoS CoC also provides online training through the National Alliance to End Homelessness. All members of the CoC were expected to complete this training by March 2022. Ongoing training efforts remain focused on trauma-informed techniques and developing better case management services. CoC provided training are conducted semi-annually. Internal agency training is expected annually. 2. The Coordinated Entry Committee, in coordination with the Victim Services Committee, designed a digital training that is accessible online AT ANY TIME to service providers involved with coordinated entry and which was presented at a full CoC membership meeting December 2, 2021. The training includes discussion of best practices for survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, stalking, and sexual assault. The training is focused on Trauma Informed practices through the National Alliance to End Homelessness. All members of the MoBoSCoC will be expected to complete this training by March 2022. Confidentiality protocols that include any identifying information collected by Coordinated Entry will omit this data and will instead use a unique identifying number , with signed documentation only requiring client initials. To accommodate for changes due to unemployment, increased time in house, etc. Domestic Violence Shelters provide more training and preparation for staff. This included relationship building with survivors of DV, as well as raising awareness of law enforcement of services provided.

| | 1C-5b. | Using De-identified Aggregate Data to Address the Needs of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors. | |
| | | NOFO Section VII.B.1.e. | |

		Describe in the field below:
	1.	the de-identified aggregate data source(s) your CoC uses for data on survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking; and
	2.	how your CoC uses the de-identified aggregate data described in element 1 of this question to evaluate how to best meet the specialized needs related to domestic violence and homelessness.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC primary use of de-identified aggregate data from comparable databases involves reports for the Point in Time Count (PITC) and Housing Inventory Count(HIC), as well as SPM numbers from performance reports. The PITC for 2022 showed 222 survivors of domestic and sexual violence, with 208 in shelters during that 24-hour period. There were 216 in 2021, most likely lower due to reduced capacity for COVID-19. In 2020, there were 300 with 288 sheltered. For comparison of 2019, there were 357 survivors with 344 sheltered. By this data, MO BoS CoC can state, with a four-year average, that any given day, there are approximately 264 survivors living homeless in domestic and sexual violence shelters in our CoC. The CoC will be incorporating DV program CAPER exports into the quarterly Data Review, completed by the Performance Committee, in the year 2022. This will assess trends throughout the year. The CoC has identified that most shelter stays within the CoC are due to DV shelter utilization. This has informed the CoC actions to ensure that Coordinated Entry (CE) assessments have specific safety questions and will allow clients that are fleeing DV to be referred to designated DV providers if requested, and ensure de-identification for case conferencing in CE. Non-HMIS Prioritization Lists can be aggregated and compared to CAPER exports from comparable databases to track DV clients trends through the CoC housing resources. The Performance Committee is continuing to evaluate raw data and set DV strategies in place in 2022.

1C-5c.	Communicating Emergency Transfer Plan to Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.e.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC communicates to all individuals and families seeking or receiving CoC Program assistance:
1.	the emergency transfer plan policies and procedures; and
2.	the process for individuals and families to request an emergency transfer.

(limit 2,500 characters)

In 2018, our CoC modified and adopted the HUD-recommended domestic violence emergency transfer plan that is used by all of our HUD CoC, State and ESG-funded service providers. All households seeking or receiving services through our CoC housing projects that are funded with CoC Program, State and Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG) resources are provided a copy of and informed of the transfer plan. This process is followed for all households seeking or receiving services through these projects, regardless of known survivor status. This CoC policy allows for clients who may experience violence or stalking to request an emergency transfer from the client's current housing and/or service location to another safer location. The ability to request an emergency transfer is available to all participants. To request an emergency transfer, the project participant shall notify project staff and submit a written request for a transfer to the Emergency Transfer designee at the agency in which services are being sought or received. Projects provide reasonable accommodations to this policy for individuals with disabilities. The tenant's written request for an emergency transfer includes either:
A statement expressing that the tenant reasonably believes that there is a threat of imminent harm from further violence if the tenant were to remain in the same dwelling unit assisted under the program; OR
2. A statement that the tenant was a sexual assault victim and that the sexual assault occurred on the premises during the 90-calendar-day period preceding the tenant's request for an emergency transfer.
The ability of agencies to honor such requests depends on a preliminary determination that the participant is or has been a victim of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking and on whether the agency has another available unit that is safe to offer the participant for occupancy. This ensures that the CoC continually maximizes client choice for housing and services while ensuring safety and confidentiality.

1C-5d.	Access to Housing for Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.e.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC ensures that survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking have access to all of the housing and services available within the CoC's geographic area.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking have access to all of the housing and services available within the CoC geographic area through our community's integrated Coordinated Entry System (CES). Our community's victim service providers all use our CoC's common assessment tool, and individuals in these programs are matched to housing resources through our case conferencing process in alignment with our prioritization policies. Through our CES, domestic violence participants are placed on the appropriate by-name list using a de-identified code and are case conferenced for housing placements using this code to ensure confidentiality. When participants are matched to a housing resource, the housing program partner works with the participant to identify housing options that maximize client choice while ensuring safety and confidentiality. Resources matched through this process include all of our community rapid rehousing and permanent supportive housing services. Individuals in our community DV programs are also referred through our coordinated entry process to Emergency Housing Voucher services and to the Housing Choice and Mainstream Voucher programs at local Public Housing Authority's (PHA's) using the homeless preference we have implemented with our PHA partner member organizations. Our integrated, coordinated entry system ensures that participants in our community DV programs have access to the full inventory of housing and services available in our CoC, not just to the resources provided through victim service organizations.

	1C-5e.	Including Safety, Planning, and Confidentiality Protocols in Coordinated Entry to Address the Needs of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors.	
		NOFO Section VII.B.1.e.	

		Describe in the field below how your CoC's coordinated entry includes:
	1.	safety protocols,
	2.	planning protocols, and
	3.	confidentiality protocols.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Safety, planning and confidentiality protocols are incorporated into our CoC's coordinated entry processes to address the needs of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking survivors. When survivors are identified through coordinated entry, they are immediately referred to agencies with expertise in providing victim-centered practices and trauma-informed services. This ensures appropriate safety and planning protocols are in place as survivors move through our service system. When applicable, survivors are placed on our community's by-name list using a de-identified code and are case conferenced for housing placements using this code to ensure confidentiality is maintained. Names and other personal identifying information of survivors are never shared in our community case conferencing meetings. When participants are matched to a housing resource, the assigned housing navigator works with the participant to identify housing options that maximize client choice while ensuring safety and confidentiality. The physical locations of victim services providers are not published in community's resource documents or systems, providing an additional layer of safety and confidentiality.

1C-6.		Addressing the Needs of Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender and Queer+–Anti-Discrimination Policy and Training.	
		NOFO Section VII.B.1.f.	

	1.	Did your CoC implement a written CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy ensuring that LGBTQ+ individuals and families receive supportive services, shelter, and housing free from discrimination?	Yes
	2.	Did your CoC conduct annual CoC-wide training with providers on how to effectively implement the Equal Access to Housing in HUD Programs Regardless of Sexual Orientation or Gender Identity (Equal Access Final Rule)?	Yes
	3.	Did your CoC conduct annual CoC-wide training with providers on how to effectively implement Equal Access in Accordance With an Individual's Gender Identity in Community Planning and Development Programs (Gender Identity Final Rule)?	Yes

	1C-6a.	Anti-Discrimination Policy–Updating Policies–Assisting Providers–Evaluating Compliance–Addressing Noncompliance.	
		NOFO Section VII.B.1.f.	

		Describe in the field below:	
	1.	whether your CoC updates its CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy, as necessary, based on stakeholder feedback;	
	2.	how your CoC assisted providers in developing project-level anti-discrimination policies that are consistent with the CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy ensuring that LGBTQ+ individuals and families receive supportive services, shelter, and housing free from discrimination;	
	3.	your CoC's process for evaluating compliance with your CoC's anti-discrimination policies; and	
	4.	your CoC's process for addressing noncompliance with your CoC's anti-discrimination policies.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. THE CoC Wide anti-discrimination policy is primarily developed by board leadership which consistently strives to be inclusive of stakeholder representation. Regular Feedback and consideration from sources such as our annual Gaps analysis and RGI committee reviews allow the CoC to consistently assess the Anti-Discrimination policy. RGI committee has included BIPOC, LGBTQ+, and other community stakeholder representation. 2. The CoC has established that all members are expected to operate in compliance with the CoC Anti-discrimination policy. Our policy is published on our website and available to all members for review. Priority for compliant Anti-discrimination policies was a scoring factor for this years internal competition. 3. Projects seeking CoC funds were required to submit a copy of their agency's Anti-discrimination polices. Policies were reviewed by the Rank and Review Panel and evaluated for consistency with the CoC policy. Projects whose policy was not robust or as inclusive did not receive full points. 4. The primary method for addressing noncompliance with the CoC's anti-discrimination policy is through reduction of points in CoC funding.

	1C-7.	Public Housing Agencies within Your CoC's Geographic Area–New Admissions–General/Limited Preference–Moving On Strategy.	
		NOFO Section VII.B.1.g.	

| You must upload the PHA Homeless Preference\PHA Moving On Preference attachment(s) to the 4B. Attachments Screen. |
| Enter information in the chart below for the two largest PHAs highlighted in gray on the FY 2021 CoC-PHA Crosswalk Report or the two PHAs your CoC has a working relationship with—if there is only one PHA in your CoC's geographic area, provide information on the one: |

Public Housing Agency Name	Enter the Percent of New Admissions into Public Housing and Housing Choice Voucher Program During FY 2021 who were experiencing homelessness at entry	Does the PHA have a General or Limited Homeless Preference?	Does the PHA have a Preference for current PSH program participants no longer needing intensive supportive services, e.g., Moving On?
St. Francois County Public Housing Authority	27%	No	No
Housing Authority of the City of Columbia	87%	No	No

| 1C-7a. | Written Policies on Homeless Admission Preferences with PHAs. | |
| | NOFO Section VII.B.1.g. | |

	Describe in the field below:
1.	steps your CoC has taken, with the two largest PHAs within your CoC's geographic area or the two PHAs your CoC has working relationships with, to adopt a homeless admission preference—if your CoC only has one PHA within its geographic area, you may respond for the one; or
2.	state that your CoC has not worked with the PHAs in its geographic area to adopt a homeless admission preference.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1.The CoC has established a workgroup under the review of the Performance Committee focused on developing and updating the current CoC policy for "Moving On." This workgroup includes representation from PSH projects and PHAs. The is establishing an ideal flow from PSH projects to appropriate PHA resources as clients are either no longer in need of intensive support or have community resources available to meet their needs. Both of these PHAs have been active in the CoC meetings and will be requested to provide feedback and review of established policy. PHA collaboration with the workgroup should help create guidelines for PHA admission plans, eligibility thresholds training for CoC staff, and best practices to effectively move clients to PHA support. One goal of the CoC workgroup is to establish an ideal model for PHA partnership, including a clear preference for homeless individuals, and implement that model with as many PHAs as possible within our coverage area. Other efforts include improving data collection from PHAs. At this time PHA admissions are not confirming the homelessness status of new admissions. Data collection improvements should allow for better assessment of needs for PHA and CoC collaboration. More recently the CoC has expanded relationships with 7 PHAs through the Emergency Housing Voucher (EHV) program. This includes both of the listed PHAs. Collaboration has included participation in Coordinated Entry and in some cases CoC membership. This should increase the opportunity to create homeless admission preferences within PHA admission plans.

1C-7b.	Moving On Strategy with Affordable Housing Providers.	
	Not Scored–For Information Only	

	Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate affordable housing providers in your CoC's jurisdiction that your recipients use to move program participants to other subsidized housing:	

1.	Multifamily assisted housing owners	Yes
2.	PHA	Yes
3.	Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) developments	Yes
4.	Local low-income housing programs	Yes
	Other (limit 150 characters)	
5.		

1C-7c.	Include Units from PHA Administered Programs in Your CoC's Coordinated Entry.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.g.	

	In the chart below, indicate if your CoC includes units from the following PHA programs in your CoC's coordinated entry process?	

1.	Emergency Housing Vouchers (EHV)	Yes
2.	Family Unification Program (FUP)	No
3.	Housing Choice Voucher (HCV)	Yes
4.	HUD-Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing (HUD-VASH)	Yes
5.	Mainstream Vouchers	No
6.	Non-Elderly Disabled (NED) Vouchers	No
7.	Public Housing	Yes
8.	Other Units from PHAs:	

1C-7d.	Submitting CoC and PHA Joint Applications for Funding for People Experiencing Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.g.	

1.	Did your CoC coordinate with a PHA(s) to submit a competitive joint application(s) for funding or jointly implement a competitive project serving individuals or families experiencing homelessness (e.g., applications for mainstream vouchers, Family Unification Program (FUP), other programs)?	No
		Program Funding Source
2.	Enter the type of competitive project your CoC coordinated with a PHA(s) to submit a joint application for or jointly implement.	

1C-7e.	Coordinating with PHA(s) to Apply for or Implement HCV Dedicated to Homelessness Including Emergency Housing Voucher (EHV).	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.g.	

	Did your CoC coordinate with any PHA to apply for or implement funding provided for Housing Choice Vouchers dedicated to homelessness, including vouchers provided through the American Rescue Plan?	Yes

1C-7e.1.	List of PHAs with Active MOUs to Administer the Emergency Housing Voucher (EHV) Program.	
	Not Scored–For Information Only	

	Does your CoC have an active Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with any PHA to administer the EHV Program?	Yes
	If you select yes to question 1C-7e.1., you must use the list feature below to enter the name of every PHA your CoC has an active MOU with to administer the Emergency Housing Voucher Program.	

PHA
Dallas County PHA
Housing Authority...
Columbia Housing ...
Housing Authority...
Franklin County PHA
Housing Authority...
St. Francois Coun...

1C-7e.1. List of PHAs with MOUs

Name of PHA: Dallas County PHA

1C-7e.1. List of PHAs with MOUs

Name of PHA: Housing Authority of Kansas City

1C-7e.1. List of PHAs with MOUs

Name of PHA: Columbia Housing Authority

1C-7e.1. List of PHAs with MOUs

Name of PHA: Housing Authority of the City of Fulton

1C-7e.1. List of PHAs with MOUs

Name of PHA: Franklin County PHA

1C-7e.1. List of PHAs with MOUs

Name of PHA: Housing Authority of the City of Kirksville

1C-7e.1. List of PHAs with MOUs

Name of PHA: St. Francois County PHA

1D. Coordination and Engagement Cont'd

1D-1.	Discharge Planning Coordination.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.h.	

| | Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate whether your CoC actively coordinates with the systems of care listed to ensure persons who have resided in them longer than 90 days are not discharged directly to the streets, emergency shelters, or other homeless assistance programs. | |

1. Foster Care	Yes
2. Health Care	No
3. Mental Health Care	Yes
4. Correctional Facilities	No

1D-2.	Housing First–Lowering Barriers to Entry.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.i.	

1.	Enter the total number of new and renewal CoC Program-funded PSH, RRH, SSO non-coordinated entry, Safe-Haven, and Transitional Housing projects your CoC is applying for in FY 2022 CoC Program Competition.		32
2.	Enter the total number of new and renewal CoC Program-funded PSH, RRH, SSO non-coordinated entry, Safe-Haven, and Transitional Housing projects your CoC is applying for in FY 2022 CoC Program Competition that have adopted the Housing First approach.		32
3.	This number is a calculation of the percentage of new and renewal PSH, RRH, SSO non-Coordinated Entry, Safe-Haven, and Transitional Housing projects the CoC has ranked in its CoC Priority Listing in the FY 2022 CoC Program Competition that reported that they are lowering barriers to entry and prioritizing rapid placement and stabilization to permanent housing.		100%

1D-2a.	Project Evaluation for Housing First Compliance.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.i.	

	Describe in the field below:	
1.	how your CoC evaluates every recipient–that checks Housing First on their Project Application–to determine if they are actually using a Housing First approach;	
2.	the list of factors and performance indicators your CoC uses during its evaluation; and	
3.	how your CoC regularly evaluates projects outside of the competition to ensure the projects are using a Housing First approach.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The CoC utilizes the HUD provided Housing First Tool to evaluate how well an agency commits to and follows a Housing First model. CoC funding incentivizes Housing First by scoring agencies for how well they demonstrate Housing First principles. 2.   The CoC has also provided all agencies with a comprehensive training on Housing First and how our CoC incorporates Housing First principles into our CE process. The training was considered a mandatory training for all CoC members. Our CE system incorporates Housing First so clients can receive rapid placement and stabilization. CoC policy indicates that all individuals that present to the CoC CE system should be served under a Housing First approach. 3. Housing First is a guiding principle in the MOBSOCOC Written Standards for Homelessness Assistance Programs. Per the MOBOSCOC Policy for Performance Improvement, projects are evaluated for performance based on MOBOSCOC standards annually, within the fiscal year.

1D-3.	Street Outreach–Scope.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.j.	

	Describe in the field below:
1.	your CoC's street outreach efforts, including the methods it uses to ensure all persons experiencing unsheltered homelessness are identified and engaged;
2.	whether your CoC's Street Outreach covers 100 percent of the CoC's geographic area;
3.	how often your CoC conducts street outreach; and
4.	how your CoC tailored its street outreach to persons experiencing homelessness who are least likely to request assistance.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The CoC conducts an annual, comprehensive outreach event, the Point-in-Time Count (PITC) as part of its street outreach (SO) efforts. The PITC uses local leaders to conduct outreach, and these leaders work with volunteers, law enforcement, community action agencies, community health centers, libraries, schools, and other community partners to identify and engage all unsheltered homeless individuals and families in the CoC. Additionally, the PATH program and ESG program provide SO resources to the CoC in regions 1, 4, 5, and 10. The CoC coordinates several Project Homeless Connect (PHC) events that are targeted to the most vulnerable persons in the CoC and connect people with services, including healthcare, dental care, mental health/substance use services, mainstream benefits, and access to the CoC's coordinated entry system. 2. The CoC conducts SO through 100% of the CoC's geographic area through the PITC, PATH funding, SSVF, state homeless assistance funding, ESG, and community action agencies. 3. At a minimum, the full CoC has a comprehensive single-day outreach event annually through the PITC. Columbia/Boone County conducts SO weekly which includes a coordinated SO team of ESG and PATH funded programs, mental health providers, VA, and local law enforcement. Organizations that receive SO funding conduct SO regularly. The CoC conducts outreach activities prior to PHC events which occur multiple times per year. 4. Local leaders and providers have knowledge of locations of where people are experiencing homelessness, and known locations of homelessness are discussed during regional meetings. The CoC recommends PITC leaders work with persons with lived experience of homelessness during PITC outreach and planning. The PITC starts with known locations of unsheltered homelessness and expands to a full census after known locations have been exhausted.

| | 1D-4. | Strategies to Prevent Criminalization of Homelessness. | |
| | | NOFO Section VII.B.1.k. | |

	Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate strategies your CoC implemented to ensure homelessness is not criminalized and to reverse existing criminalization policies in your CoC's geographic area:

		Ensure Homelessness is not Criminalized	Reverse Existing Criminalization Policies
1.	Engaged/educated local policymakers	Yes	Yes
2.	Engaged/educated law enforcement	Yes	Yes
3.	Engaged/educated local business leaders	Yes	Yes
4.	Implemented community wide plans	Yes	Yes
5.	Other:(limit 500 characters)		
	Engaged in strategy group lead by National Alliance to End Homelessness	Yes	Yes

1D-5.	Rapid Rehousing–RRH Beds as Reported in the Housing Inventory Count (HIC).	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.l.	

		2021	2022
	Enter the total number of RRH beds available to serve all populations as reported in the HIC–only enter bed data for projects that have an inventory type of "Current."	389	316

1D-6.	Mainstream Benefits–CoC Annual Training of Project Staff.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.m.	

	Indicate in the chart below whether your CoC trains program staff annually on the following mainstream benefits available for program participants within your CoC's geographic area:	

	Resource	CoC Provides Annual Training?
1.	Food Stamps	No
2.	SSI–Supplemental Security Income	No
3.	TANF–Temporary Assistance for Needy Families	No
4.	Substance Abuse Programs	No
5.	Employment Assistance Programs	No
6.	Other (limit 150 characters)	
	Client Case Management including Wrap Around services	Yes

1D-6a.	Information and Training on Mainstream Benefits and Other Assistance.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.m	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC:	
1.	systemically provides up-to-date information on mainstream resources available for program participants (e.g., Food Stamps, SSI, TANF, substance abuse programs) within your CoC's geographic area;	
2.	works with project staff to collaborate with healthcare organizations, including substance abuse treatment and mental health treatment, to assist program participants with receiving healthcare services; and	
3.	works with projects to promote SSI/SSDI Outreach, Access, and Recovery (SOAR) certification of program staff.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1.THE MO BoS CoC's REGIONAL MEETINGS REGULARLY DISCUSS MAINSTREAM BENEFITS AND OTHER RESOURCES AVAILABLE IN THEIR COMMUNITIES. The Collaborative Applicant discusses SOAR training and access to benefits during site visits with CoC funded organizations. The CoC has a collaborative relationship with the Missouri Department of Social Services to ensure those who indicate they are homeless are connected to the homeless system. The CoC hosts several Project Homeless Connect events each year to connect homeless clients to multiple mainstream benefits providers at a single event. 2.THE CoC DISSEMINATES MAINSTREAM RESOURCES THROUGH ITS EMAIL LISTSERV, MEETINGS OF THE FULL CoC MEMBERSHIP, AND REGIONAL MEETINGS. Resources are disseminated as requested by mainstream agencies or as needs are identified by CoC leaders. Mainstream resources are shared at Regional meetings. During the Regional meetings, agencies discuss needs within their agency with others. Communications are sent out by the Collaborative Applicant as new information is released, EXAMPLE:he CoC may assist with utilization of Medicaid or other benefits by providing technical assistance through the Collaborative Applicant, peer organizations, or CoC committees upon the request of project staff or through provision of training based on needs identified by the CoC. ACCESS TO MEDICAID AND OTHER BENEFITS IS DISCUSSED DURING CASE CONFERENCING AND IS ASSESSED DURING COORDINATED ENTRY INTAKE. Organizations help participants access Medicaid and other benefits as part of their regular case management activities. 3.The Collaborative Applicant discusses collaboration with healthcare and other organizations during site visits with CoC funded projects. COLLABORATIVE EFFORTS WITH HEALTHCARE ORGANIZATIONS MAY BE SHARED DURING REGIONAL MEETINGS, COMMITTEE MEETINGS, OR MEETINGS OF THE FULL CoC MEMBERS IF RELEVANT.

1D-7.	Increasing Capacity for Non-Congregate Sheltering.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.n.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC is increasing its capacity to provide non-congregate sheltering.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC has worked toward providing an increase of Non-Congregate shelters through the provisioning of Hotel stays through multiple sources of funding, including ESG, ESG-CV, private sources, and FEMA resources that were made available through the COVID 19 Pandemic. The CoC worked to communicate among all agencies eligible sources of funding that could be used to provide hotel stays to insure individuals had emergency shelters outside of congregate settings. Efforts were made to ensure that all facilities were able to decompress and establish non-congregate spaces as available. Regional groups within the CoC discussed available funding from federal and private sources to ensure it was used to provide Non-Congregate shelters for individuals as possible.

ID-8.	Partnerships with Public Health Agencies–Collaborating to Respond to and Prevent Spread of Infectious Diseases.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.o.	

		Describe in the field below how your CoC effectively collaborates with state and local public health agencies to:
	1.	develop CoC-wide policies and procedures to respond to infectious disease outbreaks; and
	2.	prevent infectious disease outbreaks among people experiencing homelessness.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The CoC has worked with various local health departments throughout the CoC, as well as health care providers and hospital systems, since the beginning of the COVID-19 pandemic to respond to infectious disease outbreaks and to prevent infectious disease outbreaks among people experiencing homelessness. Local health officials have provided the CoC timely and important information about the virus and how it may affect clients and services. The CoC partners have received valuable information about decreasing the spread of the virus in individuals and families experiencing homelessness and specific information about how the virus spreads within the community. CoC partners have hosted outreach events with local officials, providing masks, hand sanitizer, and information about COVID-19 and how to stay healthy and limit spread. CoC providers installed hand washing stations throughout their facilities and instituted masking and social distancing policies to keep staff and clients safe. In addition to these outreach events and educational activities, CoC partners offered on-site vaccination clinics. Vaccine was taken into the field to vaccinate hard to reach individuals. These field vaccinations were coordinated between the local health department and our community's street outreach teams and day shelters. Incentives were made available to resistant populations in many instances. Throughout the first months of the pandemic, best practices were shared between agencies. Those practices were adopted in 2021 as the CoC policy for addressing COVID-19. Ongoing strategies and best practices for future events will be reviewed by a comprehensive Gaps Analysis focusing on the Crisis Response of the CoC. 2. The CoC coordinated with state agencies to decrease the spread of the COVID 19 virus. Throughout the pandemic, the members of the CoC adhered to the guidelines laid down by the CDC (Center for Disease Control). This included social distancing, providing access to PPE (personal protection equipment), supplies for sanitization, limiting visitors to facilities, and avoiding face to face meetings, via phone calls or virtual platforms. The CoC ensured that elements of the COVID 19 safety protocols were implemented by offering online resources for COVID 19 implementation, moving all regional and committee meetings to virtual platforms and putting out a COVID 19 survey to confirm issues pertaining to COVID 19.

ID-8a.	Collaboration With Public Health Agencies on Infectious Diseases.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.o.	

		Describe in the field below how your CoC effectively equipped providers to prevent or limit infectious disease outbreaks among program participants by:
	1.	sharing information related to public health measures and homelessness, and
	2.	facilitating communication between public health agencies and homeless service providers to ensure street outreach providers and shelter and housing providers are equipped to prevent or limit infectious disease outbreaks among program participants.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. Information pertaining to public health measures are posted to the MOBOSCOC website and are available at any time. Information regarding personal protective equipment, vaccine, and general disease information has been shared and will continue to be shared to prevent and limit the infectious disease outbreaks among program participants. 2. The CoC also serves as an information clearinghouse between the local health departments, healthcare organizations, and others to share information with homeless service providers in a timely manner. For changing local restrictions, the BOS had regular check - ins with local regional leadership, via virtual meeting platform. If any agencies were struggling with technical assistance or support, they were encouraged to reach out to the regional leadership, who in turn would connect with the BOS. Information updates were sent out via email as needed.

1D-9.	Centralized or Coordinated Entry System–Assessment Process.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.p.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC's coordinated entry system:
1.	covers 100 percent of your CoC's geographic area;
2.	uses a standardized assessment process; and
3.	is updated regularly using feedback received from participating projects and households that participated in coordinated entry.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1.Mo BoS CoC's Coordinated Entry System covers 100% of the geographic area. The CoC ensures this coverage by engaging partners and stakeholders in all areas of the CoC. These partners and stakeholders in the outlying areas of the CoC are informed of the Coordinated Entry process and how individuals can be connected to Coordinated Entry services. Coordinated Entry services are accessible to all individuals in the CoC coverage area through street outreach and through Coordinated Entry hubs located in outlying areas. The CoC is divided into 10 geographical regions. These are defined by local needs and availability of services. Each Region operates its own coordinated entry case conferencing and ensures all clients within each region are provided access to the Coordinated Entry System and can be provided housing in the desired counties they are seeking services within. 2. The CoC uses the VI-SPDAT as a standardized assessment process to measure the vulnerability of each household entering our service system. The VI-SPDAT considers factors such as history of homelessness and housing, emergency service use, risk of harm, legal issues, socialization and daily functioning, physical health, substance use, mental health, and abuse and trauma to assign an objective vulnerability score. Scores are used to prioritize the most vulnerable households for housing services through community case conferencing.The CoC requires Coordinated Entry Accessors to renew coordinated entry training annually. The CoC uses the same Coordinated Entry Intake Tool and the VI-SPDAT 3.0 for all organizations that administer Coordinated Entry intake assessments. 3. The Coordinated Entry Committee of the MOBOSCOC reviews the Coordinated Entry process and system annually for improvement in accordance with the Governance Charter. Per the Coordinated Entry Written Standards, the Board of Directors will solicit recommendations and feedback from the MOBOSCOC Coordinated Entry CE committee and the continuum at large. On September 22, 2022, the board of the MOBOSCOC approved an updated version of the Coordinated Entry Written Standards for all organizations that participate in the Coordinated Entry system.

| | 1D-9a. | Program Participant-Centered Approach to Centralized or Coordinated Entry. | |
| | | NOFO Section VII.B.1.p. | |

		Describe in the field below how your CoC's coordinated entry system:	
	1.	reaches people who are least likely to apply for homeless assistance in the absence of special outreach;	
	2.	prioritizes people most in need of assistance;	
	3.	ensures people most in need of assistance receive permanent housing in a timely manner, consistent with their preferences; and	
	4.	takes steps to reduce burdens on people using coordinated entry.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The COC CES has reached people who are least likely to apply for Homeless assistance by engaging clients at Project Homeless Connect(PHC) Events, and developing an access point training that can be implemented at non-housing provider agencies. Multiple food assistance and counseling centers serve as CES access points. PHC events draw large crowds of Individuals who seek a variety of services. The CoC has also developed a CES call center to do virtual assessments where clients may not be able to travel for CES access. 2. Our CES utilizes a tiered Prioritization method. The order of priority is as follows, Chronicity, Severity of Needs (Vulnerability assessment), Length of time homeless, Disability, Currently living in a place not meant for human habitation, Currently fleeing (DV, sexual assault, human trafficking, dating violence, or stalking), Currently living in a safe haven or emergency Shelter, Currently living in transitional housing, Veteran Status, Family Size.Client Vulnerability is currently being assessed by use of the VI-SPDAT V3.0. We use the family version for families with children, and the individual for single adults or for each adult in households with no children. 3. The CoC has established a process to ensure that housing assistance is prioritized based on vulnerability and severity of need. The CoC utilizes the VISPDAT to prioritize referrals to housing resources. Case conferencing takes those with the highest vulnerability scores and most in need of assistance and matches them with a provider who promptly assists the client in accessing permanent housing of their choice in a timely manner. OUR GOAL IS TO HOUSE ALL CLIENTS WITHIN 60 DAYS OF PRESENTING TO CES. Case managers are expected to maintain contact with clients and document all self resolutions or changes in needs. Clients are provided a referral to services promptly. Our CES requires that each case conferencing region meets at least once a month and reviews the complete prioritization list to speed referrals/housing. 4. All regions have agencies capable of providing access to Coordinated Entry Assessment via phone. Practices have been established to ensure clients are able to access services regardless of transportation needs in all counties.

1D-10.	Promoting Racial Equity in Homelessness–Conducing Assessment.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.q.	

1.	Has your CoC conducted a racial disparities assessment in the last 3 years?	Yes
2.	Enter the date your CoC conducted its latest assessment for racial disparities.	07/01/2020

1D-10a.	Process for Analyzing Racial Disparities–Identifying Racial Disparities in Provision or Outcomes of Homeless Assistance.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.q.	

	Describe in the field below:	
1.	your CoC's process for analyzing whether any racial disparities are present in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance; and	
2.	what racial disparities your CoC identified in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The primary process for analyzing the disparities present in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance has been guided by the 2020 Gaps Analysis which focused on demographic bodies against a targeted universalism framework. The findings from that analysis have indicated the need for development of ongoing strategies to address geographic access and provider training. Ongoing efforts from the Racial and Gender Inequity Committee has been to create a structure to review the Coordinated Entry System (CES) process using both provider and participant feedback. Data from this review process will allow for targeted client feedback opportunities. This review was established in alignment with the Coordinated Entry Committee and will be used as part of the annual review of the CES process. 2. The main disparities the CoC had identified among target demographics has been Persons of Color having longer times homeless prior to housing, and less successful outcomes. Some demographic categories in our CoC did not have significant quantities for statistical analysis, however they still indicate challenges that may be present. Our CoC has developed a comprehensive strategy that is both focused on creating more opportunity for participant feedback and providing supportive services to clients to ensure successful outcomes and long term client stability. This is being done in tandem with efforts to provide more access to Coordinated Entry to address communities that may have less support from local agencies.

1D-10b.	Strategies to Address Racial Disparities.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.q.	

| | Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate the strategies your CoC is using to address any racial disparities. | |

1.	The CoC's board and decisionmaking bodies are representative of the population served in the CoC.	Yes
2.	The CoC has identified steps it will take to help the CoC board and decisionmaking bodies better reflect the population served in the CoC.	Yes
3.	The CoC is expanding outreach in geographic areas with higher concentrations of underrepresented groups.	Yes
4.	The CoC has communication, such as flyers, websites, or other materials, inclusive of underrepresented groups.	Yes
5.	The CoC is training staff working in the homeless services sector to better understand racism and the intersection of racism and homelessness.	Yes
6.	The CoC is establishing professional development opportunities to identify and invest in emerging leaders of different races and ethnicities in the homelessness sector.	No
7.	The CoC has staff, committees, or other resources charged with analyzing and addressing racial disparities related to homelessness.	Yes
8.	The CoC is educating organizations, stakeholders, boards of directors for local and national nonprofit organizations working on homelessness on the topic of creating greater racial and ethnic diversity.	Yes
9.	The CoC reviewed coordinated entry processes to understand their impact on people of different races and ethnicities experiencing homelessness.	Yes
10.	The CoC is collecting data to better understand the pattern of program use for people of different races and ethnicities in its homeless services system.	Yes
11.	The CoC is conducting additional research to understand the scope and needs of different races or ethnicities experiencing homelessness.	Yes
	Other:(limit 500 characters)	
12.		

1D-10c.	Actions Taken to Address Known Disparities.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.q.	

| | Describe in the field below the steps your CoC and homeless providers have taken to address disparities identified in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance. |

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC has a two pronged approach to address known disparities. The first goal is to decrease barriers for coordinated entry. Geographic disparities between location of resources added to the racial disparities seen in our access to Coordinated Entry. The second goal is to improve the interactions between clients and project staff. Successful intervention for client interactions requires training for project staff and continual opportunities for feedback and assessment. The CoC has established, as a standing committee, the Racial and Gender Inequity (RGI) Committee which has developed an action plan -in guidance of HUD Community Workshop - to address engagement of Persons with Lived Experience (PLE) and BIPOC community leaders. The RGI committee has focused on recruiting community stakeholders which includes a community education organization with a focus on BIPOC community challenges and an LGBT advocacy group. The RGI committee developed and adopted a CoC action plan to address engagement of PLE and BIPOC community leaders. The RGI has utilized findings from the 2020 Gaps Report and has developed priorities for CoC efforts. The CoC has responded to these strategies by addressing key points of interaction between participants and project staff such as Coordinated Entry and Case management. The CoC has directed membership training efforts at improving client interactions and outcomes through diversity education and client care strategies. The RGI has also developed a feedback structure to allow collection of feedback from both project staff and participants in each Coordinated Entry Intake. Cross referencing data from these feedback responses will allow greater view into participant perception and provider perception of client interactions. This is being done to further inform the need for trainings such as trauma informed care, diversity and inclusion training, and other client care strategies such as motivational interviewing. Feedback will also be used to create secondary client feedback opportunities.

1D-10d.	Tracking Progress on Preventing or Eliminating Disparities.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.q.	

| | Describe in the field below the measures your CoC has in place to track progress on preventing or eliminating disparities in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance. |

(limit 2,500 characters)

The primary method our CoC currently has in place to eliminate disparities is currently focused on understanding client impacts under our current structure. Through our comprehensive Gaps analysis and ongoing commitment to measure Racial Disparity through subsequent reports, we seek to develop a much more thorough understanding of how our CoC can address disparities that may exist within our own systems or the communities we serve. Our CoC has also developed a robust strategy to monitor the Coordinated Entry process under a review outlined by the Racial and Gender Inequity (RGI) committee of the CoC. The RGI committee has been able to engage community stakeholders and create meaningful processes to evaluate the Coordinated Entry process. The CoC's has recognized the RGI committee as a full standing committee of the CoC and is centering the work and suggestions that have come forth. This inclusion of diverse stakeholders, and comprehensive review of the CoC will enable the leadership of the CoC to understand the disparities that exist and create meaningful solutions to them. As we gain more participation from Persons with Lived Experience and other Community Stakeholders, we will develop more specific strategies to address disparities that emerge.

	1D-11.	Involving Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness in Service Delivery and Decisionmaking–CoC's Outreach Efforts.	
		NOFO Section VII.B.1.r.	

Describe in the field below your CoC's outreach efforts (e.g., social media announcements, targeted outreach) to engage those with lived experience of homelessness in leadership roles and decision making processes.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC is dedicated to involving individuals with lived experience of homelessness in service delivery and decision-making. The CoC uses social media and targeted outreach to solicit feedback on its practices and processes from those with lived experience. For example, the CoC has engaged persons with lived experience in focus groups and surveys at local shelters and day drop-in sites. Planning funds were used to compensate for the expertise provided by individuals with lived experience. This information was critical to crafting goals and strategies to improve service delivery within the community. In addition, the CoC governing board works to ensure that there is representation from someone with lived experience in homelessness on the board and committees. The input from those with lived experience is invaluable. The CoC strives to make providing feedback and serving on working committees easy and accessible for these individuals. The CoC has implemented online surveys for data collection and schedules meetings at times when individuals with experience can attend. The CoC has also begun the establishment of distinctive Persons with Lived Experience bodies, such as the Youth Action Board. The Youth Action Board (YAB) is a full committee of the CoC and is able to make decisions and present action items to the board. The YAB has led strategies for our YHDP application and local priorities for Youth Focused Interventions and resources. The CoC seeks to build momentum from the success of the YAB to develop more focused groups including people currently in services and veterans groups. These are being developed by various committees of the CoC, such as the Racial and Gender Inequities Committee and the Veteran Services Committee.

1D-11a.	Active CoC Participation of Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.r.	

	Enter in the chart below the number of people with lived experience who currently participate in your CoC under the five categories listed:

	Level of Active Participation	Number of People with Lived Experience Within the Last 7 Years or Current Program Participant	Number of People with Lived Experience Coming from Unsheltered Situations
1.	Included and provide input that is incorporated in the local planning process.	5	1
2.	Review and recommend revisions to local policies addressing homelessness related to coordinated entry, services, and housing.	0	0
3.	Participate on CoC committees, subcommittees, or workgroups.	5	1
4.	Included in the decisionmaking processes related to addressing homelessness.	5	1
5.	Included in the development or revision of your CoC's local competition rating factors.	0	0

1D-11b.	Professional Development and Employment Opportunities for Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.r.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC or CoC membership organizations provide professional development and employment opportunities to individuals with lived experience of homelessness.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Our CoC coordinates professional development and employment opportunities for individuals with lived experience through partnerships with several of our membership organizations. Community Partnership of Southeast Missouri (CPSEMO) and Catholic Charities, operates a Homeless Veterans Reintegration Program (HVRP), funded by the US Department of Labor. They work specifically with homeless veterans to put them into meaningful employment. To accomplish this, an employment specialist works directly with local businesses to place veterans in jobs that fit their interest and skill level. Job skills training is offered for those interested in careers that require certification. These programs trains people to pass a variety of training programs, including CDL or forklift operations. For those in need of further training, the employment specialist may arrange a work experience opportunity. Veterans are placed with participating businesses and the owner/supervisor acts as a mentor, providing on-the-job training and other support. Member organizations also provides soft skills training through job-readiness classes and life skills training, to facilitate participants' ability to retain employment once they have obtained it.

| 1D-11c. | Routinely Gathering Feedback and Addressing Challenges of Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness. | |
| | NOFO Section VII.B.1.r. | |

	Describe in the field below how your CoC:
1.	how your CoC routinely gathered feedback from people experiencing homelessness and people who have received assistance through the CoC or ESG program on their experience receiving assistance; and
2.	the steps your CoC has taken to address challenges raised by people with lived experience of homelessness

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The CoC has made it a goal to solicit feedback from people experiencing homelessness and people who have received assistance through the CoC or ESG programs on their experiences. To capture this information, the CoC hosts online surveys, in-person listening sessions facilitated by the planning entity, and invitations to give feedback by serving on CoC committees. Additionally, those receiving services are offered a chance to provide feedback on the services they received and the process itself via survey through the HMIS platform. 2. The CoC takes the feedback provided from people with lived experience of homelessness seriously. When challenges are identified, the issues are brought to the appropriate committee/workgroup. Challenges and solutions are discussed and implemented. Follow-up is done to ensure that the challenge is addressed and a suitable, effective solution has been found. Feedback from focus groups of individuals currently experiencing homelessness has been incorporated into the CoC's current strategic plan.

| 1D-12. | Increasing Affordable Housing Supply. | |
| | NOFO Section VII.B.1.t. | |

	Describe in the field below at least 2 steps your CoC has taken in the past 12 months that engage city, county, or state governments that represent your CoC's geographic area regarding the following:
1.	reforming zoning and land use policies to permit more housing development; and
2.	reducing regulatory barriers to housing development.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The CoC has facilitated local efforts through support of regional meetings and encouraging local agencies to address solutions. Multiple municipalities within the 101 counties of our coverage area have had productive groups looking at Housing Development and Zoning strategies. The CoC is forming workgroups to promote and share strategies that are effective.
2. The CoC has also been active in statewide groups that have addressed strategies and best practices for creating new Housing Developments. Affordable Housing Development Strategies are one focus of the Missouri Interagency Council on Homelessness, a statewide body formerly known as the Governor's Committee on Ending Homelessness.

1E. Project Capacity, Review, and Ranking–Local Competition

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
  - 24 CFR part 578;
    - FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
  - Section 3 Resources;
  - PHA Crosswalk; and
   - Frequently Asked Questions

1E-1.	Web Posting of Your CoC's Local Competition Deadline–Advance Public Notice.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.a. and 2.g.	
	You must upload the Local Competition Deadline attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Enter the date your CoC published the deadline for project applicants to submit their applications to your CoC's local competition.	08/31/2022

1E-2.	Project Review and Ranking Process Your CoC Used in Its Local Competition. We use the response to this question and the response in Question 1E-2a along with the required attachments from both questions as a factor when determining your CoC's eligibility for bonus funds and for other NOFO criteria below.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.a., 2.b., 2.c., and 2.d.	

	You must upload the Local Competition Scoring Tool attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	
	Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate how your CoC ranked and selected project applications during your local competition:	

1.	Established total points available for each project application type.	Yes
2.	At least 33 percent of the total points were based on objective criteria for the project application (e.g., cost effectiveness, timely draws, utilization rate, match, leverage), performance data, type of population served (e.g., DV, youth, Veterans, chronic homelessness), or type of housing proposed (e.g., PSH, RRH).	Yes
3.	At least 20 percent of the total points were based on system performance criteria for the project application (e.g., exits to permanent housing destinations, retention of permanent housing, length of time homeless, returns to homelessness).	Yes
4.	Provided points for projects that addressed specific severe barriers to housing and services.	Yes
5.	Used data from comparable databases to score projects submitted by victim service providers.	Yes

	1E-2a.	Scored Project Forms for One Project from Your CoC's Local Competition. We use the response to this question and Question 1E-2. along with the required attachments from both questions as a factor when determining your CoC's eligibility for bonus funds and for other NOFO criteria below.	
		NOFO Section VII.B.2.a., 2.b., 2.c., and 2.d.	

You must upload the Scored Forms for One Project attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.
Complete the chart below to provide details of your CoC's local competition:

	1.	What were the maximum number of points available for the renewal project form(s)?	121
	2.	How many renewal projects did your CoC submit?	30
	3.	What renewal project type did most applicants use?	PH-PSH

	1E-2b.	Addressing Severe Barriers in the Local Project Review and Ranking Process.	
		NOFO Section VII.B.2.d.	

		Describe in the field below:
	1.	how your CoC collected and analyzed data regarding each project that has successfully housed program participants in permanent housing;
	2.	how your CoC analyzed data regarding how long it takes to house people in permanent housing;
	3.	how your CoC considered the specific severity of needs and vulnerabilities experienced by program participants preventing rapid placement in permanent housing or the ability to maintain permanent housing when your CoC ranked and selected projects; and
	4.	considerations your CoC gave to projects that provide housing and services to the hardest to serve populations that could result in lower performance levels but are projects your CoC needs in its geographic area.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. Data was collected by our CoC's HMIS Lead through various project performance reports. Project scorecards were completed by HMIS Lead and submitted to the Ranking and Review Committee staff lead for distribution to and analysis by Ranking Committee members. 2. Our CoC analyzed data in collaboration with our Performance Committee and HMIS Lead regarding how long it takes to house people in permanent housing through quarterly reviews of HUD's System Performance Measure 7b: Successful Placement in or Retention of Permanent Housing. 3. The CoC considered the following severity needs and vulnerabilities experienced by program participants preventing rapid placement in permanent housing or the ability to maintain permanent housing: chronic homelessness, current living situation, history of incarceration, frequency of use of crisis services, history of victimization/abuse or trauma, risk of exploitation, no income, lack of ability to provide self-care, physical health, current or past substance use, mental health needs. These factors are based on the project participant's status at the time of entry into the project. New project applications are assessed based on the community needs identified in the application, use of current data to describe the needs, the plan for addressing the needs, and the applicant's experience addressing the identified needs. 4. Our CoC assesses community needs through regular reporting of System Performance Measures. Our independent Rank and Review Panel considers the needs of the community based on our ongoing system performance reporting when ranking projects. The point system used provides an opportunity for our project ranking process to account for the potential that projects might perform lower due to them providing housing and services to the hardest to serve populations in our community. New project applications' explanation of community needs, use of current data to describe the needs, the plan for addressing community needs, and the applicant's experience addressing the needs contribute to scoring categories that total 40% of the new project application score. If projects tie, they are ranked in order of severity of community housing and supportive service needs and the degree to which the project's plans address the identified housing and supportive service needs. Projects with plans to address more severe needs received a preferential ranking.

1E-3.	Promoting Racial Equity in the Local Competition Review and Ranking Process.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.e.	

		Describe in the field below:
	1.	how your CoC obtained input and included persons of different races, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population;
	2.	how the input from persons of different races, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, affected how your CoC determined the rating factors used to review project applications;
	3.	how your CoC included persons of different races, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, in the review, selection, and ranking process; and
	4.	how your CoC rated and ranked projects based on the degree to which their project has identified any barriers to participation (e.g., lack of outreach) faced by persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, and has taken or will take steps to eliminate the identified barriers.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The MO BoS CoC obtained input and included persons of different races in our local competition review and ranking process by maintaining a diverse Review and Rank and Review Panel and Board of Directors, who oversees the Review and Ranking process. 2. The input from people of color affected how our CoC determined the rating factors used to review project applications holistically and wholeheartedly. Our CoC held multiple meetings with our R&R Panel and Board of Directors to review and approve project applications, noting all comments and feedback in our living documents, which are then presented to the Board. Ultimately our Board has the deciding factor in determining the rating factors used to review project applications, and all input from our diverse members is applied by the Lead Agency. 3. Our CoC included persons of different races in our review, selection and ranking process by sending an open invitation to multiple Social Work Department heads working in universities throughout Missouri to ensure an equitable recruitment process. Our Membership Committee is currently working on flyers which we will use to reach our regional population in future recruitments. Our Grants Committee is included in our review and rank process as well as they determined the rating factors used by our Ranking Committee to review and rank project applications; this committee included individuals of different races as well, including those represented in our local homeless population. Having diverse individuals within our homelessness system representing populations within our CoC's governing bodies, helps ensure these processes are executed through a racial equity lens. 4. The CoC has not yet implemented a process to include rating factors that include the degree to which program participants mirror the homeless population demographics or the extent to which projects have identified barriers in serving over-represented populations and taken steps to eliminate the identified barriers. Our CoC Ranking Committee will research best practice implementations and will make recommendations to the CoC Board for including these factors in our FY 2023 review, selection and ranking process.

1E-4.	Reallocation–Reviewing Performance of Existing Projects.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.f.	

	Describe in the field below:
1.	your CoC's reallocation process, including how your CoC determined which projects are candidates for reallocation because they are low performing or less needed;
2.	whether your CoC identified any projects through this process during your local competition this year;
3.	whether your CoC reallocated any low performing or less needed projects during its local competition this year; and
4.	why your CoC did not reallocate low performing or less needed projects during its local competition this year, if applicable.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. Our CoC's reallocation process involves voluntary and involuntary project reallocation. Voluntary reallocation allows agencies to surrender funds to create new projects that will serve regional needs better. Involuntary reallocation is performance based and involves the creation of an agency performance improvement plan (PIP). Agencies that do not comply with their assigned PIP are subject to CoC reallocation as determined by our CoC's Reallocation Policy. 2. Our CoC allowed one project to Voluntarily Reallocate funds to adjust limitations in providing adequate support to the project operation. The CoC elected to pause involuntary reaction due to extenuating circumstances surrounding COVID 19 and the housing stock shortage. These issues were exacerbated by the change of the Collaborative Applicant during the year 2020. Efforts to begin the necessary documentation to provide transparency to low performing projects has begun and will be applied in years going forward. 3. Our CoC did not reallocate low performing or less needed projects durings its local competition this year.

1E-4a.	Reallocation Between FY 2017 and FY 2022.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.f.	

	Did your CoC cumulatively reallocate at least 20 percent of its ARD between FY 2017 and FY 2022?	Yes

1E-5.	Projects Rejected/Reduced–Notification Outside of e-snaps.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

1.	Did your CoC reject or reduce any project application(s)?	Yes
2.	Did your CoC inform applicants why their projects were rejected or reduced?	Yes
3.	If you selected Yes for element 1 of this question, enter the date your CoC notified applicants that their project applications were being rejected or reduced, in writing, outside of e-snaps. If you notified applicants on various dates, enter the latest date of any notification. For example, if you notified applicants on 06/26/2022, 06/27/2022, and 06/28/2022, then you must enter 06/28/2022.	06/08/2022

1E-5a.	Projects Accepted–Notification Outside of e-snaps.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Notification of Projects Accepted attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Enter the date your CoC notified project applicants that their project applications were accepted and ranked on the New and Renewal Priority Listings in writing, outside of e-snaps. If you notified applicants on various dates, enter the latest date of any notification. For example, if you notified applicants on 06/26/2022, 06/27/2022, and 06/28/2022, then you must enter 06/28/2022.	09/23/2022

1E-5b.	Local Competition Selection Results–Scores for All Projects.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Final Project Scores for All Projects attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Does your attachment include: 1. Applicant Names; 2. Project Names; 3. Project Scores; 4. Project Rank–if accepted; 5. Award amounts; and 6. Projects accepted or rejected status.	Yes

1E-5c.	1E-5c. Web Posting of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Web Posting–CoC-Approved Consolidated Application attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Enter the date your CoC posted the CoC-approved Consolidated Application on the CoC's website or partner's website–which included: 1. the CoC Application; and 2. Priority Listings for Reallocation forms and all New, Renewal, and Replacement Project Listings.	09/28/2022

1E-5d.	Notification to Community Members and Key Stakeholders that the CoC-Approved Consolidated Application is Posted on Website.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Notification of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Enter the date your CoC notified community members and key stakeholders that the CoC-approved Consolidated Application has been posted on the CoC's website or partner's website.	09/28/2022

2A. Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) Implementation

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
  - 24 CFR part 578;
   - FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
  - Section 3 Resources;
  - PHA Crosswalk; and
   - Frequently Asked Questions

2A-1.	HMIS Vendor.	
	Not Scored–For Information Only	

	Enter the name of the HMIS Vendor your CoC is currently using.	Mediware

2A-2.	HMIS Implementation Coverage Area.	
	Not Scored–For Information Only	

	Select from dropdown menu your CoC's HMIS coverage area.	Multiple CoCs

2A-3.	HIC Data Submission in HDX.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.3.a.	

	Enter the date your CoC submitted its 2022 HIC data into HDX.	04/29/2022

2A-4.	Comparable Database for DV Providers–CoC and HMIS Lead Supporting Data Collection and Data Submission by Victim Service Providers.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.3.b.	

	In the field below:	
1.	describe actions your CoC and HMIS Lead have taken to ensure DV housing and service providers in your CoC collect data in databases that meet HUD's comparable database requirements; and	
2.	state whether your CoC is compliant with the 2022 HMIS Data Standards.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The MO BoS CoC and HMIS Lead work together to ensure DV housing and service providers in our CoC collect data and meet HUD's requirements in many ways. Following the publication of HMIS Data Standards in 2020, our CoC developed a user guide, outlining the information needed to be collected and how to do so, in order to be compliant. Each of our clients is assigned a unique identification number that keeps them from being identified and the agency is the only entity in use of the number for identification purposes. Our CoC and HMIS Lead meet monthly to ensure DV provider / data standard compliance and provide annual verification of comparable databases and requirements. We are also collaborating with a neighbor CoC to develop a comparable database with victim service providers to streamline the process. 2. The Mo BoS CoC is compliant with the 2022 HMIS Data Standards.

2A-5.	Bed Coverage Rate–Using HIC, HMIS Data–CoC Merger Bonus Points.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.3.c. and VII.B.7.	

Enter 2022 HIC and HMIS data in the chart below by project type:

Project Type	Total Beds 2022 HIC	Total Beds in HIC Dedicated for DV	Total Beds in HMIS	HMIS Bed Coverage Rate
1. Emergency Shelter (ES) beds	1,533	746	669	85.01%
2. Safe Haven (SH) beds	8	0	8	100.00%
3. Transitional Housing (TH) beds	314	95	27	12.33%
4. Rapid Re-Housing (RRH) beds	316	17	299	100.00%
5. Permanent Supportive Housing	1,019	0	653	64.08%
6. Other Permanent Housing (OPH)	253	0	0	0.00%

2A-5a.	Partial Credit for Bed Coverage Rates at or Below 84.99 for Any Project Type in Question 2A-5.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.3.c.	

	For each project type with a bed coverage rate that is at or below 84.99 percent in question 2A-5, describe:
1.	steps your CoC will take over the next 12 months to increase the bed coverage rate to at least 85 percent for that project type; and
2.	how your CoC will implement the steps described to increase bed coverage to at least 85 percent.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The steps our CoC will take over the next 12 months to increase bed coverage rate to at least 85 percent will be done by providing a list of all non-DV projects that includes the project's number of beds and the project's HMIS coverage rate. The CoC will identify non-DV projects that do not participate in HMIS or that have low coverage rates, contact project staff, and discuss the process of joining HMIS. The CoC will prioritize the projects for HMIS participation based on the number of beds and coverage rate. Projects with more beds that are not in HMIS will be prioritized over projects with a small number of beds not in HMIS. The CoC will identify the individuals, organizations, or entities responsible for contacting and coordinating with the prioritized projects and will monitor progress of enrolling the project in HMIS. 2. Our CoC Board and Performance Committee monitors HMIS coverage progress including soliciting progress reports at least quarterly. The individuals, organizations, or entities responsible for contacting and coordinating with the prioritized projects will provide regular updates to the CoC and seek assistance from the CoC in resolving any obstacles to improving HMIS coverage. The CoC will make best efforts to resolve any obstacles that prevent a project from participating in HMIS.

2A-6.	Longitudinal System Analysis (LSA) Submission in HDX 2.0.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.3.d.	

Did your CoC submit LSA data to HUD in HDX 2.0 by February 15, 2022, 8 p.m. EST?	Yes

2B. Continuum of Care (CoC) Point-in-Time (PIT) Count

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
  - 24 CFR part 578;
   - FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
  - Section 3 Resources;
  - PHA Crosswalk; and
   - Frequently Asked Questions

2B-1.	PIT Count Date.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.4.b	

	Enter the date your CoC conducted its 2022 PIT count.	02/23/2022

2B-2.	PIT Count Data–HDX Submission Date.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.4.b	

	Enter the date your CoC submitted its 2022 PIT count data in HDX.	04/29/2022

2B-3.	PIT Count–Effectively Counting Youth.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.4.b.	

	Describe in the field below how during the planning process for the 2022 PIT count your CoC:
1.	engaged stakeholders that serve homeless youth;
2.	involved homeless youth in the actual count; and
3.	worked with stakeholders to select locations where homeless youth are most likely to be identified.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. During the planning process for the 2022 PIT Count, our CoC engaged stakeholders who serve homeless youth by actively involving them in the planning process through recruitment and training. One of our CoC Members is an agency who specifically serves youth experiencing homlessness and they were one of our lead agencies in the 2022 PITC Planning Process. 2. Homeless youth from this agency were involved in the actual count by volunteering their services on the night of the 2022 PIT Count and by serving on our Youth Action Board, which also had a large part in our PITC PLanning Process. 3. Our CoC worked with stakeholders to select locations where homeless youth are most likely to be identified through local cooperation in regional and county level planning teams.

2B-4.	PIT Count–Methodology Change–CoC Merger Bonus Points.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.5.a and VII.B.7.c.	

	In the field below:
1.	describe any changes your CoC made to your sheltered PIT count implementation, including methodology or data quality changes between 2021 and 2022, if applicable;
2.	describe any changes your CoC made to your unsheltered PIT count implementation, including methodology or data quality changes between 2021 and 2022, if applicable; and
3.	describe how the changes affected your CoC's PIT count results; or
4.	state "Not Applicable" if there were no changes or if you did not conduct an unsheltered PIT count in 2022.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The CoC did not make significant changes to the process of Collecting Sheltered data other than training To participants to report agencies that were providing shelter during the count to our HMIS agency for data collection. 2. Several changes were made to the Point in Time(PIT) Count methodology for the MOBOSCOC. First, the CoC acknowledged the issue of limited leadership across such a large geographical area. THE COC IMPLEMENTED A TIERED SYSTEM OF LEADERSHIP. THE COC ESTABLISHED REGIONAL COORDINATORS FOR EACH OF THE 10 REGIONS OF THE COC. THE REGIONAL COORDINATORS WERE TASKED WITH RECRUITMENT FOR COUNTY COORDINATORS TO LEAD THE COUNT AT THE LOCAL LEVEL AND TRAIN LOCAL VOLUNTEERS. County Coordinators provided local trainings, recruited volunteers, and worked with Regional Coordinators. Additionally, the Lead Agency of the CoC held two trainings via online platform. One training was geared toward Coordinators, stating expectations and how to hold volunteer training for the count. The second training was deemed a "Backup" Volunteer training. In the event that volunteers or agencies were unable to attend their local training. WITHIN THESE TRAININGS, THE COC PROVIDED OPTIONS OF METHODOLOGY FOR THE NIGHT OF THE COUNT, E.G. COMPLETE COVERAGE VERSUS KNOWN LOCATION. THE COC ENCOURAGED COORDINTATORS TO WORK WITH LOCAL TEAMS TO PRE-PLAN AND IDENTIFY KNOWN LOCATIONS OF INDIVIDUALS PRIOR TO THE NIGHT OF THE COUNT. The CoC provided all materials of the PIT Count digitally on the website and produced printable resources for local use. 3. These changes affected our PIT Count in many aspects. Per the CoC's post-PIT survey to leadership and volunteers, the overall consensus was the improvement in communication via digital platforms and providing online access to training. THE USE OF KNOWN LOCATION METHODOLOGY WAS KEY ON THE NIGHT THAT THE PIT COUNT WAS HELD, DUE TO INCLIMATE WEATHER AND TRAVEL ISSUES. ADDITIONALLY, HAVING THE TIERED LEADERSHIP FOR THE PIT COUNT IMPROVED THE FLOW OF INFORMATION AND DECISION MAKING DURING THE COUNT. The PIT Committee also held additional meetings for leadership to have the opportunity to discuss issues. THE COC SAW A DECREASE IN THE UNSHELTERED COUNT OF 20% OF INDIVIDUALS IN THE 2022 PIT COMPARED TO THE 2020 PIT. THE COC SAW AN INCREASE OF 28% INCREASE IN THE SHELTERED COUNT OF INDIVIDUALS IN THE 2022 PIT COMPARED TO THE 2021 PIT. OVERALL, THE COC SAW AN 10% INCREASE IN COMBINED SHELTERED AND UNSHELTERED INDIVIDUALS AND FAMILIES.

2C. System Performance

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
  - 24 CFR part 578;
   - FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
  - Section 3 Resources;
  - PHA Crosswalk; and
   - Frequently Asked Questions

2C-1.	Reduction in the Number of First Time Homeless–Risk Factors Your CoC Uses.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.5.b.	

	In the field below:
1.	describe how your CoC determined the risk factors to identify persons experiencing homelessness for the first time;
2.	describe your CoC's strategies to address individuals and families at risk of becoming homeless; and
3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to reduce the number of individuals and families experiencing homelessness for the first time

(limit 2,500 characters)

First Time Homeless; in 2020 - 2096 persons, in 2021 - 2344 persons. metric increased
1. The MO BoS CoC determined risk factors for first time homelessness by combining national best practices such as Housing First and Housing Problem Solutions with our Coordinated Entry System. Diversion is our first strategy, using Housing Problem Solutions for guidance, and clients are also assessed upon presentation for services based on vulnerability and chronicity. 2. Our CoC strives to reduce first time homelessness through improving prevention and diversion collaboration between agencies, developing intervals to review HMIS region-based reports for prevention clients, and promoting public awareness of prevention resources and strategies to ensure clients engage in assistance prior to homelessnes. Our Coordinated Entry training includes information about prevention and diversion practices, using Housing Problem Solutions as a baseline. Our CE Assessment tool includes questions that help identify clients in need of prevention / diversion and they are directed to those programs as available. During monthly meetings, agencies share funding and resources available and prioritize a Case-Conferencing referral system for these projects. HMIS has developed a reporting structure to generate P/D lists for regions for regular review. CoC decided to expand outreach to target individuals at risk of becoming homeless. efforts will include targeted service sites, digital presence, and local charities. 3. Our CoC's Coordinated Entry Committee, with support and data from our Performance Committee, are responsible for overseeing our strategies to reduce the number of persons experiencing homelessness for the first time.

2C-2.	Length of Time Homeless—CoC's Strategy to Reduce.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.5.c.	

	In the field below:
1.	describe your CoC's strategy to reduce the length of time individuals and persons in families remain homeless;
2.	describe how your CoC identifies and houses individuals and persons in families with the longest lengths of time homeless; and
3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to reduce the length of time individuals and families remain homeless.

(limit 2,500 characters)

LENGTH OF TIME HOMELESS DATA: 2020 - Average = 63 / Median = 31; 2021 - Average = 60 / Median = 30 1.STRATEGY TO REDUCE THE LENGTH OF TIME HOMELESS (LOTH) is A. to identify the geographic barriers to Coordinated Entry System (CES) access points as identified in our 2020 Gaps Analysis, B. increase the frequency of case conferencing meetings among our regional CES structure, and C. continue developing virtual access to Coordinated Entry through agencies with capacity to provide virtual assessments. Across the geography of our Rural CoC, transportation and physical access to local services is limited in many communities. This has led to significant delays in provision of housing services. The CoC has expanded our capacity to provide virtual assessments to clients to reduce transportation barriers. Our Coordinated Entry System operates 10 distinct regions for case conferencing, and many regions have begun increasing the frequency of case conferencing meetings. The CoC has also established a reporting policy to ensure client referrals are turned into project entry in a timely manner. Agencies that delay project entry beyond 30 days from referral are not considered to have an active CES referral in CoC standards. Paired with other community efforts to provide additional case management from external funding sources, this has ensured clients become housing ready and entered into projects much faster reducing the overall LOTH. Further development of expanded resources in geographically isolated areas and increasing the ability of clients to move from PSH to other housing subsidies will increase available referrals allowing agencies to provide services to more clients that may otherwise remain in our CES list. 2. OUR COC'S BY-NAME LIST PRIORITIZES CHRONICITY FIRST AND THEN VULNERABILITY, AS INDICATED BY THE VI-SPDAT V3.0. The CoC recognizes that chronically homeless and high vulnerability clients will have the most difficulty in entering housing projects and contribute heavily to the measure of LOTH. These clients should be provided eligible referrals first to reduce this measure. LOTH is also measured in the CES assessment and is prioritized for after chronicity and vulnerability. This method of prioritization ensures that clients are provided services in a timely manner and an overall reduction of the LOTH. 3. OUR COC'S COORDINATED ENTRY COMMITTEE, with reporting from the Performance Committee, is responsible for overseeing our strategies for LOTH.

2C-3.	Exits to Permanent Housing Destinations/Retention of Permanent Housing–CoC's Strategy	
	NOFO Section VII.B.5.d.	

	In the field below:
1.	describe your CoC's strategy to increase the rate that individuals and persons in families residing in emergency shelter, safe havens, transitional housing, and rapid rehousing exit to permanent housing destinations;
2.	describe your CoC's strategy to increase the rate that individuals and persons in families residing in permanent housing projects retain their permanent housing or exit to permanent housing destinations; and
3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to increase the rate that individuals and families exit to or retain permanent housing.

(limit 2,500 characters)

ES, SH, TH, RRH EXIT TO PHD: 2020 - 51%; 2021 - 42%.-- PH EXIT TO PHD: 2020 - 95%; 2021 - 94%. 1.EMERGENCY SHELTER, SAFE HAVEN, TRANSITIONAL HOUSING, AND RRH EXIT TO PERMANENT HOUSING DESTINATIONS (PHD): The CoC has identified two areas of improvement to help increase exits to PHD. The first is data quality and thorough exit interview training for new providers. The second is increased provisions of case management and enrollment in wrap around services. Data quality issues have been exacerbated by the significant number of new HMIS reporting agencies under ESG-CV funds. Many new providers have not entered sufficient data from exit interviews or did not conduct significant exit interviews. Thorough training and incorporation of data quality reports are available to assist agencies in rectifying this element. The trend in housing costs and cost of living increases across much of our CoC has indicated that the baseline for clients to achieve successful exits is higher than prior years. Increased training on effective case management and working with clients to enroll in wrap-around services should ensure clients are able to exit to permanent destinations at a higher rate. Training on effective client care is currently in development and will be required by CoC membership this fall. 2.PERMANENT SUPPORTIVE HOUSING(PSH) PROJECTS RETENTION/EXIT TO PHD: The CoC has collaborated with PHA's and service providers to develop a comprehensive strategy to move clients that are no longer in need of intensive supportive services from PSH into other subsidy supported housing resources such as PHA vouchers. Challenges associated with this strategy have included limited housing stock available and the threshold gap between PSH and self sustainability. Clients that find employment may lose PSH eligibility without having employment to sustain independent housing. Effectively working with providers and PHA partners through our comprehensive strategy should provide resources to these clients before they exit PSH programs. 3. The MO BoS CoC's Performance Committee, with support from the Lead Agency and HMIS are are responsible for overseeing our CoC's strategy to increase exits to PHD.

2C-4.	Returns to Homelessness—CoC's Strategy to Reduce Rate.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.5.e.	

	In the field below:
1.	describe your CoC's strategy to identify individuals and families who return to homelessness;
2.	describe your CoC's strategy to reduce the rate of additional returns to homelessness; and
3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to reduce the rate individuals and persons in families return to homelessness.

(limit 2,500 characters)

RETURNS TO HOMELESS (RTH) IN 6 MONTHS: 2020 - 6%; 2021 - 6%. RTH IN 12 MONTHS: 2020 - 4%; 2020 - 3%. – 1.IDENTIFIES: the CoC identifies clients that return to homelessness (RTH) through quarterly review of HMIS data. 2.REDUCE THE RATE OF RTH: The CoC has identified two areas of focus to reduce RTH: Clients that exit projects without proper support for permanent housing, and lack of utilization or awareness of prevention resources. The CoC monitors agencies' Client Risks Scores as a metric of general client vulnerability. Agencies with high client risk scores will be targeted for CoC training goals. Overall the CoC has prioritized effective client care as a key training focus. Mandatory training for CoC members this fall will focus on effective client services; covering diversity, wrap-around services, and motivational interviewing. Project staff should have a more complete understanding of the needs of clients to ensure that adequate services are being provided. Clients that cannot sustain permanent housing independent of the project they are entered in, should be assisted with accessing employment training and/or mainstream benefits. The majority of the RTH documented in our CoC come from emergency shelters. Through training and guidance the CoC hopes to see shelter staff able to ensure participants are able to access all applicable resources available to ensure self sustainability after project exit. The second element to reduce the rate of RTH is to ensure all residents in the CoC geography are able to access Prevention and Diversion (P/D) resources. The CoC has established a strategy to increase community awareness of prevention/diversion(P/D) resources through partnership with community service providers (i.e. food banks, health clinics, etc), digital media, and outreach to local charity organizations. Beyond increasing awareness, the CoC is working to improve the facilitation of P/D referrals and networking between agencies. 3. Our CoCs's Performance Committee oversees our strategies for reducing returns to homelessness, reviews data and monitors training and policy implementation.

2C-5.	Increasing Employment Cash Income—CoC's Strategy.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.5.f.	

	In the field below:
1.	describe your CoC's strategy to access employment cash sources;
2.	describe how your CoC works with mainstream employment organizations to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness increase their cash income; and
3.	provide the organization name or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to increase income from employment.

(limit 2,500 characters)

EARNED INCOME AMONG SYSTEM LEAVERS: 2020 - 11%, 2021 - 11% – 1.STRATEGY TO INCREASE EMPLOYMENT INCOME: The MO BoS CoC's strategies to access employment cash sources include developing partnerships with statewide resources to provide job training, placement, and additional assistance for clients seeking employment; providing training to CoC staff in order to identify challenges clients may face when seeking employment as well as problem solving solutions for said circumstances; and creating a directory of resources for clients seeking assistance in finding employment. The CoC quarterly-performance review also reports to agencies on quarterly progress of Client income increases. All projects respond to quarterly feedback requests to indicate areas of CoC improvement such as additional training needs and / or resources. 2.WORKS WITH MAINSTREAM EMPLOYMENT AGENCIES: We work with mainstream employment organizations, municipalities, WIOA-funded employment programs, and business associations to publicize employment opportunities and encourage projects to connect participants with job readiness, skills training, and educational programs. The CoC has multiple Community Action agencies within our membership body that host job fairs and provide employment assistance and our funded organizations often coordinate with state employment programs, known as Job Centers, to help participants locate jobs and gain new skill sets. Our CoC partners with the Workforce Development Board of Western Missouri and the Missouri Department of Mental Health, the State Lead for SOAR training, and other job resource agencies to develop training and add to our growing directory of client resources. 3.RESPONSIBLE PARTY: Performance Committee - monitor CoC progress and make recommendations for training and agency recruitment

2C-5a.	Increasing Non-employment Cash Income–CoC's Strategy	
	NOFO Section VII.B.5.f.	

	In the field below:
1.	describe your CoC's strategy to access non-employment cash income; and
2.	provide the organization name or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to increase non-employment cash income.

(limit 2,500 characters)

NON EMPLOYMENT INCOME AMONG SYSTEM LEAVERS: 2020 - 16%, 2021 - 20% – 1.COC STRATEGY TO INCREASE NON-EMPLOYMENT CASH INCOME:The MO BoS CoC's strategies for increasing non-cash income consist of identifying SOAR-trained agencies, increase participants' access to organizations with SOAR trained staff, providing resources to agencies that seek SOAR training, ensuring agency staff are knowledgeable on assisting clients with mainstream benefits to improve client stability while housed. We work to improve partnerships with state and federal non-employment cash income sources like TANF, Veteran Service Organizations, and Veterans Benefits Administration to ensure all Veterans are being connected with additional services and resources as well. Our CoC also reviews non-employment cash income as part of our quarterly performance reviews, providing feedback and performance-improvement-policies to agencies so they can improve training and system priorities. Participants' housing plans, including non- employment income, are discussed during monthly Case Conferencing meetings and applicable training related to increasing access to non-employment cash sources are provided to CoC member agencies.
2.RESPONSIBLE PARTY: the Collaborative Applicant will coordinate efforts and facilitate trainings for CoC members.

3A. Coordination with Housing and Healthcare

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
  - 24 CFR part 578;
    - FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
  - Section 3 Resources;
  - PHA Crosswalk; and
    - Frequently Asked Questions

3A-1.	New PH-PSH/PH-RRH Project–Leveraging Housing Resources.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.6.a.	
	You must upload the Housing Leveraging Commitment attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Is your CoC applying for a new PH-PSH or PH-RRH project that uses housing subsidies or subsidized housing units which are not funded through the CoC or ESG Programs to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness?	No

3A-2.	New PH-PSH/PH-RRH Project–Leveraging Healthcare Resources.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.6.b.	
	You must upload the Healthcare Formal Agreements attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Is your CoC applying for a new PH-PSH or PH-RRH project that uses healthcare resources to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness?	Yes

3A-3.	Leveraging Housing/Healthcare Resources–List of Projects.	
	NOFO Sections VII.B.6.a. and VII.B.6.b.	

	If you selected yes to questions 3A-1. or 3A-2., use the list feature icon to enter information about each project application you intend for HUD to evaluate to determine if they meet the criteria.		
Project Name	**Project Type**	**Rank Number**	**Leverage Type**
Callaway Cares	PH-RRH	32	Healthcare

3A-3. List of Projects.

1. What is the name of the new project?   Callaway Cares

2. Enter the Unique Entity Identifier (UEI):   JFZCDN7ZGFC6

3. Select the new project type: PH-RRH

4. Enter the rank number of the project on your CoC's Priority Listing: 32

5. Select the type of leverage: Healthcare

3B. New Projects With Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
  - 24 CFR part 578;
   - FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
  - Section 3 Resources;
  - PHA Crosswalk; and
   - Frequently Asked Questions

3B-1.	Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs–New Projects.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.s.	

	Is your CoC requesting funding for any new project application requesting $200,000 or more in funding for housing rehabilitation or new construction?	No

3B-2.	Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs–New Projects.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.s.	

	If you answered yes to question 3B-1, describe in the field below actions CoC Program-funded project applicants will take to comply with:	
1.	Section 3 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 (12 U.S.C. 1701u); and	
2.	HUD's implementing rules at 24 CFR part 75 to provide employment and training opportunities for low- and very-low-income persons, as well as contracting and other economic opportunities for businesses that provide economic opportunities to low- and very-low-income persons.	

(limit 2,500 characters)

3C. Serving Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
  - 24 CFR part 578;
   - FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
  - Section 3 Resources;
  - PHA Crosswalk; and
   - Frequently Asked Questions

3C-1.	Designating SSO/TH/Joint TH and PH-RRH Component Projects to Serving Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes.	
	NOFO Section VII.C.	

	Is your CoC requesting to designate one or more of its SSO, TH, or Joint TH and PH-RRH component projects to serve families with children or youth experiencing homelessness as defined by other Federal statutes?	No

3C-2.	Serving Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes.	
	NOFO Section VII.C.	

	You must upload the Project List for Other Federal Statutes attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.
	If you answered yes to question 3C-1, describe in the field below:
1.	how serving this population is of equal or greater priority, which means that it is equally or more cost effective in meeting the overall goals and objectives of the plan submitted under Section 427(b)(1)(B) of the Act, especially with respect to children and unaccompanied youth than serving the homeless as defined in paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of the definition of homeless in 24 CFR 578.3; and
2.	how your CoC will meet requirements described in Section 427(b)(1)(F) of the Act.

(limit 2,500 characters)

4A. DV Bonus Project Applicants

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
  - 24 CFR part 578;
    - FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
  - Section 3 Resources;
  - PHA Crosswalk; and
    - Frequently Asked Questions

4A-1.	New DV Bonus Project Applications.	
	NOFO Section II.B.11.e.	

	Did your CoC submit one or more new project applications for DV Bonus Funding?	No
Applicant Name		
This list contains no items		

Submission Summary

Ensure that the Project Priority List is complete prior to submitting.

Page	Last Updated
1A. CoC Identification	09/28/2022
1B. Inclusive Structure	09/26/2022
1C. Coordination and Engagement	09/28/2022
1D. Coordination and Engagement Cont'd	09/28/2022
1E. Project Review/Ranking	09/28/2022
2A. HMIS Implementation	09/28/2022
2B. Point-in-Time (PIT) Count	09/28/2022
2C. System Performance	09/28/2022
3A. Coordination with Housing and Healthcare	09/28/2022
3B. Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs	09/28/2022
3C. Serving Homeless Under Other Federal Statutes	09/28/2022
4A. DV Bonus Project Applicants	09/28/2022

Submission Summary   No Input Required